This is the Accepted Manuscript version of the article:

Anna Coll, Anna Nadal, Montserrat Palaudelmàs, Joaquima Messeguer, Enric Melé, Pere Puigdomènech, Maria Pla. (2008). "Lack of repeatable differential expression patterns between MON810 and comparable commercial varieties of maize". *Plant Molecular Biology*, vol. 68(1-2), pp. 105-117.

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review and is subject to Springer Nature's AM <u>terms of use</u>, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections.

The Version of Record is available online at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-008-9355-</u> <u>Z</u>

1	Lack of repeatable differential expression patterns
2	between MON810 and comparable commercial
3	varieties of maize
4	Anna Coll ^{1†} , Anna Nadal ^{2†} , Montserrat Palaudelmàs ³ , Joaquima Messeguer ³ , Enric Melé ³ , Pere
5	Puigdomènech ² , and Maria Pla ^{1*}
6	¹ INTEA, Universitat de Girona. Campus Montilivi, EPS-I; 17071 Girona, Spain
7	² Departament Genètica Molecular, Centre de Recerca en Agrigenòmica. CSIC-IRTA-UAB.
8	Jordi Girona, 18; 08034 Barcelona, Spain
9 10	³ Departament Genètica Vegetal, Centre de Recerca en Agrigenòmica. CSIC-IRTA-UAB. Ctr. Cabrils; 08348 Barcelona, Spain
11	*Corresponding author: Maria Pla. Institut de Tecnologia Agroalimentària (INTEA), Universitat
12	de Girona. Campus Montilivi, EPS-I; 17071 Girona, Spain. Tel. +34 972 419852; Fax. +34 972
13	418399; e-mail. maria.pla@udg.edu
14	[†] AC and AN equally contributed to this work

16 **ABSTRACT**

17 The introduction of genetically modified organisms (GMO) in most countries follows strict 18 regulations to assure that only products that have been safety tested in relation to human 19 health and the environment are marketed. Thus, GMOs must be authorized before use. 20 Complementing more targeted approaches, profiling methods can assess possible unintended 21 effects of transformation. We used microarrays to compare the transcriptome profiles of widely 22 commercialized maize MON810 varieties and their non-GM near-isogenic counterparts.

The expression profiles of MON810 seedlings are more similar to those of their corresponding near-isogenic varieties than are the profiles of other lines produced by conventional breeding. However, differential expression of ~1.7 and ~0.1% of transcripts was identified in two variety pairs (AristisBt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66) that had similar cryIA(b) mRNA levels, demonstrating that commercial varieties of the same event have different similitude levels to their near-isogenic counterparts without the transgene.

In the tissues, developmental stage and varieties analyzed, we could not identify any gene differentially expressed in all variety-pairs. However, a small set of sequences were differentially expressed in various pairs. Their relation to the transgenesis could not be ruled out, although this is likely to be modulated by the genetic background of each variety.

33

34 Key words: GMO (Genetically Modified Organism), MON810, maize, transcriptome,
 35 unintended effects, expression profile

37 ABBREVIATIONS

- 38 cDNA: complementary DNA
- 39 CRM: certified reference material
- 40 E: efficiency
- 41 EBI: European Bioinformatics Institute
- 42 EFSA: European Food Safety Authority
- 43 EU: European Union
- 44 FAO/WHO: Food and Agriculture Organization / World Health Organization
- 45 GM: Genetically Modified
- 46 GMO: Genetically Modified Organism
- 47 IRMM: Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements
- 48 ISAAA: International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications
- 49 mRNA: messenger RNA
- 50 OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
- 51 real-time RT-PCR: reverse transcription real-time polymerase chain reaction
- 52 RMA: Robust Multichip Average
- 53 rRNA : ribosomal RNA
- 54 V2: vegetative two-leaf stage

56 INTRODUCTION

57 Genetically modified (GM) crops are subjected to different legislation worldwide to cover 58 aspects of consumer safety and protection. A number of publications (including work 59 performed by the developing companies) show the equivalence of transformed and non-60 transformed lines of the same species [see reviews in (Cellini et al. 2004; Shewry et al. 2007)]. 61 Risk assessment for approval of new GM crops include field, animal nutrition and basic chemical 62 composition studies (e.g. nutrient, anti-nutrient, allergens) [see the guidelines of the OECD, 63 http://www.oecd.org), the EFSA (2004), the FAO/WHO and the Codex (FAO/WHO, 2001; FAO 64 / WHO, 2002)]. In addition, the sequence of the insert is analyzed as well as the copy number, 65 insertion site, transgene levels of expression and protein accumulation and expected direct 66 and indirect consequences of a functional transgenic protein.

67 However, targeted approaches have detected some unpredicted differences between 68 transgenic and conventional lines. Saxena and Stotzky (2001) described higher lignin levels in 69 insect resistant transgenic maize than in conventional isogenic lines, and Poerschmann et al 70 (2005) also observed differences in lignin composition. As a consequence, the need for an in-71 depth study of any unexpected differences among GM and conventional lines by profiling 72 techniques has been suggested by various authors (Cellini et al. 2004; Kok and Kuiper 2003; 73 Millstone et al. 1999) and is currently the focus of a number of research projects. Gene 74 expression profiling technologies are powerful tools to substantially increase the number of 75 targets which can be simultaneously analyzed and allows the study of transcriptional re-76 programming in various plant species, triggered by a variety of factors. See as examples (Jia 77 et al. 2006; Soitamo et al. 2008; Walia et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2007). With these technologies 78 detailed information has also been obtained on non-targeted effects of transgenes in several 79 plant species including Arabidopsis thaliana, potato, rice and wheat. In these cases unintended 80 variation did not significantly alter overall gene expression and falls within the range of natural 81 variation of landraces and varieties (Baudo et al. 2006; Dubouzet et al. 2007; El Ouakfaoui 82 and Miki 2005; Gregersen et al. 2005; Kristensen et al. 2005), supporting the consideration of 83 transgenic plants as substantially equivalent to non-transformed plants. Proteomic and 84 metabolomic approaches are generally in agreement (Baker et al. 2006; Catchpole et al. 2005; 85 loset et al. 2007; Ruebelt et al. 2006)...

86 Maize is the second most widespread GM crop, after soybean, with a global area of 35,2 million 87 Ha in 2007 [ISAAA, (James 2007)]. In the European Union (EU), apart from Romania, maize 88 is the only GM crop cultured and MON810 (YieldGard[®]) the single event. Nearly 110,000 ha 89 were grown in 2007 (GMO Compass, http://www.gmo-90 compass.org/eng/agri_biotechnology/gmo_planting/). MON810 contains a plant expression 91 cassette with the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and hsp70 maize intron sequences 92 driving the expression of a synthetic cryIA(b) gene. The cryIA(b) gene codes for a delta-93 endotoxin which acts as a potent and highly specific insecticide (van Rie et al. 1989). The 94 approved event has a single copy of the expression cassette with a 3'-truncation partially 95 affecting the coding sequence and resulting in the deletion of the nopaline synthetase 96 terminator (Hernández et al. 2003).

97 Transgenes are introduced into different commercial varieties through breeding programs to 98 produce the GM plant containing the new traits resulting from transformation. MON810 is one 99 example of this situation. Efficient transformation of maize is commonly achieved using specific 100 inbred lines for efficient regeneration. These include A188 and crosses between A188 and other 101 inbred lines (Ishida et al. 1996), but, as A188 is very poor agronomically, for marketing, lines 102 that contain the transformation event are crossed with several diverse conventional (non-GM) 103 plants to introduce the transgenic insert in selected lines from different breeding programs 104 (Holst-Jensen et al. 2006). This implies that genetic differences between transgenic and near-105 isogenic varieties may not solely rely on the presence of the transgene but possibly other 106 genomes (besides the near-isogenic line) used for breeding could also contribute to 107 some extent to the final genome of each commercial transgenic variety. Recipient 108 varieties can be largely divergent genetically and are adapted to diverse geographical and 109 climatologic conditions and final uses of the culture (e.g. food or feed) (Serra et al. 2006). In 110 consequence, a number of commercial GM varieties displaying different agronomic properties 111 can be obtained from one single event. In March 2007, 47 MON810 varieties were inscribed in 112 the Common EU Catalogue of Varieties of Agricultural Plant Species and can now be marketed 113 and grown in Member States (GMO Compass, http://www.gmo-compass.org/eng/gmo/db/).

115 MATERIALS & METHODS

116 Plant material

Seeds from the following MON810 varieties (company, date of authorization in the Spanish official publication BOE) were used: Aristis Bt (Nickerson Sur / Senasa, 11/03/2003, now commercialized by Limagrain Ibérica), Beles Sur (Limagrain Ibérica, 07/09/2006), DKC6575 (DeKalb, Monsanto Agricultura, 11/03/2003), Helen Bt (Advanta, 11/08/2005, now commercialized by Limagrain Ibérica) and PR33P67 (Pioneer Hi-Bred, 11/03/2003). Their corresponding near-isogenic varieties (Aristis, Sancia, Tietar, Helen and PR33P66) from the same companies were used as well.

Seeds of all five GMO varieties were analyzed to confirm they were MON810. Powdered certified reference material (CRM) for GM maize line MON810 (ref#ERM-BF413A,B,D,F) used as control, was purchased from the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM, Geel, Belgium), commercialised by Fluka (Fluka-Riedel, Geel, Belgium). Genomic DNAs were isolated from 0.2g of plant material using the Nucleospin food kit (Macherey-Nagel Int, Easton, PA) and subsequently subjected to event specific real-time PCR (Hernández et al. 2003) using *hmg* as the endogenous control (Hernández et al. 2005).

Seeds from these maize lines were surface sterilized and germinated in in vitro conditions. Three seeds were sown in glass tins containing 100 ml MS medium (Murashige and Skoog 133 1962) supplemented with 3% sucrose and 0.7% agar previously sterilized by autoclaving for 134 20 min at 121 °C. Seeds were incubated in a in vitro culture chamber at 25± 1°C with a 135 photoperiod of 16h light/ 8h dark under fluorescent Sylvania Cool White lamps. All plants were 136 simultaneously grown in the same in vitro culture chamber; and glass tins were randomly 137 placed in the plot.

Maize plantlets were harvested at the vegetative two-leaf stage (V2) at the same time of the day, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Each sample consisted of 2 leaves of each of 3 plantlets, without lesions. Three biological replicates were sampled per maize variety.

142 Total RNA extraction

Total RNA was extracted using a protocol based on the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and purified with the Qiagen RNeasy MiniElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

146 RNA concentration was quantified by UV absorption at 260nm using a NanoDrop ND1000 147 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). Integrity and purity of the 148 RNA samples were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis and OD 260/280 nm absorption 149 ratios (mean and standard deviation [SD] = 2.06 ± 0.02). All RNA samples had appropriate 150 values.

151 Microarray hybridization and analyses

The GeneChip® Maize Genome Array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used to search for transcriptome differences between Mon810 transgenic maize varieties and the corresponding isogenic varieties (Aristis Bt vs. Aristis; and PR33P67 vs. PR33P66). The Maize GeneChip has 17,555 probe sets to analyze approximately 14,850 *Zea mays* transcripts, which represent 13,339 genes. It provides comprehensive coverage of over 100 cultivars present in the NCBI UniGene data set (http://www.affymetrix.com/products/arrays/specific/maize.affx).

158 Three GeneChips were employed to analyze 3 independent replicates per variety. Hybridization 159 and statistical analysis were performed at the Unidad de Genómica, Parque Científico de 160 Madrid. Briefly, the integrity of RNA samples was assessed by capillary electrophoresis using 161 a Bioanalyser 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, California, USA). From 5µg of each RNA 162 sample, double-stranded DNA was synthesized using the One-cycle cDNA Synthesis Kit 163 (Affymetreix) according to the eukaryotic sample processing protocol. The complementary 164 DNA (cDNA) was used as template for in vitro transcription using the GeneChip IVT Labeling 165 Kit (Affymetreix), yielding biotin labeled cRNA. Following cleanup and spectrophotometric 166 quantification, 15µg of the biotinylated target cRNA was fragmented into short sequences 167 (around 100 nt) and used to hybridize to GeneChip Maize Genome Array (Affymetreix) in the 168 GeneChip Hybridization Oven 640 (Affymetreix) for 16 h at 45°C. Chips were subsequently 169 washed and fluorescently labeled with phycoerythrin using the antibody amplification step in 170 the GeneChip $^{\circ}$ Fluidics Station 450, and fluorescence was quantified using the GeneChip $^{\circ}$ 171 3000 scanner device. The Robust Multichip Average (RMA) software (Irizarry et al. 2003) was

used to extract the data. It includes background adjustment, quantile normalization and
summarization. The Venn diagrams were performed using Applet Draws Venn Diagrams
(http://theory.cs.uvic.ca/venn/EulerianCircles/).

175 Reverse transcription and real-time PCR amplifications

176 The expression of 40 sequences was assayed by reverse transcription - real-time polymerase 177 chain reaction (real-time RT-PCR) to confirm the results of the microarray and for further 178 expression analyses in different maize varieties. Three maize housekeeping genes and the 179 cryIA(b) transgene were also analyzed. Reverse transcription was performed on 500ng total 180 RNA, previously treated with Turbo DNase (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) using 50U of MultiScribe 181 Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and random hexamer 182 primers (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For each sample, cDNA 183 was prepared at least in duplicate and the 40 sequences were analyzed with all cDNA 184 preparations. The absence of remaining DNA targets was demonstrated by real-time PCR 185 analyses (see below) of DNase-treated RNA samples.

186 Real-time PCR assays targeting all 40 sequences selected from the microarrays were developed 187 based on SYBR Green technology. PCR primers were designed using the Beacon Designer 7.0 188 software (Premier Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and targeted the sequences used 189 for generation of the GeneChip[®] Maize Genome Array. The same software was used to design 190 a real-time PCR assay targeting 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA, GenBank Accession # M82384) 191 that was used as a housekeeping gene control. Real-time PCR assays targeting the 192 housekeeping genes β -actin and α -tubulin were developed at Consorci CSIC-IRTA (manuscript 193 in preparation). The cryIA(b) assay was designed with TaqMan[®] technology using the Primer 194 Express[™] 3.0 software (Applied Biosystems). The BLAST-N v.2.2.6 tool (National Centre for 195 Biotechnology Information, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) was used to confirm that only the target 196 sequence was recognized. The oligonucleotides, shown in Table 1, were purchased from MWG 197 Biotech AG (Germany). Insert Table 1

After optimization of the primers concentrations, SYBR Green QPCR assays were performed in
a 20μl reaction volume containing 1X SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster
City, CA, USA); 300 nM primers [except for *ai8* (50 nM); *ar4* (600 nM); and *ar5*, *ar8*, *ar10*,

201 pr4 and pr5 (900 nM)] and 1µl cDNA. A two-step experimental run protocol was used: (1) 202 denaturation program (10min at 95°C); amplification and quantification program (50 repeats 203 of 15s at 95°C and 1min at 60°C); and (2) melting curve program (60-95°C with a heating 204 rate of 0.5° C/s). The specificity of the PCR was demonstrated by melting curve analysis, which 205 gave single peaks with no primer-dimer peaks or artefacts. The cryIA(b) TaqMan real-time 206 PCR assay was optimized as previously described (Rodríguez-Lázaro et al. 2004). TaqMan PCR 207 core reagents (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were used in a 20µl reaction volume 208 containing 1X PCR TaqMan buffer A (including 5-carboxy-Xrhodamine [ROX] as a passive 209 reference dye); 6mM MgCl2; 200 µm each dATP, dCTP, and dGTP; 400 µm dUTP; 300nM 210 primers; 150nM probe; 1U AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase; 0.2U AmpErase uracil N-211 glycosylase and 1µl cDNA template. Reactions were run with the following program: 2 min at 212 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and 50 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. All reactions were run 213 on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and 214 performed in triplicate or duplicate. Linearity (R^2) and efficiency ($E = 10^{[-1/slope]}$), (Rasmussen 215 2001) of each reaction were compared to the accepted values. The suitability of the 216 housekeeping genes as internal standards was confirmed in our samples through the geNORM 217 v3.4 statistical algorithm, with *M* values below 0.5 in all cases.

218 Bioinformatics expression analysis

Normalization data and statistical analyses (t-test) were performed using the Genex software
 v.4.3.1 (MultiDAnalyses). The Benjamini and Hochberg False Discovery Rate multiple testing
 correction was applied (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

222

223 **Results**

224 The experimental design

225 Commercial varieties of maize MON10 have the same transgene in different genetic 226 backgrounds. The main objective of this study was to compare gene expression profiles of 227 MON810 and comparative varieties which do not contain MON810. We initially used 228 microarrays to compare the transcriptome patterns of MON810 and near-isogenic varieties for 229 2 different GM vs. near-isogenic pairs, Aristis Bt vs. Aristis and PR33P67 vs. PR33P66. These 230 varieties were selected to represent phenotypic diversity on the basis of previous agronomic 231 studies in the region of Girona, Spain (Serra et al. 2006): MON810 and near-isogenic pairs 232 showed consistent differences for a number of agronomic parameters. Aristis Bt had different 233 stay-green and number of files per cob compared to Aristis; and PR33P67 and PR33P66 differed 234 in grain humidity and percentage of broken plants at harvest. After validation of microarray 235 data, the differential gene expression pattern of Aristis Bt vs. Aristis was compared to the one 236 of PR33P67 vs. PR33P66. In addition, the two conventional (Aristis vs. PR33P66) and the two 237 MON810 (Aristis Bt vs. PR33P67) lines were also compared.

Our study was extended to other MON810/near-isogenic pairs using real-time RT-PCR on a number of selected transcripts. Helen Bt/Helen, Beles Sur/Sancia and DKC6535/Tietar GM/non-GM pairs were selected to represent commonly used varieties obtained by different seed companies through specific breeding programs. The A188 line was also included in the study.

243 Environmental factors are known to cause considerable transcriptome changes in plants. The 244 study of changes that may be related to the transgenic character in transcript profiles requires 245 careful experimental design to avoid the effect of unrelated factors. Abiotic and biotic stress, 246 light and nutrient levels cannot be standardized in agricultural or experimental fields. 247 Therefore, although MON810 maize is of major agricultural interest, our approach was based 248 on in vitro cultured plantlets under highly controlled experimental conditions, based on 3 249 biological replicates independently analyzed in 3 microarrays for each variety (6 microarrays 250 per GM/near-isogenic pair). Real-time RT-PCR analyses were carried out following the same 251 design.

252

253 Analysis of microarray data

254 Microarray data are available at the European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI) 255 ArrayExpress repository database under accessions E-MEXP-1464 and E-MEXP-1465. They 256 were independently analyzed for each GM/non-GM pair: Aristis Bt was compared to Aristis;

and PR33P67 was compared to PR33P66. Both for Aristis Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66 pairs the data obtained in the 3 replicates were collectively analyzed using the RMA software for gene expression summary values. The estimated log₂-fold changes and log odds values for differential expression produced by the T-test function of the data are shown in Figure 1. The data was subsequently filtered by considering only probes with p-values < 0.05 and at least a twofold increase or decrease in the level of a given transcript. *Insert Figure 1*

263 Both for Aristis Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66 pairs our results revealed a number of genes 264 with altered expression levels. A total of 307 probes (equivalent to 282 genes) were 265 differentially expressed in Aristis Bt and Aristis shoots. This corresponded to approximately 266 1.7% of probes (or 2.1% genes) assayed. The plot was symmetrically ordered, with 150 probes 267 over-expressed in Aristis Bt and 157 probes down-regulated in GM plantlets. A total of 29 268 probes displayed differential expression ratios above 5-fold with the highest ratios around 10-269 fold. Filtering the data for annotated genes gave 67 differentially expressed genes 270 (corresponding to 78 probes), 30 over-expressed and 37 down-regulated in Aristis Bt 271 compared to Aristis (Table 2 shows the annotations of the sequences selected for further 272 analyses). Insert Table 2

273 In contrast, 25 probes (equivalent to 24 genes) were differentially expressed in PR33P67 and 274 PR33P66 leaves, equivalent to around 0.14% of probes (or 0.18% genes) assayed. The plot 275 showed as few as 6 probes over-expressed in PR33P67 and 19 probes down-regulated in GM 276 plantlets. Only 4 down-regulated probes gave higher than 5-fold differential expression, with 277 the highest ratios around 10-fold. Ratios of up-regulation in GM plantlets was from 2 to 2.5-278 fold. Filtering the data for annotated genes resulted in only 5 differentially expressed genes 279 (corresponding to 5 probes); all of them down-regulated in PR33P67 compared to PR33P66 280 (see Table 2).

281 Validation of the microarray data

Real-time PCR has become the most commonly used method for validating microarray data and for gene expression analyses of small sets of genes. After filtering probes with signal intensity differences below 200 fluorescence units, 40 sequences were selected for validation purposes. The Aristis Bt vs. Aristis array results were validated using 30 sequences that 286 corresponded to those displaying differential expression levels above 5-fold (9 induced and 7 287 repressed), the best Student test p scores (3 induced and 3 repressed) and 8 randomly 288 selected sequences displaying a minimum of 2-fold differential expression (4 induced and 4 289 repressed). Similarly, the PR33P67 vs. PR33P66 array results were validated using 13 290 sequences selected with the same criteria (2 repressed more than 5-fold and 1 induced and 291 10 repressed down to 2-fold, which were the majority of sequences remaining after filters were 292 applied) (Figure 1 and Table 2). This corresponded to approximately 20% (Aristis Bt/Aristis) 293 and 90% (PR33P67/PR33P66) possible sequences after application of filters. Real-time PCR 294 assays were designed and optimized to target each selected sequence. All assays produced 295 unique amplicons, demonstrated by a single sharp peak in the first derivative plot of 296 dissociation curve analysis. Linearity values were above 0.9; mean $R^2 = 0.92 \pm 0.04$ and 297 efficiency values, above 0.9; mean $E = 0.92 \pm 0.04$.

298 At least 2 or 3 housekeeping genes need to be used as internal standards for normalization of 299 expression signals (Vandesompele et al. 2002). Here 3 of the most commonly used genes were 300 selected (Jain et al. 2006), 18S rRNA, β -actin and α -tubulin. The stability of expression of these 301 housekeeping genes was assessed in our samples. Total RNAs extracted from biological 302 triplicates of Aristis and Aristis Bt; PR33P67 and PR33P66 plantlets were reverse transcribed 303 with random hexamers and the resulting cDNAs were assayed for 18S rRNA, β -actin and α -304 tubulin by real-time PCR. Application of the geNORM v3.4 statistical algorithm showed that all 305 3 housekeeping genes displayed stability measures (M) below 0.5, making them suitable 306 internal standards for gene expression under our experimental conditions.

307 Messenger RNA levels of the 30 selected sequences (ar1 - ar14 and ai1 - ai16) were assessed 308 in biological triplicates of Aristis Bt and Aristis leaflet samples by real-time RT-PCR and the 3 309 housekeeping genes were used to normalize the data (Table 2). The expression profile in the 310 microarray experiments was confirmed by real-time PCR for all selected genes (mean p = 311 0.011 \pm 0.011, see Table 2) except for ai5. Similarly, the 12 selected sequences (pr1 - pr9, 312 ar6, ar10 and pi1) were assessed in triplicates of PR33P67 and PR33P66 samples by real-time 313 RT-PCR and the data normalized using the 3 housekeeping genes. The expression profile 314 showed in the microarray experiments was confirmed by real-time PCR for all selected genes 315 (mean p = 0.010 \pm 0.007) except for pr2. Both, ai5 and pr2 were excluded from further

experiments. According to these results, the degree of coincidence between the microarrays and the real-time RT-PCR was 97 % and 92 % of the sequences assayed, which is within the expected range (Dallas et al. 2005). Additional experiments confirmed the reliability of the microarray results (see below) in which not only genes showing differential expression levels above 5-fold were confirmed but also those displaying down to 2-fold values.

321 The two MON810/near-isogenic pairs exhibited different numbers of differentially expressed 322 sequences. Around 1.7% sequences in Aristis Bt vs. Aristis shoots, sampled at the same 323 developmental stage, were differentially expressed. Under the highly controlled experimental 324 conditions, potential variation due to external and developmental factors was eliminated as far 325 as possible. With PR33P67 and PR33P66, under the same conditions, differential expression 326 was around 10-fold less (Figure 2). Comparison of the transcriptome profiles of Aristis and 327 PR33P66 using the same filtering criteria and the RMA tool showed that they differed in around 328 4% of transcripts (309 up-regulated and 384 down-regulated sequences). As expected, Aristis 329 Bt vs. PR33P67 transgenic varieties differed in around 5% of the sequences (386 up-regulated 330 and 446 down-regulated sequences). These results place the numbers of sequences 331 differentially expressed in GM compared to near-isogenic varieties far below those with altered 332 expression levels comparing conventional varieties (Table 3). Insert Table 3 and Figure 2

Comparison of differential transcriptome patterns between the Aristis Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66 pairs

335 To assess the significance of the differential expression patterns between each MON810 variety 336 and its corresponding near-isogenic counterpart we initially compared the transcriptome 337 results obtained for the two pairs of varieties. From the 150 sequences over-expressed in 338 Aristis Bt vs. Aristis, none were significantly different (T-test p values < 0.05) above a 2-fold 339 ratio between PR33P67 and PR33P66. Equally, none of the 6 probes with significant over-340 expression in PR33P67 vs. PR33P66 were over-expressed in Aristis Bt vs. Aristis (T-test p 341 values < 0.05, at least, 2-fold ratio). This indicates that the over-expressed sequences in 342 MON810 vs. near-isogenic conventional varieties were variety-specific.

Many probes displaying down-regulation in one GM variety vs. its near-isogenic counterpart (142 sequences for Aristis Bt vs. Aristis and 6 in PR33P67 vs. PR33P66) were equally expressed

345 in PR33P67 and PR33P66 or Aristis Bt and Aristis, respectively (T-test p values > 0.05, less 346 than 2-fold ratios). Fourteen sequences were more than 2-fold down-regulated in both Aristis 347 Bt vs. Aristis and PR33P67 vs. PR33P66 (p<0.05) (Figure 3): just over 9 % of the down-348 regulated sequences in Aristis Bt vs. Aristis and, remarkably, almost 74 % of those in PR33P67 349 vs. PR33P66. Therefore, 14 out of the 19 sequences down-regulated in the PR33P67/PR33P66 350 pair appear to be a subset of those down-regulated in Aristis Bt/Aristis (which had 150 351 differentially expressed sequences). Four of these sequences were annotated. They correspond 352 to sulphur starvation induced isoflavone reductase-like IRL (pr6), adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate 353 reductase 1 (ar10), homocysteine S-methyltransferase-1 (pr3) and sulphate transporter ST1 354 (not suitable for real-time RT-PCR analysis according to filtering applied). They appear to be 355 related to S metabolism or transport. Insert Figure 3

356 Differential expression of selected genes in other commercial MON810 vs. near-357 isogenic varieties

358 The Mon810 transgenic modification has been introduced into many different varieties. The 359 relevance of the differential expression patterns observed between transgenic varieties and 360 the corresponding non-GM near-isogenic lines was assessed by analyzing the expression of 361 the sequences regulated both in Aristis Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66 in other MON810 and 362 non-GM varieties, using real-time RT-PCR. Ten out of 14 probes displaying down-regulation in 363 the 2-variety pairs successfully went through the filtering process (see above) and therefore 9 364 real-time RT-PCR assays were used: note that 2 probes corresponded to the same gene (see 365 Table 2).

366 DKC6575, Beles Sur, Helen Bt, Aristis Bt and PR33P67 (MON810) and Tietar, Sancia, Helen, 367 Aristis and PR33P66 (non-GM near-isogenic counterparts) were selected as representative of 368 those authorized in the EU, being produced through independent breeding programs. For each 369 of the 10 varieties, seedlings were grown in vitro and 3 replicates were sampled. The thirty 370 samples were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR using the same 3 internal controls (previously 371 validated in these samples). The results were analyzed using GenEX software. Pair wise 372 comparison of each MON810 variety to its near-isogenic counterpart gave a complex pattern 373 (Table 4). As we have shown, all sequences were regulated in Aristis Bt/Aristis and

PR33P67/PR33P66 pairs. In DKC6575/Tietar there was down-regulation of around 70% of the
analyzed sequences, in Beles Sur/Sancia this was around 10% whereas in Helen Bt/Helen none
of these 9 sequences were differentially regulated. These results further indicate that different
variety pairs have different levels of similitude. *Insert Table 4*

We also assessed the expression pattern of sequences regulated either in Aristis Bt/Aristis or PR33P67/PR33P66, in DKC 6575/Tietar, Beles Sur/Sancia and Helen Bt/Helen. None of the 30 sequences was regulated in 4 pairs and most were exclusively regulated in one single pair. As with the results shown in Table 4, the DKC6575/Tietar pair showed the highest level of gene regulation and only one sequence was identified that was differentially expressed in Helen Bt/Helen.

384 Using our experimental approach of transcriptomic comparisons of in vitro grown MON810 and 385 near-isogenic leaves no sequence was found to be differentially expressed in all variety pairs 386 tested.

387 For control purposes, all our GM samples were analyzed to compare the levels of expression 388 of the transgene in the different varieties. A real-time RT-PCR assay was developed and 389 optimized targeting the cryIA(b) coding region with performance values of $R^2 = 0.998$, E = 390 0.89. Statistical analyses of the results normalized with 18S rRNA, α -tubulin and β -actin 391 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels (ANOVA and Tukey test, p<0.05) indicated all 5 varieties 392 expressed similar levels of transgenic mRNA (significance level, 0.497), so discounting any 393 differential expression pattern to be attributable to different cryIA(b) mRNA levels among 394 varieties.

395

396 **DISCUSSION**

397 Maize transgenic event MON810 is widely grown and commercialised as different varieties, 398 which are genetically diverse but they all harbour the same insert at the same chromosomal 399 position. The aim of the present study was to investigate possible transcriptome differences 400 between MON810 commercial varieties and near-isogenic non-GM counterparts. This approach 401 could shed light on possible effects of the transgene and its possible modulation by the genetic

402 background of each GM variety. Authorized events such as MON810 have been submitted to 403 rigorous selection by the developing companies, such that unforeseen effects of the 404 transformation are expected to be minimal.

405 Different transcriptome profiles were found in Aristis Bt vs. Aristis and PR33P67 vs. PR33P66 406 leaves of seedlings grown under experimental conditions which would limit potential variations 407 due to external and developmental factors. As expected, the differentially expressed sequences 408 were a low percentage of the transcriptomes, around 1.7% and 0.1%, respectively. This low 409 number of differentially expressed genes of the GM and their near-isogenic varieties contrasts 410 with the levels of divergence calculated between Aristis and PR33P66 non-GM lines obtained 411 through conventional breeding, with values around 4%, considering sequences with p<0.05 412 and rates above 2-fold. Thus, the inserted cryIA(b) transgene does not involve consistent 413 major transcriptome modifications, which is further evidence of the equivalence of MON810 414 and non-GM samples.

415 Plant varieties have a wide degree of diversity due in part to the genetic fluidity of plant 416 genomes (Parrott 2005), with extensive variation within a species. Our results are in 417 agreement with a number of studies reporting that unintended variation between GM and non-418 GM plants has very little impact, particularly when compared to the large differences observed 419 between lines produced by conventional breeding approaches (Baudo et al. 2006; Catchpole 420 et al. 2005; loset et al. 2007; Lehesranta et al. 2005; Shepherd et al. 2006). Different reviews 421 provide an overview of comparative safety assessment of conventional breeding and GM crops 422 (Bradford et al. 2005; Cellini et al. 2004; Chassy et al. 2008; Kok et al. 2008). The occurrence 423 of unintended effects is not a phenomenon specific to genetic modification. Long-accepted 424 plant breeding methods for incorporating new diversity into crop varieties include the use of 425 techniques known to cause genome alteration (e.g. interspecies crosses, tissue culture, 426 chemical or irradiation mutagenesis and the use of transposons). Pleiotropic effects are 427 routinely considered through the process of conventional breeding and varieties having 428 undesired phenotypic traits are discarded. GMOs are less divergent to comparable non-GM 429 lines than varieties obtained by these breeding strategies. As an example, Batista et al (2008) 430 showed that γ -irradiated rice plants had more transcriptomic changes than GMO when 431 compared to the corresponding wild type.

432 The two MON810 / near-isogenic pairs tested by microarrays displayed different levels of 433 similarity regarding the number of probes and the identity of most sequences showing 434 differential expression. Therefore, MON810 varieties exist (e.g. PR33P67) with more limited 435 transcriptome divergence than their near-isogenic counterpart compared to others (e.g. Aristis 436 Bt). Aristis Bt and PR33P67 showed similar levels of cryIA(b) expression under our 437 experimental conditions, indicating that different transgene mRNA levels were not the cause 438 of the different patterns observed in these varieties. Up-regulated sequences appear residual 439 in the more conserved pair (PR33P67/PR33P66, 0.01% of the analyzed sequences) and are 440 up-regulated in just one of these pairs (PR33P67 vs. PR33P66 or Aristis Bt vs. Aristis). The 441 same pattern was observed for most down-regulated sequences, indicating variety-specific 442 regulation. The Aristis Bt/Aristis pair has a leaf phenotype difference (stay-green) and had a 443 greater number of differentially expressed genes in leaf as compared to the PR33P67/PR33P66 444 pair, which has a root phenotype difference (lodging). In other systems, differences between 445 controls and specific GM lines have often been observed but they also appear to be random 446 and not associated with any specific construct (Baudo et al. 2006; El Ouakfaoui and Miki 2005).

447 A narrow set of sequences was down-regulated in Aristis Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66. 448 Three of them were in silico located on 3 different chromosomes (www.maizegdb.org, Maize 449 Genetics and Genomics Database), demonstrating they do not all belong to a single genome 450 portion physically linked to the transgene. Their expression patterns were analyzed in three 451 other MON810 / near isogenic variety pairs. Although all tested GM varieties had similar 452 cryIA(b) mRNA levels we could not identify any sequence consistently repressed in all the 453 MON810 varieties, which suggested that the MON810 transgene does not directly influence the 454 regulation of these sequences. There was no regulation of these sequences in Helen Bt/Helen 455 under our experimental conditions; and only minimal regulation in Beles Sur/Sancia. These 456 results further support that, as observed for Aristis Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66, different 457 MON810 / near-isogenic pairs have different levels of divergence, even though we cannot rule 458 out that another set of sequences are differentially regulated in other variety pairs, plant 459 tissues or developmental stages.

460 Some of the analyzed comparative varieties (e.g. PR33P67/PR33P66) were clearly near-461 isolines; whereas others (Aristis Bt/Aristis) seemed to be more distantly related. The different

462 companies performed different backcrossings to introduce the MON810 character into 463 commercial varieties. As a result of this process portions of the genome where the MON810 464 transformation occurred and other genomes used along breeding (other than the near-isogenic 465 line) are likely to remain in the transgenic varieties. Variety specific regulation of some 466 sequences might be attributed to these remaining genome portions. We analyzed the 467 expression levels in A188 of the variety specific regulated sequences. There were similar levels 468 of expression, around 1/3 of the sequences in A188 and Aristis Bt, and they were both different 469 from Aristis. These results suggested that the observed changes might derive from portions of 470 conventional genomes used to obtain the transgenic commercial variety. In addition, they 471 proved that these expression levels fall within the range of natural differences between maize 472 varieties. It should be kept in mind that the final pattern of expression of MON810 varieties 473 could also be affected by processes not directly linked to the transgene such as the in vitro 474 culture of transformed cells (Filipecki and Malepszy 2006; Larkin and Scowcroft 1981).

475 Our results are consistent with agronomic differences between the variety pairs previously 476 observed over a number of seasons (Serra et al. 2006), and with recent publications showing 477 differences between particular pairs of MON810 / near isogenic varieties. Statistical differences 478 have been reported in enantiomeric amino acid composition of Aristis Bt/Aristis (% D content 479 of Arg, Ser, and Asp) and PR33P67/PR33P66 (% D content of Arg, Ser, and Ala) but not of 480 Tietar Bt and Tietar (Herrero et al. 2007). Similarly, unexpected metabolic variations involving 481 the primary nitrogen pathway were observed when comparing La73-Bt (MON810) and La73 482 (non-GM) (Manetti et al. 2006). Our results suggest that a comparison between only one 483 variety pair is not useful to infer the effects of the transgene on the general gene expression 484 of maize plants.

485

These studies show that gene expression profiles in leaves of MON810 seedlings grown under controlled conditions are more similar to those of near-isogenic varieties than are the profiles of lines produced by conventional breeding. This supports the possibility of producing transgenic maize lines which are substantially equivalent to non-GM counterparts at the level of transcriptomics. Our results show different levels of divergence between various GM and

491 near-isogenic pairs, and suggest the genetic background of each variety influences the 492 divergence. In the tissues, developmental stage and varieties analyzed, we have not identified 493 any gene consistently regulated that can be attributed to the presence of the MON810 494 characteristic.

495

496 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We specially thank Prof. E. Montesinos (INTEA, UdG) for critically reading of the manuscript.
We thank R. Collado (UdG) and J.M. García-Cantalejo (Parque Científico de Madrid) for
technical assistance; T. Esteve (CRAG), J. Serra and J. Salvia (E.E.A. Mas Badia) for valuable
suggestions. This work was financially supported by the Spanish MEC project with ref.
AGL2007-65903/AGR. AC received a studentship from the Generalitat de Catalunya (2005FI
00144).

503

504 **REFERENCES**

- Baker JM, Hawkins ND, Ward JL, Lovegrove A, Napier JA, Shewry PR, Beale MH (2006) A
 metabolomic study of substantial equivalence of field-grown genetically modified
 wheat. Plant Biotechnol J 4:381-392
- Batista R, Saibo N, Lourenco T, Oliveira MM (2008) Microarray analyses reveal that plant
 mutagenesis may induce more transcriptomic changes than transgene insertion. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 3640-3645
- Baudo MM, Lyons R, Powers S, Pastori GM, Edwards KJ, Holdsworth MJ, Shewry PR (2006)
 Transgenesis has less impact on the transcriptome of wheat grain than conventional
 breeding. Plant Biotechnol J 4:369-380
- 515 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful
 516 approach to multiple testing. J Royal Statistical Soc B 57:289-300

- 517 Bradford KJ, Van Deynze A, Gutterson N, Parrott W, Strauss SH (2005) Regulating transgenic
 518 crops sensibly: lessons from plant breeding, biotechnology and genomics. Nature
 519 Biotechnology 23:439-444
- 520 Catchpole GS, Beckmann M, Enot DP, Mondhe M, Zywicki B, Taylor J, Hardy N, Smith A, King
 521 RD, Kell DB, Fiehn O, Draper J (2005) Hierarchical metabolomics demonstrates
 522 substantial compositional similarity between genetically modified and conventional
 523 potato crops. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:14458-14462
- 524 Cellini F, Chesson A, Colquhoun I, Constable A, Davies HV, Engel KH, Gatehouse AM,
 525 Karenlampi S, Kok EJ, Leguay JJ, Lehesranta S, Noteborn HP, Pedersen J, Smith M
 526 (2004) Unintended effects and their detection in genetically modified crops. Food Chem
 527 Toxicol 42:1089-1125
- 528 Chassy B, Egnin M, Gao Y, Glenn K, Kleter GA, Nestel P, Newell-McGloughlin M, Phipps RH,
 529 Shillito R (2008) Nutritional and safety assessments of foods and feeds nutritionally
 530 improved through biotechnology: case studies. Comp Rev Food Sci Food safety 7:65531 74
- Dallas PB, Gottardo NG, Firth MJ, Beesley AH, Hoffmann K, Terry PA, Freitas JR, Boag JM,
 Cummings AJ, Kees UR (2005) Gene expression levels assessed by oligonucleotide
 microarray analysis and quantitative real-time RT-PCR how well do they correlate?
 BMC Genomics 6
- Dubouzet JG, Ishihara A, Matsuda F, Miyagawa H, Iwata H, Wakasa K (2007) Integrated
 metabolomic and transcriptomic analyses of high-tryptophan rice expressing a mutant
 anthranilate synthase alpha subunit. J Exp Bot 58: 3309-3321
- EFSA (2004) Guidance document of the Scientific Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms for
 the risk assessment of genetically modified plants and derived food and feed. EFSA J
 99:1-94
- EI Ouakfaoui S, Miki B (2005) The stability of the *Arabidopsis* transcriptome in transgenic plants
 expressing the marker genes nptII and uidA. Plant J 41:791-800

544 FAO/WHO (2001) Evalutaion of allergenicity of Genetically Modified Foods. In: Report of a Joint 545 FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Foods Derived from Biotechnology. Food and

the

United

Nations.

Available

from:

of

546 Agriculture

547 http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/biotech/en/ec_jan2001.pd

Organisation

- 548 Accessed 15 Nov 2007
- 549 FAO/WHO (2002) Report of the Third Session of the Codex Ad Hoc Intergovernmental Task 550 Force on Foods Derived from Biotechnology (ALINORM 01/34). In: Codex Ad Hoc 551 Intergovernmental Task Force on Foods Derived from Biotechnology. Food and 552 Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. Available from: 553 ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/alinorm03/Al03_34e.pdf
- 554 Accessed 15 Nov 2007
- Filipecki M, Malepszy S (2006) Unintended consequences of plant transformation: a molecular
 insight. J Appl Genet 47:277-286
- Gregersen PL, Brinch-Pedersen H, Holm PB (2005) A microarray-based comparative analysis
 of gene expression profiles during grain development in transgenic and wild type
 wheat. Transgenic Res 14:887-905
- Hernández M, Esteve T, Pla M (2005) Real-time PCR based methods for quantitative detection
 of barley, rice, sunflower and wheat. J Agric Food Chem 53: 7003-7009
- Hernández M, Pla M, Esteve T, Prat S, Puigdomènech P, Ferrando A (2003) A specific real-time
 quantitative PCR detection system for event MON810 in maize YieldGard based on the
 3'-transgene integration sequence. Transgenic Res 12:179-189
- Herrero M, Ibanez E, Martin-Alvarez PJ, Cifuentes A (2007) Analysis of chiral amino acids in
 conventional and transgenic maize. Anal Chem 79:5071-5077
- Holst-Jensen A, De Loose M, Van den EG (2006) Coherence between legal requirements and
 approaches for detection of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and their derived
 products. J Agric Food Chem 54:2799-2809

- Ioset JR, Urbaniak B, Ndjoko-Ioset K, Wirth J, Martin F, Gruissem W, Hostettmann K, Sautter
 C (2007) Flavonoid profiling among wild type and related GM wheat varieties. Plant
 Mol Biol 65: 645-654
- 573 Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, Speed TP (2003)
 574 Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe
 575 level data. Biostatistics 4:249-264
- Ishida Y, Saito H, Ohta S, Hiei Y, Komari T, Kumashiro T (1996) High efficiency transformation
 of maize (*Zea mays* L.) mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Nat Biotechnol
 14:745-750
- Jain M, Nijhawan A, Tyagi AK, Khurana JP (2006) Validation of housekeeping genes as internal
 control for studying gene expression in rice by quantitative real-time PCR. Biochem
 Biophys Res Commun 345:646-651
- James C (2007) Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2007. ISAAA Briefs 37.
 ISAAA: Ithaca, NY.
- Jia JP, Fu JJ, Zheng J, Zhou X, Huai JL, Wang JH, Wang M, Zhang Y, Chen XP, Zhang JP, Zhao
 JF, Su Z, Lv YP, Wang GY (2006) Annotation and expression profile analysis of 2073
 full-length cDNAs from stress-induced maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings. Plant Journal
 48:710-727
- 588 Kok EJ, Keijer J, Kleter GA, Kuiper HA (2008) Comparative safety assessment of plant-derived
 589 foods. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 50:98-113
- 590 Kok EJ, Kuiper HA (2003) Comparative safety assessment for biotech crops. Trends Biotechnol
 591 21:439-444
- Kristensen C, Morant M, Olsen CE, Ekstrom CT, Galbraith DW, Moller BL, Bak S (2005)
 Metabolic engineering of dhurrin in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants with marginal
 inadvertent effects on the metabolome and transcriptome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
 102:1779-1784

- Larkin PJ, Scowcroft WR (1981) Somaclonal variation a novel source of variability from cell
 cultures for plant improvement. Theor Appl Genet 60:197-214
- Lehesranta SJ, Davies HV, Shepherd LV, Nunan N, McNicol JW, Auriola S, Koistinen KM,
 Suomalainen S, Kokko HI, Karenlampi SO (2005) Comparison of tuber proteomes of
 potato varieties, landraces, and genetically modified lines. Plant Physiol 138:16901699
- Manetti C, Bianchetti C, Casciani L, Castro C, Di Cocco ME, Miccheli A, Motto M, Conti F (2006)
 A metabonomic study of transgenic maize (*Zea mays*) seeds revealed variations in
 osmolytes and branched amino acids. J Exp Bot 57:2613-2625
- 605 Millstone E, Brunner E, Mayer S (1999) Beyond 'substantial equivalence'. Nature 401:525-526
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco
 tissue cultures. Physiologia Plantarum 15:473-497
- 608Parrott W (2005) The nature of change: towards sensible regulation of transgenic crops based609on lessons from plant breeding, biotecnology and genomics. In: Proceedings of the61017th North American Biothecnology Council, Nahville, Tenn., June 27-29 2005.611Availablefrom:
- 612 http://nabc.cals.cornell.edu/pubs/nabc_17/parts/NABC17_Banquet_1.pdf
 613 Accessed 25 March 2008
- Poerschmann J, Gathmann A, Augustin J, Langer U, Gorecki T (2005) Molecular composition
 of leaves and stems of genetically modified bt and near-isogenic non-bt maize Characterization of lignin patterns. J Environ Qual 34:1508-1518
- Rasmussen R (2001) Quantification on the LightCycler. In: Meuer S, Wittwer C, Nakagawara
 K (ed) Rapid cycle real-time PCR, methods and applications. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Rodríguez-Lázaro D, Hernández M, Scortti M, Esteve T, Vázquez-Boland JA, Pla M (2004)
 Quantitative detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua* by real-time

- 621 PCR: assessment of hly, iap, and lin02483 targets and AmpliFluor technology. Appl
 622 Environ Microbiol 70: 1366-1377
- Ruebelt MC, Lipp M, Reynolds TL, Schmuke JJ, Astwood JD, DellaPenna D, Engel KH, Jany KD
 (2006) Application of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis to interrogate alterations in
 the proteome of gentically modified crops, 3-Assessing unintended effects. J Agric Food
 Chem 54:2169-2177
- 627 Saxena D, Stotzky G (2001) Bt corn has a higher lignin content than non-Bt corn. Am J Bot
 628 88:1704-1706
- 629 Serra J, López A, Salvia J (2006) Varietats de blat de moro genèticament modificades (GM),
 630 amb resistència als barrinadors: productivitat i altres paràmetres agronòmics. Dossier
 631 Tècnic 10:13-18
- Shepherd LV, McNicol JW, Razzo R, Taylor MA, Davies HV (2006) Assessing the potential for
 unintended effects in genetically modified potatoes perturbed in metabolic and
 developmental processes. Targeted analysis of key nutrients and anti-nutrients.
 Transgenic Res 15:409-425
- 636 Shewry PR, Baudo M, Lovegrove A, Powers S, Napier JA, Ward JL, Baker JM, Beale MH (2007)
 637 Are GM and conventionally bred cereals really different? Trends Food Sci Tech 18:201638 209
- 639 Soitamo AJ, Piippo M, Allahverdiyeva Y, Battchikova N, Aro EM (2008) Light has a specific role
 640 in modulating *Arabidopsis* gene expression at low temperature. BMC Plant Biol.
 641 Doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-8-13
- van Rie J, Jansens S, Hofte H, Degheele D, Mallaert HV (1989) Specificity of Bacillus
 thuringiensis delta-endotoxins: importance of specific receptors on the brush border
 membrane of the mid-gut of target insects. Eur J Biochem 186:239-247

- 645 Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F (2002)
 646 Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging
 647 of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol 3:1-12
- 648 Walia H, Wilson C, Wahid A, Condamine P, Cui X, Close TJ (2006) Expression analysis of barley
 649 (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) during salinity stress. Funct Integr Genomics 6:143-156
- 50 Zhou J, Wang X, Jiao Y, Qin Y, Liu X, He K, Chen C, Ma L, Wang J, Xiong L, Zhang Q, Fan L,
- 651 Deng XW (2007) Global genome expression analysis of rice in response to drought and
- high-salinity stresses in shoot, flag leaf, and panicle. Plant Mol Biol 63:591-608
- 653
- 654

Table 1. Selected sequences and oligonucleotides used. For housekeeping genes, only those

656 developed in this work are shown.

Accession			
Number	Code	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')
BM379705	ar1	CTGAGCGGTCATCGGTGTG	GAGGGACATACAATACAACAAGCC
AF056326.1	ar2	GTCTCGAGCAATGCCATCCT	CACATACTTGATGACAACGACATGA
CO528265	ar3	GGCGTCCTCCATCCAATCC	ATTACTTCTTTCTGCGTGCTACTG
CF623731	ar4	TGTCAGTTAAATCACACACTCCAG	CAGCACAGCAAGAGCATTCG
AF133840.1	ar5	GCCAATCAGGAGGTGGATCG	CTGGATCAGGATGTCCGACTTC
AY108935.1	ar6	CCATTGCCATGTCGTCTTCAG	TCACATCCATATCCATGCTTACAC
AI666020	ar7	TAACCCAACCCAACGACATACC	ACATCTGTTCAGTCTACGCCTAG
CF624123	ar8	AGCGGTGCTGGTCCCAAG	ACTCATCTCACAAACAACCTTCAG
CK827218	ar9	TTCCCTGCCATGATTTTGGTCTC	CGTCTTCCCACTGGATACCCTAG
BM382651	ar10	TCTCTGTCAGTCTGTATGATCTTATGTTG	CAATCATTTTGCAGTTACAAAAGCTACA
X54076.1	ar11	GATCGTGGCTCTGAAGATGTGG	AACACGCACACCAGAAGCAC
CF632382	ar12	AAGCAGCCGTACAAGTTCTCC	TCTTCACCGTGTCGTAAAGCG
AY105790.1	ar13	GCAGTTTATCACCACAGAGAAGC	GACACAGACCTGGAGTACGAAC
BM896110	ar14	AGCGGCACAACGGGTCTG	GGCGAGTTCTCAAAGCAGTGG
AF297046.1	pr1	AATATACTGTTGCGTGTTCTCCTG	GGTTGTATCTCCAAGTTGGATAGC
CA404367	, pr2	AGTTTTGTATGCTGTGGTTGCTG	AAACGGACACCCAATAGTAGAGC
AF297044.1	pr3	ATTTAGGAAGCAAACCAAGAAGGC	TGACCCAAGCACTCAACCG
CO518420	pr4	GCAGCAATTCCACTAACCGC	AATTAACCTAAGACATCCCAATTTCCT
CF635310	, pr5	ATAAGTACCTTTGGATCGAAGAGC	GCTACCTGCTGAGGGAACG
U33318.1	, pr6	GGAGGAAGCCGTGCTCAAG	GATCTCGAAGCCCGTCTGC
CD438478	pr7	GGCAAAGAGGTCGTCTGGAG	AATGGAGCCGTAGCCTGAATAG
AW927712	, pr8	GTATGTCATCGCCGATAAAACCG	CAGCTCTACACACCCGTCATC
CK144500	pr9	CCACACAACACTCCGACCAC	GTACCGTCAGGATAGCAGATTTC
IP-REGULATED S		5	
AE057184 1	ai1	CAAGTGCTCCGCCGACTG	AGGGTCCGACTCCACAAGC
BI431120	ai?		ACACCITIGUIGCGGAGACG
117351 1	ai2	IGIGICGIGIICGIGIAGCA	CITATICGUCIGACAGCAGCAG
BM335222	ai∕		
B0539064	ai5	GGATCACCCTCATGCTACCG	GCTTCACGCTGTCTTCAATGG
117350 1	ai6	AGCGICITATCITAATIGCCIIGIT	GAGCACAGGIGIGGCAIGI
CK371178	ai7		
BM378406	aig		GELCETEREETECTECTC
CE638013	ai0 ai0	CCCACICCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	
CD219268	ai10		TCCTTCTCAGCAGCCTCGTG
AV639018 1	2110		GCATTICCACCGCCAAACAG
M22102 1	2112	CRACCRACAGGACCRATT	
D45402 1	2112		
CK082223	a113 2i11	GGACACGCCACCGAGCAG	GAAGCOCTOCCACCACTTC
CD425044	ai 14 ai 15		CACACASTTTCACTOTOTOTOTOACTAC
CO510222	ai i o		CTCCATGCTTTCCCTCATCTCTAC
CE62E221	diio		
		CAACCICIGITICACACCGIAC	CUCIUCUALUALUUU
100SEKEEPING (ENES		
M82384	h1	AGAAACGGCTACCACATCCAA	CTACCTCCCCGTGTCAGGATT

Table 2. Validation of microarray data. A number of sequences (30 for Aristis Bt/Aristis and
12 for PR33P67/PR33P66 pairs) with more than 2-fold regulation on microarray experiments
(p < 0.05) were verified by real-time RT-PCR. Variation folds (microarrays) and significance
levels are indicated for each sequence and technique. Values with statistical significance are
highlighted. Candidate sequences regulated in the two variety pairs are also highlighted.

DOWN-REGULATED SEQUENCES									
		Ari	stis Bt vs.	Aristis	PR33P67 vs. PR33P66				
		Microarray		RT-QPCR	Microarray		RT-QPCR		
Annotation (where available)	Candidate		T-test	T-test	v fold	T-test	T-test		
· · · · ·		x-101u	p-value	p-value	x-1010	p-value	p-value		
	ar1	0.11	0.040	0,030	0.15	0.060			
Myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase	ar2	0.12	0.002	0,005	0.51	0.058			
Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase kinase 1	ar3	0.12	0.000	0,005	1.30	0.370			
	ar4	0.13	0.007	0,007	1.20	0.800			
Heat shock protein 101	ar5	0.18	0.000	0,006	0.80	0.510			
	ar6	0.18	0.012	0,015	0.25	0.040	0,007		
	ar7	0.19	0.011	0,006	0.80	0.430			
	ar8	0.22	0.004	0,016	0.82	0.500			
Invertase	ar9	0.23	0.002	0,006	0.59	0.030			
Adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase 1	ar10	0.26	0.004	0,007	0.34	0.020	0,000		
18kDa heat shock protein	ar11	0.33	0.050	0,048	0.82	0.220			
Cinnamoyl CoA reductase	ar12	0.34	0.000	0,010	0.85	0.441			
	ar13	0.23	0.000	0,006	1.16	0.554			
	ar14	0.27	0.000	0,006	0.60	0.060			
Homocysteine S-methyltransferase-3	pr1	0.89	0.124		0.46	0.003	0,007		
	pr2	0.70	0.005		0.45	0.001	0.169		
Homocysteine S-methyltransferase-1	pr3	0.43	0.002		0.43	0.011	0,008		
	pr4	0.26	0.012		0.40	0.048	0,011		
	pr4	0.30	0.034		0.40	0.037	0,011		
	pr5	0.32	0.004		0.37	0.011	0,007		
Sulfur starvation induced isoflavone reductase-like IRL	pr6	0.26	0.001		0.25	0.032	0,008		
	pr7	0.22	0.000		0.25	0.000	0,022		
	pr8	0.24	0.039		0.20	0.020	0,008		
	pr9	0.35	0.001		0.12	0.034	0,009		
UP-REGULATED SEQUENCES									

		Aristis Bt vs. Aristis			PR33P67 vs. PR33P			
		Microarray		RT-QPCR	Microarray		RT-QPCR	
	Candidate	v fold	T-test	T-test	v fold	T-test	T-test	
	sequences	x-ioiu	p-value	p-value	x-1010	p-value	p-value	
Trypsin inhibitor	ai1	25.30	0,014	0,000	1.53	0.007		
	ai2	9.53	0,000	0,027	0.84	0.503		
Thiamine biosynthetic enzyme (thi1-2)	ai3	8.05	0,004	0,022	0.74	0.698		
	ai4	7.72	0,000	0,008	1.02	0.940		
	ai5	6.83	0,001	0.278	0.69	0.070		
Thiamine biosynthetic enzyme (thi1-1)	ai6	6.69	0,002	0,018	0.88	0.784		
	ai7	6.18	0,003	0,016	1.00	0.999		
	ai8	5.99	0,010	0,007	0.82	0.713		
	ai9	5.73	0,005	0,008	1.85	0.034		
	ai10	4.72	0,000	0,000	0.75	0.643		
Sucrose transport protein (SUT2)	ai11	3.87	0,000	0,009	1.20	0.263		
Catalase-3 (AA 1-495)	ai12	2.79	0,003	0,027	1.07	0.574		
Cysteine proteinase	ai13	2.25	0,000	0,007	0.98	0.634		
	ai14	2.81	0.000	0,000	1.10	0.645		
	ai15	2.60	0.000	0,010	0.92	0.048		
	ai16	2.88	0.000	0,000	1.28	0.004		
	pi1	0.54	0.225		2.38	0.000	0,027	

Table 3. Numbers and percentages of statistically significant differentially expressedsequences in pair wise comparisons of 2 MON810 and 2 near-isogenic maize varieties.

689	Lines used for comparison	No.	%
690	Aristis Bt (MON810) / Aristis (non-GM)	307	1.75
691	PR33P67 (MON810) / PR33P66 (non-GM)	25	0.14
692	Aristis (non-GM) / PR33P66 (non-GM)	693	3.94
	Aristis Bt (MON810) / PR33P67 (MON810)	832	4.74
693			

Table 4. Expression patterns of sequences selected to show down-regulation both in Aristis
Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66 in 5 MON810 commercial varieties vs. their corresponding
non-GM isogenic lines. P-values obtained by paired comparison of each GM vs. its near-isogenic
variety pair are indicated. Significant values (p<0.05) indicating down-regulation are
highlighted.

		pr6	pr3	pr5	pr7	pr9	ar6	ar10	pr4	pr8
	Aristis Bt / Aristis	0.010	0.047	0.009	0.055	0.018	0.018	0.008	0.037	0.047
	PR33P67 / PR33P66	0.011	0.011	0.009	0.004	0.009	0.010	0.002	0.014	0.009
	DKC 6575 / Tietar	0.034	0.007	0.008	0.026	0.015	0.012	0.057	0.092	0.080
	Beles Sur / Sancia	0.042	0.279	0.309	0.150	0.178	0.590	0.447	0.613	0.512
700	Helen Bt / Helen	0.739	0.599	0.787	0.208	0.785	0.706	0.751	0.517	0.810

702 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Changes in gene expression in MON810 vs. near-isogenic maize lines Aristis Bt vs. Aristis and PR33P67 vs. PR33P66. Each point represents one gene in the maize Affymetrix microarray. The log odds for differential expression of all genes, estimated from the RMA analysis of the data were plotted against the estimated log2 fold changes. Thus, a twofold increase or decrease in the level of a given transcript corresponds to 1 or -1, respectively. Bold, sequences further analyzed by real-time RT-PCR.

709

Figure 2. Venn diagrams representing differential gene expression in Aristis Bt/Aristis, PR33P67/PR33P66 and Aristis/PR33P66 based on microarray analysis. To allow clear visualization of the data, the areas representing differentially expressed sequences (p < 0.05, at least, 2-fold difference) are proportional to the amount of sequences; and those representing sequences with similar expression values were drawn 10-fold smaller than it would correspond.

716

Figure 3. Schematic representation of differential gene expression in Aristis Bt/Aristis and PR33P67/PR33P66 based on microarray analysis. Differentially expressed sequences (p < 0.05, at least, 2-fold difference) are represented in green (down-regulated in MON810 varieties) and red (up-regulated in MON810 varieties). Sequences displaying similar expression values in GM and non-GM varieties (around 99% sequences analyzed) are not included.

723

724





