This is the **Accepted Manuscript** version of the following article, published in *Science of The Total Environment* by Elsevier:

Proia, Lorenzo, Anzil, Adriana, Subirats, Jessica, Borrego, Carles, Marinella, Farrè, Llorca, Marta, Balcázar, Jose Luis, Servais, Pierre. (July 2018). "Antibiotic resistance along an urban river impacted by treated wastewaters". *Science of The Total Environment,* 628-629, 453-466.

The published journal article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.083

© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>



Antibiotic resistance along an urban river impacted by treated wastewaters

Proia Lorenzo¹, Anzil Adriana¹, Subirats Jessica², Borrego Carles^{2/3}, Farrè Marinella⁴, Llorca Marta⁴, Balcázar Jose Luis², Servais Pierre¹

¹ Ecologie des Systèmes Aquatiques, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus de la Plaine, CP 221, Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

²Catalan Institute for Water Research (ICRA), c/ Emili Grahit 101, 17003 Girona, Spain

³Group of Molecular Microbial Ecology, Institute of Aquatic Ecology, University of Girona, Girona, Spain.

⁴Water and Soil Quality Research Group, Department of Environmental Chemistry, IDAEA-CSIC, Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

<u>Corresponding author</u>: Proia Lorenzo; Ecologie des Systèmes Aquatiques, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus de la Plaine, CP 221, Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Brussels, Belgium (<u>proialorenzo@hotmail.it</u>).



- Antibiotic resistant (AR) E.coli increased downstream the release of WWTP effluents
- Significant regression between AR E. coli and AR heterotrophic bacteria was found
- Tetracycline concentration significantly correlated with respective ARGs abundance
- Particle-attached bacteria showed higher levels of some ARGs than free-living ones

1	Antibiotic resistance along an urban river impacted by treated wastewaters							
2 3	Proia Lorenzo ¹ , Anzil Adriana ¹ , Subirats Jessica ² , Borrego Carles ^{2/3} , Farrè Marinella ⁴ , Llorca Marta ⁴ , Balcázar Jose Luis ² , Servais Pierre ¹							
4 5	¹ Ecologie des Systèmes Aquatiques, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus de la Plaine, CP 221, Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Brussels, Belgium							
6 7	² Catalan Institute for Water Research (ICRA), c/ Emili Grahit 101, 17003 Girona, Spain							
8 9	³ Group of Molecular Microbial Ecology, Institute of Aquatic Ecology, University of Girona, Girona, Spain.							
10 11 12 13 14	⁴ Water and Soil Quality Research Group, Department of Environmental Chemistry, IDAEA-CSIC, Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain							
16 17 18 19	<u>Corresponding author</u> : Proia Lorenzo; Ecologie des Systèmes Aquatiques, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus de la Plaine, CP 221, Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Brussels, Belgium (<u>proialorenzo@hotmail.it</u>).							
20 21 22								
23 24								
25 26								
20								
28								
29 30								
31								
32								
33 34								
35								
36								
37								
38 39								
40								
41								
42								
43 44								
45								
46								
47								

- 48 Abstract
- 49

Urban rivers are impacted ecosystems which may play an important role as reservoirs 50 for antibiotic-resistant (AR) bacteria. The main objective of this study was to describe 51 52 the prevalence of antibiotic resistance along a sewage-polluted urban river. Seven sites along the Zenne River (Belgium) were selected to study the prevalence of AR 53 Escherichia coli and freshwater bacteria over a 1-year period. Culture-dependent 54 methods were used to estimate E. coli and heterotrophic bacteria resistant to 55 amoxicillin, sulfamethoxazole, nalidixic acid and tetracycline. The concentrations of 56 these four antibiotics have been quantified in the studied river. The antibiotic resistance 57 genes (ARGs), sul1, sul2, tetW, tetO, blaTEM and qnrS were also quantified in both 58 particle-attached (PAB) and free-living (FLB) bacteria. Our results showed an effect of 59 treated wastewaters release on the spread of antibiotic resistance along the river. 60 Although an increase in the abundance of both AR E. coli and resistant heterotrophic 61 bacteria was observed from upstream to downstream sites, the differences were only 62 significant for AR E. coli. A significant positive regression was also found between AR 63 E. coli and resistant heterotrophic bacteria. The concentration of ARGs increased from 64 65 upstream to downstream sites for both particle-attached (PAB) and free-living bacteria (FLB). Particularly, a significant increase in the abundance of four among six ARGs 66 67 analyzed was observed after crossing urban area. Although concentrations of tetracycline significantly correlated with tetracycline resistance genes, the antibiotic 68 69 levels were likely too low to explain this correlation. The analysis of ARGs in different 70 fractions revealed a significantly higher abundance in PAB compared to FLB for tetO 71 and sul2 genes. This study demonstrated that urban activities may increase the spread of 72 antibiotic resistance even in an already impacted river.

73

<u>Keywords:</u> Antibiotic resistance; Antibiotic resistance genes; Particle-attached bacteria;
 Free-living bacteria; Fecal bacteria; Urban Rivers.

- 76
- 77

78 1. Introduction

Indiscriminate use and overuse of antibiotics has led to an increase in the prevalence 80 81 of antibiotic-resistant (AR) bacteria (Levy and Marshall, 2004). The use of antimicrobial agents and their subsequent release in aquatic environments may have 82 83 consequences for autochthonous bacterial communities, especially in freshwater ecosystems. The direct effects of antibiotics can be detrimental to the ecosystem since 84 autochthonous bacteria play key roles in biogeochemical processes (Costanzo et al., 85 86 2005). Moreover, recent studies have revealed that sub-inhibitory antibiotic concentrations, similar to those found in some aquatic environments (Kümmerer, 2009), 87 may promote selection of AR bacteria (Gullberg et al., 2011). In addition, AR 88 89 determinants may be considered as a form of pollution in sewage-impacted rivers (Martinez, 2009) given that they are introduced into the environment mainly by the 90 91 release of enteric bacteria (Alonso et al., 2001).

92 During periods of treatment with antibiotics, bacteria from gastrointestinal tract are exposed to high concentrations of those compounds and develop resistance therein 93 94 before being released into the aquatic environment, through treated or untreated wastewater, surface runoff and soil leaching (Servais and Passerat, 2009). Urban rivers 95 are of the most involved environments, receiving both antibiotics and AR fecal bacteria 96 from wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) effluents. Different studies reported the 97 presence of AR opportunistic pathogens (Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci, Klebsiella 98 pneumoniae, Acinetobacter, Psuedomonas spp. and Shigella spp.) in urban rivers 99 affected by treated and untreated wastewaters (Hladicz et al., 2017; Marathe et al., 100 101 2017; Nishiyama et al., 2017; Skariyachan et al., 2015). In general, these impacted ecosystems play an important role in driving the persistence and spread of AR bacteria 102 103 (Taylor et al., 2011). In fact, urban rivers provide a setting in which the horizontal exchange of mobile genetic elements encoding antibiotic resistance between fecal and 104

105 freshwater bacteria can take place (Zhang et al., 2009). It is therefore of major 106 importance to investigate the main drivers of resistance behavior in freshwater bacteria 107 to identify possible management strategies able to control and reduce the dissemination 108 of antibiotic resistance in bacterial communities of freshwater environments.

Many works investigated the behavior of antibiotic residuals in freshwaters (Gibs et 109 al., 2013; Kümmerer, 2009; Zuccato et al., 2010) whereas many others focused on the 110 111 ARGs prevalence along sewage impacted rivers (Devarajan et al., 2016; Pruden et al., 112 2012; Stoll et al., 2012). Moreover, several studies aimed to analyze the occurrence and fate of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB) in aquatic environments affected by WWTPs 113 release (Alm et al., 2014; Garcia-Armisen et al., 2013; Souissi et al., 2018). Despite 114 considerable amount of research have been carried out coupling the investigation of 115 antibiotics and ARGs behavior (Huerta et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2013; Rodriguez-116 117 Mozaz et al., 2015) and that of ARB and ARGs (Guyomard-Rabenirina et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2014), comprehensive studies assessing at the same time the fate of 118 119 antibiotics, ARB and ARGs in urban rivers affected by wastewaters are still lacking. 120 One original study investigated the relationship between antibiotics, ARB and ARGs in waters along a medical center-WWTP-river continuum (Oberlé et al., 2012). 121 Nevertheless, this study only considered the fecal indicator E.coli and mainly focused 122 on the sewage treatment system only sampling the river upstream from the release of the 123 WWTP effluent (Oberlé et al., 2012). 124

The main objective of this study was then to describe the occurrence of antibiotic pollution and the prevalence of ARB along a sewage-impacted urban river, focusing on *Escherichia coli*, freshwater bacterial communities and ARGs. In the present study, *E. coli* is used as a model of bacteria from enteric origin. We choose to use *E. coli* for such a model as it is the most widely used fecal indicator bacteria to evaluate the level of

recent microbiological contamination in waters (Edberg et al., 2000). Enteric bacteria 130 131 can be exposed to high antibiotic concentrations in the human or animal gastrointestinal tract and could acquire resistance before being released in the environment. These 132 133 bacteria can thus act as a source of resistance in natural environments because they can disseminate antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) to freshwater bacteria (Davison, 1999). 134 Considering that low antibiotic concentrations (lower than minimal inhibitory 135 136 concentration) are able to promote antibiotic resistance (Gullberg et al., 2011), 137 continuous release of low levels of antibiotics in river water could act as chronic selective pressure on freshwater bacterial communities possibly contributing to the 138 139 spread of resistance in aquatic environments.

140 To investigate the AR spread along a sewage impacted river, the Zenne River was 141 studied. The Zenne is a paradigm of sewage-impacted river because its discharge (on 142 annual average) is doubled after receiving the treated waters from the two WWTPs in 143 the city of Brussels (Brion et al., 2015); high levels of fecal contamination have been 144 already described in this river (Ouattara et al., 2014). Seven sites along the Zenne River were sampled for 1 year to study the prevalence of AR E. coli and freshwater bacteria, 145 particularly focusing on the influence of treated sewage waters on the AR behavior 146 147 along the watercourse. Culture-dependent and -independent methods were used to 148 estimate the resistance of E. coli and heterotrophic bacteria by plate counts containing or not containing antibiotics as well as by quantifying the abundance of six genes 149 150 conferring resistance to the main antibiotic families in both particle-attached (PAB) and 151 free-living (FLB) bacteria. We hypothesized that after the release of sewage waters into the river the amount of resistant E. coli isolates would increase and that this increase 152 153 would be reflected on the freshwater bacteria and on the river resistome. Moreover, it 154 was expected to find higher levels of ARGs on PAB with respect to FLB because close 155 contact between cells attached to the same particle would increase the probability of156 exchange of genetic material encoding resistance.

157 2. Material and methods

158 2.1. Study site and sampling strategy

The Zenne River is located in the Belgian part of the Scheldt watershed and is a 159 tributary of the Dijle River (Fig. 1). The Zenne watershed (991 km^2) is characterized by 160 agricultural activities in its upstream part and urbanization downstream. The population 161 density in the watershed is on average 1260 inhabitants per km² and mostly located in 162 Brussels region. The Zenne has a length of 103 km and crosses Brussels from south to 163 north over a distance of about 20 km. Its annual average discharge upstream from 164 Brussels is $4 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Brion et al., 2015). Before the river reaches the Brussels area, it 165 166 already receives several effluents from small-scale WWTPs. In the Brussels area, the 167 Zenne receives effluents from two large WWTPs: the Brussels South WWTP (360,000 168 equivalent-inhabitants) and the Brussels North WWTP (1.2 million equivalentinhabitants). The Brussels South WWTP treatment line includes a primary settling stage 169 and a secondary biological treatment (activated sludge). At the Brussels North WWTP 170 the treatment includes a primary settling stage followed by a modern tertiary treatment 171 technology (removal of organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus through an activated 172 sludge process). The Zenne River also receives waters from two tributaries in the 173 Brussels area the Zuunbeek and the Woluwe Rivers which watersheds are mainly in 174 175 urban areas. Other small tributaries located in the Brussels area are diverted in the sewer 176 collectors so that their waters reach the Brussels WWTPs.

Seven stations were sampled along the Zenne River (Fig. 1) in the stretch located
downstream from the confluence with its major right-bank tributary the Sennette.
Accordingly, a kilometric scale along the river was defined; the zero is arbitrarily set at

station Z1 and increases from upstream to downstream. Stations Z1 (0 km) and Z3 (13
km) are located upstream from Brussels. Stations Z4 (19 km) and Z5 (20 km) are
located upstream and downstream from the Brussels South WWTP effluent release.
Stations Z8 (33 km) and Z9 (34 km) are located upstream and downstream from the
Brussels North WWTP, respectively, and Station Z11 (41 km) is significantly
downstream from the Brussels conurbation area.

Four sampling campaigns were conducted in 2016, one per season with different 186 187 hydrological conditions (discharge recorded before the Brussels region). In particular, sampling campaigns were undertaken in January (4.9 m³ s⁻¹), April (2.5 m³ s⁻¹), July 188 $(3.0 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$ and November $(1.3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$. The samplings in each season were carried out 189 during 2 subsequent days after at least 3 days of dry conditions in order to keep a steady 190 flow state of the river thus avoiding any influence of different hydrological conditions 191 192 on the results. Triplicate grab water samples were collected from the river channel and 193 stored in sterile 2-L bottles kept at 4°C until analysis carried out in the laboratory within 194 the following 4-6h.

195

196 2.2. Physicochemical analysis

Temperature, pH and conductivity were measured directly on-site using a portable
WTW 340 multiprobe (WTW, Whatman). Dissolved oxygen was measured on the spot
with a WTW oxi 323 field probe. Suspended particulate matter (SPM) was estimated as
the weight of material retained on a Whatman GF/F glass fiber filter (diameter, 4.7 cm;
particle retention size, 0.7 mm) per volume unit after drying the filter at 105°C.

203 **2.3. Concentration of antibiotics**

The concentrations of amoxicillin (AMX), sulfamethoxazole (SMX), nalidixic acid 205 (NAL) and tetracycline (TET) were determined in the last three campaigns by means of 206 207 liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry in tandem (LC-MS/MS) after sample clean-up and pre-concentration with solid phase extraction (SPE). The samples 208 209 were collected in amber sterile bottles and kept at 4°C in dark conditions until the pretreatment carried out within the 4h after collection. One hundred milliliters of blank 210 samples (consisting of Milli-Q water spiked with the mixture of native compounds at 211 100 ngL⁻¹) were used for traceability and cross-contamination monitoring. Then 100 mL 212 of blank samples and 100 mL of river samples were fortified with sulfamethoxazole-d₆ 213 surrogate internal standard for a final concentration of 0.1 ng mL⁻¹ in sample. After that, 214 the samples were homogenized and kept at -20° C before analysis in order to assure the 215 216 traceability of the results (Llorca et al., 2014).

Sample preparation was carried out following Gros et al. (2013). All the samples
were extracted in triplicate. More details are reported in Supplementary Material (A).

219

220 **2.4.** Quantification of AR *Escherichia coli* and freshwater bacteria

Resistance to AMX, STX, TET and NAL were tested in parallel in culturable E. coli 221 and freshwater bacteria. These antibiotics were chosen because they belong to four 222 223 different families with different mechanisms of action. Moreover, these antibiotics are 224 among the most used in Belgium for human (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, http://ecdc.europa.eu) and veterinary medicine (Callens et al., 2017). For 225 226 this purpose, Chromocult Coliform agar (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as specific culture medium to grow E. coli for 24 h at 37°C (Prats et al., 2008), 227 228 whereas heterotrophic bacteria were grown on nutrient broth diluted (DNB) 100 times (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) for 21-28 days at 20 °C. DNB was selected as 229

culture medium because a previous similar study demonstrated significantly higher 230 counts of resistant bacteria on this medium compared with richer ones (Garcia-Armisen 231 et al., 2013). Media were used as such (for total culturable E. coli and freshwater 232 bacteria) or supplemented with one of the four antibiotics. For each antibiotic, two 233 different concentrations (low and high) were tested: AMX (4 and 50 µg ml⁻¹), SMX (16 234 and 300 μ g ml⁻¹), NAL (2 and 30 μ g ml⁻¹) and TET (4 and 300 μ g ml⁻¹) (Sigma 235 Chemical Company, St. Louis, USA). The lowest (L) are the breakpoint concentrations 236 237 established for E. coli by the French committee for antimicrobial standards (Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie) and the highest (H) 238 correspond to the values reported in previous studies dealing with antibiotic resistance 239 in environmental bacteria (Garcia-Armisen et al., 2013). 240

241 Two ten-fold serial dilutions were filtered (or spread) for each sample in order to obtain 242 a proper colonies number to ensure that at least one of them could be counted. 243 Triplicates were performed for each volume filtered or dilution spread. For each of the 244 combinations two plates were not inoculated and were incubated as negative controls. 245 All the controls were negative after incubation. The results were expressed in colonyforming units (CFU) per liter. With these methods and considering the concentrations 246 used, we were able to quantify putative AR *E.coli* and freshwater bacteria. Thus, when 247 248 mentioning data of ARB enumerated using cultivation methods, we refer to putative 249 resistant bacteria all over the manuscript.

250 2.5. DNA extraction

The bacterial biomass was collected from the water and concentrated by filtration. An aliquot (from 0.25 L to 1.5 L) of each sample was filtered to collect two different bacterial fractions. Particle-attached bacteria (PAB) were collected filtering water on 5µm pore-size, 47-mm-diameter polycarbonate filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). This pore size has been already used to distinguish the behavior of bacteria attached to particles (which can settle) from that of free-living bacteria in river ecosystems (Garcia-Armisen and Servais, 2009; Proia et al., 2016a). Filtrates were then filtered through 0.22-µm pore size 47-mm-diameter polycarbonate filters (Millipore) to retain freeliving bacteria (FLB). Filters were kept at -80°C until extraction. Extractions were performed following García-Armisen et al. (2014). The details of the DNA extraction are reported in the Supplementary Material (B).

262

263

2.6. Quantification of ARGs using qPCR

The number of copies of the selected ARGs (sul1, sul 2, tetW, tetO, bla_{TEM} and qnrS) 264 265 was quantified using qPCR assays. All qPCR assays were performed in duplicate using 266 SYBR green detection chemistry with a Step One Plus (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher Scientific). Briefly, each reaction contained 8–9 µL of Power Up SYBR 267 268 Green master mix (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher Scientific), 200 nM each forward and reverse primer(s) and 45 ng ofDNA template, and the final volume was 269 adjusted to 20 µL by adding DNase-free water. Each gene was amplified using specific 270 primer sets (Sigma Aldrich) and the PCR conditions included initial denaturation at 271 272 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, then 20 s at the specific 273 annealing temperature depending on the gene (Table C.1), and finally two elongation steps of 40 s at 72°C and 32 s at 78°C. The copy number of the bacterial 16S rRNA 274 gene was also quantified, and the amplification conditions included an initial 275 276 denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, then an 277 annealing temperature at 60°C for 1 min, 40 s at 72°C and 32 s at 78°C. A dissociation 278 curve was applied at the end of each run to detect nonspecific amplifications. Tenfold dilutions of plasmid DNA containing known concentrations of the target gene, which 279

were generated as described by Proia et al. (2016), were used as standard curves. The 280 standards for each ARG were run in parallel with DNA samples and blank controls 281 (qPCR premix without a DNA template). The efficiency and sensitivity of each qPCR 282 283 assay was determined by the amplification of standard serial dilutions, as previously described (Marti et al., 2013). Amplification efficiency (E) was calculated from the 284 resulting standard curves using the formula $E = 10^{(1/slope)} -1$, and the analytical 285 sensitivity of the real-time PCRs was determined as the smallest DNA quantity detected 286 287 for each assay.

288

289 **2.7. Statistical analyses**

Resistance to each antibiotic at different concentrations was analyzed independently 290 using a one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for the 291 differences among sampling sites during the year of sampling. The effects were 292 293 analyzed post hoc with Tukey's b test. Moreover, in order to test for the influence of 294 WWTPs on antibiotic resistance, the sites upstream and downstream from the release of 295 effluents into the Zenne River were grouped together respectively and tested using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with location as a fixed factor (Up and Down). 296 Data were log-transformed to meet assumptions of normality and homogeneity of 297 298 variance when needed. Statistical significance was set at p = 0.05. Analyses were 299 performed using SPSS Version 15.0. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) was performed using the PRIMER 6 software to visualize the similarity/dissimilarity among sampling 300 301 sites in terms of AR bacteria. The analysis was based on a Euclidean distance matrix 302 created from a log(X+1)-transformed abundance data set. Pearson correlation analyses 303 of antibiotic concentrations, culturable AR heterotrophic bacteria and ARGs were

performed using Sigma Plot software 11.0, as was regression analysis between the
resistant culturable *E. coli* and heterotrophic bacteria data sets.

306

307 **3. Results**

308 3.1. Environmental variables and antibiotic concentrations

Conductivity gradually increased from 798 \pm 58 μ S cm⁻¹ at Z1 to 1192 \pm 68 μ S cm⁻¹ 309 at Z11 (Table 1). Dissolved oxygen tended to decrease downstream, whereas pH 310 311 remained fairly stable, close to neutrality, along the river course. The temperature increased downstream, showing a peak at Z8 (14.3 \pm 2.9°C) after crossing Brussels. 312 Suspended particulate matter did not show any clear pattern along the course of the 313 Zenne River (Table 1). In general, antibiotic concentrations were low along the river 314 course and did not show any clear pattern, except for TET, which increased from 315 316 upstream to downstream (Table 2). AMX was only detected at Z4 in two of the samples analyzed (10%) whereas it was below the detection limit at all other sampling sites 317 318 (Table 2). STX was detected in 18 of the samples analyzed (86%). However, in 56% of those samples STX was below the limit of quantification (LOQ = 5 ng L^{-1}). 319 Specifically, STX was detected at Z5 in all sampling campaigns, with a median 320 concentration of 227.9ng L⁻¹ (Table 2). Similarly, NAL was detected in 15 of the 321 322 samples analyzed (71%). However, in 73% of those samples NAL was below the limit of quantification (LOQ = 0.15 ng L^{-1}). Finally, TET was detected in 100% of the 323 samples analyzed and increased gradually from upstream to downstream with a peak 324 325 observed at Z9 (Table 2). In particular, TET concentrations clearly increased in the Brussels area (Z5–Z9) and decreased at Z11, downstream of the city (Table 2). 326

327

328 3.2. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria and Escherichia coli

329 3.2.1. Antibiotic-resistant freshwater bacteria

The average abundances of culturable freshwater bacteria along the Zenne River in 330 the four campaigns are shown in Fig. 2a. Bacterial abundance varied in the range of 10^8 331 CFU L⁻¹ and no clear pattern was observed. The average abundance of resistant bacteria 332 333 to both concentrations of each antibiotic tested tended to increase downstream (Fig. 3). The resistant bacteria were significantly higher for the lower concentrations tested (L) 334 for all the antibiotics tested (repeated measures ANOVA, p < 0.05). The counts of 335 resistant bacteria highlighted significantly lower levels of resistance to TET compared 336 337 to the other antibiotics tested, resulting in a final order TET < AMX < STX, NAL.

The behavior of resistant bacteria along the Zenne River showed slight differences 338 339 and high variability among the sampling sites during the studied year. In general, the 340 resistance to the antibiotics tested tended to increase from upstream to downstream sites but in most cases the increase was not significant. . Despite the high variability 341 342 observed among the sampling campaigns, Z9 was the site with the highest percentages 343 of resistant bacteria, independently of the antibiotic and concentration considered. For STX, the increase was gradual from Z1 to Z9 and decreased at Z11 for both low and 344 345 high concentrations (Fig. 3b). A similar pattern was observed for NAL (Fig. 3c), whereas for TET L the percentages of resistant bacteria were in general low, only 346 peaking at Z5 and Z9 (Fig. 3d). Resistance to a high concentration of TET was 347 348 extremely low in the Zenne River's bacteria (always below 1%, Fig. 3d). The percentage of resistant bacteria slightly increased from Z4 to Z5 (upstream and 349 downstream from the outfall of Brussels South WWTP effluent to the river) except for 350 351 AMX H and STX L (Fig. 3a and b). In contrast, the increase between Z8 and Z9 (immediately upstream and downstream from the release of Brussels North WWTP 352 353 effluent to the river) was relevant (+40% on average) for all the antibiotics and

concentrations tested. The relative abundance of resistant bacteria after crossing the
Brussels-Capital region (from Z4 to Z9) increased approximately 60% on average.

To explore the relationships between antibiotic concentrations and the abundance of culturable AR freshwater bacteria, a correlation analysis was performed. This analysis showed a positive significant correlation between TET concentrations and the abundance of bacteria resistant to the highest concentration of this antibiotic (Pearson correlation; r = 0.786, p = 0.036).

361

362 3.2.2. Antibiotic-resistant Escherichia coli

363 The average abundances of culturable E. coli measured along the Zenne River in the 364 four campaigns are presented in Fig. 2b, demonstrating a significant increase from upstream to downstream. In particular, higher abundances were observed at sampling 365 366 sites located after the discharge of the Brussels WWTPs into the river (Z5 and Z9, Fig. 2b). In contrast, the lowest abundances were observed upstream from Brussels (Z1-Z4, 367 Fig. 2b). The lowest value was observed at Z3 where *E. coli* abundance was on average 368 $1.76 \times 10^5 \pm 1.98 \times 10^5$ CFU L⁻¹ and peaked at Z9 with values reaching $1.38 \times 10^6 \pm$ 369 $1.54 \times 10^{6} \text{ CFU L}^{-1}$. 370

Figure 4 shows box-plots of the abundance of *E. coli* resistant to both concentrations of the four antibiotics tested. The abundance of resistant *E. coli* was significantly higher for the lower concentrations tested (repeated measures ANOVA, p<0.05) for all antibiotics except for AMX for which the difference between the two concentrations was not statistically significant (p>0.05). The counts of resistant bacteria obtained with TET were significantly lower than those obtained with AMX, STX and NAL (ANOVA, p<0.001).

The behavior of resistant E. coli along the Zenne River followed the same pattern as 378 total E. coli abundance (Fig. 4). As a consequence, the percentages of resistant E.coli 379 among sites were not significantly different along the Zenne River for any of the 380 381 antibiotics and concentrations tested (Figure A1). Notably, Z3 was the sampling site with the significantly lowest abundance of resistant E. coli independently of the 382 antibiotic and concentration considered. Similarly, the abundance of resistant E. coli 383 was significantly the highest at Z9 for all the antibiotics and concentrations tested (Fig. 384 385 4). In particular, the highest amount of resistant E. coli was observed at Z9 where bacteria resistant to the lower concentration of STX were $1.18 \times 10^6 \pm 1.07 \times 10^6$ CFU 386 L^{-1} , corresponding to 78% of the total culturable *E. coli*. The increase of resistant *E. coli* 387 from Z4 to Z5 (upstream and downstream from the outfall of the Brussels South WWTP 388 to the river) was also significant, independently of the antibiotic and concentration 389 considered. Similarly, between Z8 and Z9 (upstream and downstream of the outfall of 390 391 the Brussels North WWTP to the river) resistant E. coli increased significantly except 392 for AMX L (Fig. 4a), NAL H (Fig. 4f) and TET both concentrations (Fig. 4g and h). In 393 general, downstream from the Brussels-Capital region (at Z11) the abundance of resistant E. coli decreased with regard to the sampling sites located in the Brussels area 394 (Z5–Z9); however, only in a few cases (AMX L and NAL both concentrations) did the 395 396 values recover to levels similar to those upstream (Z1–Z4) from the city (Fig. 4a, e and f). 397

The MDS performed to visualize the similarity among sites in terms of AR *E. coli* clearly separates Z8 and Z9 from the rest of the locations sampled (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the sites located upstream from the input of Brussels South WWTP effluent into the river (Z1–Z4) are grouped together and are clearly separated from those located downstream from the release of treated waters to the river (Z5–Z9, Fig. 5). Finally, Z11

is separated from the other sites, indicating that the levels of resistance to the antibioticstested differed here from all the other sites (Fig. 5).

To investigate the eventual role of AR fecal bacteria in the spread of resistance to freshwater heterotrophic bacteria in the Zenne River, a linear regression analysis was performed between the AR *E. coli* and AR heterotrophic bacteria data sets (Fig. 6). The results of this analysis revealed a significant relationship between resistant fecal bacteria and resistant culturable heterotrophic bacteria (r = 0.57; p < 0.001; n = 198)

410

411

412 **3.3.** Abundance of ARGs along the river's course

All the qPCR assays were performed with high R^2 values (average 0.997 ± 0.003), high efficiencies (average 97.8 ± 2.7 %) and a dynamic range of at least 5 orders of magnitude, indicating the validity of the resulting quantifications (Table A.3). Limit of quantification was different depending on the gene and the run and all the details are reported in table A.3.

418 In general, the absolute concentration of target ARGs increased from upstream to 419 downstream sites for both particle-attached (PAB) and free-living bacteria (FLB), particularly increasing at Z8, Z9 and Z11 for all the genes analyzed (Fig. 7a-f). For most 420 421 of the ARGs studied, abundance peaked at Z8; nevertheless, the variability among the 422 sampling campaign was high and differences with the other downstream sites were not 423 significant considering the whole year. In contrast, an increase in the abundance of ARGs after crossing the Brussels-Capital region was significant for the sul2 (p = 0.004), 424 tetW (p = 0.024), qnrS (p = 0.002) and tetO (p = 0.004) genes. The levels of ARGs 425 normalized to the 16s rRNA copies did not differ among sampling sites and varied 426 427 without showing any clear pattern along the Zenne River (Fig A.2).

The MDS performed to visualize the similarity of the sites in terms of ARG abundance (Fig. 8) clearly separated the sites upstream from Brussels (Z1, Z3 and Z4) from downstream sites (Z8, Z9 and Z11). Furthermore, the site located just downstream from the Brussels South WWTP effluent release into the river (Z5) was separated from all the other sites (Fig. 8), demonstrating the influence of treated waters released from Brussels South WWTP in terms of ARG abundance along the Zenne River.

To determine the potential relations between the absolute abundance of ARGs and the antibiotics to which they confer resistance, correlation analyses were carried out. Significant positive correlations between the concentration of tetracycline and its corresponding ARGs were observed. In particular, *tet*O abundances positively correlated with TET concentrations ($r^2 = 0.87$, p = 0.002) as well as *tet*W ($r^2 = 0.87$, p =0.002). For all the other ARGs, no significant correlation was found

To compare the levels of ARGs in the two fractions (FLB and PAB), the abundance values were normalized to the 16S rRNA gene copy numbers. The results of this comparison (Fig. 9) highlighted a significantly greater amount in PAB compared to FLB for *tet*O (p = 0.004) and *sul*2 (p = 0.038). Moreover, the comparison of the genes revealed that *bla*_{TEM} was significantly lower than other genes analyzed in both PAB and FLB fractions along the Zenne River (p < 0.001, Fig. 9).

446

447 **4. Discussion**

The Zenne is a small river known to be severely impacted by the release of effluents of two large WWTPs in the Brussels area (Brion et al., 2015; Ouattara et al., 2014). In this study, the concentration of four antibiotics, prevalence of ARB (*E.coli* and freshwater) and abundance of ARGs were investigated along the Zenne River.

452 **4.1. Antibiotic pollution**

Antibiotic concentrations detected in Zenne's surface water were within the same 453 454 range as those found in other sewage-impacted European rivers (Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2011). For example, even though AMX is a broad-spectrum antibiotic widely used in 455 456 human medicine, it was barely detected in our study (10% of samples), agreeing with previous studies which demonstrated low persistence of beta-lactams in aquatic 457 environments (Andreozzi et al., 2004; Längin et al., 2009; Oberlé et al., 2012; Zuccato 458 459 et al., 2010). This low prevalence may be explained by its instability in aqueous media 460 (Gros et al., 2013; Hirsch et al., 1999) Similarly, although NAL was frequently detected in most of the samples, its concentration was below the quantification limit of the 461 method applied (LOQ = 0.15 ng L^{-1}). This observation is expected considering that this 462 antibiotic is currently only used for livestock species in Belgium and agrees with the 463 464 results obtained in surface waters of sewage-impacted rivers worldwide (Gibs et al., 465 2013; Gros et al., 2013; Komori et al., 2013). STX is one of the most widely detected antibiotics in river waters. In our study, STX was found at a high frequency (86%) and 466 467 STX was detected in all the Z5 samples (directly downstream from the release of the 468 Brussels South WWTP effluent to the Zenne) at concentrations that were within the range of those found in other impacted rivers (Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2011; Gros et al., 469 470 2007; Oberlé et al., 2012; Proia et al., 2013; Tamtam et al., 2008). TET was the most 471 frequently detected antibiotic in the Zenne River, with concentrations increasing from upstream to downstream sites and peaking at Z9 (immediately downstream from the 472 release of the Brussels North WWTP to the Zenne) at concentrations higher than those 473 474 found in other studies investigating antibiotic occurrence in surface waters (Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2011; Gros et al., 2009; Proia et al., 2013). From the correlation analysis 475 performed to explore the relationships between antibiotic concentrations and the 476 abundance of culturable AR freshwater bacteria, only TET concentrations showed a 477

positive significant correlation with the abundance of bacteria resistant to the highest 478 concentration of this antibiotic. Even if low concentrations of TET could promote 479 resistance (Gullberg et al., 2011; Lundström et al., 2016), from the correlation found in 480 481 our study we cannot conclude about any causal relationship between TET levels measured in the Zenne River and increasing TET resistant bacteria. In fact, the highest 482 TET concentrations measured in this study were still one order of magnitude lower than 483 484 those reported to promote resistance (Gullberg et al., 2011; Lundström et al., 2016). 485 Moreover, all the other antibiotics analyzed showed positive but non-significant correlations with the abundance of culturable AR freshwater bacteria, thus highlighting 486 487 that some other factor must be the main driver of AR spread among resident bacterial communities. This result was expected considering that they come from the same source 488 and also considering that antibiotics levels measured in the Zenne River did not follow 489 490 any clear pattern (except TET) and were several orders of magnitude lower than the concentrations predicted to select for resistance. 491

492

493 **4.2 Antibiotic resistance of culturable bacteria**

Enteric bacteria from human and animal digestive tracks are found in surface urban 494 waters mainly brought into aquatic environments through treated or untreated 495 496 wastewater release (Servais and Passerat, 2009). The disappearance of fecal bacteria in aquatic environments results from the combined actions of various biological (grazing 497 498 by protozoa, virus induced cell lysis and autolysis) and physico-chemical parameters 499 (stress due to osmotic shock (when released in seawater), nutrients depletion, sunlight intensity and temperature decrease) and also to possible deposition to sediments 500 501 (Servais et al., 2007). Despite cryptic strains of *Escherichia* clades able to survive in aquatic environments have been reported (Vignaroli et al., 2014), it has been 502

demonstrated that 90% of culturable *E. coli* would not survive more than 3 days in river
waters (Servais et al., 2007). Moreover, *E. coli* is still the most widely used indicator of
recent fecal contamination in aquatic environments (Edberg et al., 2000) and has been
chosen as a model in this study.

E. coli significantly increased from upstream to downstream sites in the Zenne 507 River, notably peaking after the release of the WWTP effluents into the main course 508 (Fig. 2b). In general, the abundance of E. coli observed in the present study (median 509 values at each station higher than 1×10^5 CFU L⁻¹), exceeded by more than one order of 510 magnitude those required for bathing activities in EU countries (EU, 2006). Moreover, 511 512 concentrations of E. coli measured in the present study were similar to those measured in a previous study carried out on the same river in 2009–2010 (Ouattara et al., 2014). 513 514 Ouattara et al. (2014) already highlighted the impact of the Brussels WWTPs on the 515 abundance of fecal bacteria along the Zenne River. Furthermore, AR E. coli also 516 followed the same pattern (Fig. 4), suggesting that the main source of resistant fecal 517 bacteria to the Zenne is the discharge of treated effluents to the main river course. 518 However, fecal contamination (by both resistant and non-resistant E. coli) was already high upstream of both WWTP discharges (Fig. 2b and Fig. 4). The origins of this 519 520 contamination can be ascribed to three main factors: i) the release of the effluents from 521 three relatively small WWTPs (with a total capacity of 103,300 equivalent inhabitants); 522 ii) the runoff on pastured areas and iii) the effluents from farms with intense breeding activities in the upstream watershed (Ouattara et al., 2014). Despite the high levels of 523 524 antibiotic resistance found in E. coli upstream from the Brussels-Capital region, the MDS confirmed a clear impact of urban activities on the occurrence of AR fecal 525 526 bacteria in the river (Fig. 5). Most particularly, our data highlighted a strong effect of the Brussels South WWTP effluent, whereas the effect of the Brussels North WWTP on 527

AR E. coli was less pronounced (Z8 and Z9 grouped together). This observation could 528 be explained by the different efficiency of the two WWTPs in removing E. coli through 529 sewage water treatment and by others non-negligible sources like raw wastewaters 530 531 released from the Brussels old sewer system (Ouattara et al., 2014). In fact, a previous study described significantly higher abundance of E. coli in Brussels South effluent 532 compared to Brussels North, suggesting that the tertiary treatment (applied only in 533 534 Brussels North WWTP) may be responsible for the lower amount of fecal bacteria 535 released into the Zenne River (Ouattara et al., 2014). As a consequence, the strong effect of the higher amount of AR E.coli released by Brussels South effluent and the 536 537 additional effect of inputs from the Brussels old sewer system could have masked the impacts of Brussels North effluent (no separation between Z8 and Z9).. Finally, the 538 539 significant decrease of E. coli (both resistant and non-resistant) at Z11 (Fig 2b and 4) is 540 probably explained by the high rates of mortality occurring in freshwater systems (Servais et al., 2007). 541

542 . The linear regression analysis performed between AR E. coli and AR freshwater 543 bacteria revealed a significant positive relationship (Fig. 6). Few studies have investigated the correlation between AR fecal and heterotrophic bacteria in sewage-544 contaminated rivers. Garcia-Arminsen et al. (2011) found no significant correlation for 545 546 three of the four antibiotics investigated in the present study (AMX, NAL and TET). 547 However, one possible explanation for this different result is that these authors plotted the percentages of resistant E. coli against the percentages of resistant freshwater 548 549 bacteria (Garcia-Armisen et al., 2011), whereas our significant regression was obtained by plotting the absolute abundance values (Fig. 6). To verify that our relation was not 550 551 driven by the general increase of bacterial abundance (both E. coli and heterotrophic bacteria), generally caused by the release of WWTP effluents into the rivers, the 552

regression analysis between culturable E. coli and culturable heterotrophic bacteria was 553 554 carried out and no significant correlation was found (r = 0.16, p = 0.43), confirming that the relation existed only for AR bacteria. Despite the high variability detected along the 555 556 river and among campaigns, probably also related with the limited number of sampling sites, these results suggest that the increase of resistance in freshwater bacteria could be 557 558 somehow related with the levels of sewage pollution but some role of fecal bacteria 559 released by wastewaters in the dissemination of AR determinants among freshwater 560 communities can be only hypothesized. In fact, without a characterization down to species (and possibly strains), only specific controlled experiments can confirm the 561 562 possible primary active role of resistant enteric bacteria in the spread of antibiotic resistance into freshwater bacterial communities. 563

564 **4.3. The river resistome**

565 **4.3.1 Effects of WWTP discharges on river resistome**

566 Many studies worldwide have reported higher levels of ARGs in response to 567 increased human activities in freshwater ecosystems (Huerta et al., 2013; Pei et al., 568 2006; Pruden et al., 2012; Stoll et al., 2012). In particular, WWTPs have been widely described as one of the main sources of ARGs to river ecosystem bacteria (Berglund et 569 570 al., 2015; Proia et al., 2016b; Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2015). Our study showed 571 increased levels of all the ARGs analyzed after crossing the Brussels-Capital region and receiving the effluents of the city's two WWTPs. Notably, the MDS associated with 572 cluster analysis carried out with all the ARGs abundances highlighted the role of 573 574 WWTP effluents in the spread of ARGs along the Zenne River. In fact, the sampling site located just downstream from the release of the Brussels South WWTP into the 575 576 river (Z5) was clearly separated from the upstream sites, demonstrating discontinuity in terms of ARG abundance. Moreover, the downstream sites (Z8, Z9 and Z11) were 577

grouped together by the same analysis, thus highlighting the role of urban activities in 578 the spread of ARGs and indicating that the increased levels of ARGs in the river 579 (induced by the city) are maintained almost 8 km downstream (Z11). The combination 580 581 of this evidence with the increasing concentrations of some antibiotic downstream (i.e. TET) suggests that some additional source of pollution could be present between the 582 release of Brussels North effluent to the Zenne and Z11. For example the tributary 583 Woluwe River could be a possible source of pollution between Z9 and Z11 explaining 584 585 this behavior. Nevertheless the limited number of sampling sites in this study does not allow any conclusion about this hypothesis. 586

The absolute concentrations of ARGs in the Zenne River were higher than those 587 measured in other studies (Di Cesare et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2013; LaPara et al., 2015; 588 Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2015). In fact, all the ARGs analyzed (PAB + FLB) in the 589 Zenne River varied within the range of 10^4 to 10^6 copies mL⁻¹ except bla_{TEM}, which was 590 the lowest and varied between 10^3 and 10^4 copies mL⁻¹ (Fig. 7). Jiang et al. (2013) 591 592 analyzed a large number of genes conferring resistance to tetracycline, sulfonamides 593 and β -lactams in a Chinese river crossing urban areas. They found the levels of *sul*1 and sul2 comparable to the Zenne River $(10^5 \text{ copies mL}^{-1})$ but much lower abundance (about 594 1000-fold) of tetW and tetO genes (Jiang et al., 2013). On other hands, Di Cesare et al. 595 596 (2016) studied the behavior of ARGs during rainfall events and reported peaks of sul1 and qnrS of about 10^2 copies mL⁻¹ in a forested Italian river, 2-4 orders of magnitude 597 lower than those measured in the Zenne River. This huge difference is certainly 598 599 explained by the different nature of the watersheds. LaPara and colleagues (2015) also reported lower levels of *tet*W and *sul* 1 respect to the Zenne, in a study assessing the 600 601 effects of multiple discharges of treated municipal wastewaters on the abundances of ARGs in the upper Mississippi River (USA). Similarly, Rodriguez-Mozaz et al. (2015) 602

measured the absolute abundance of tetW, blaTEM, sul 1 and qnrS genes, always below 603 10⁴ copies mL⁻¹ in a Spanish WWTP-affected river (Ter River), much lower than in the 604 Zenne. This could be explained by the lower impact of human activities and treated 605 606 sewage waters in the Ter River compared to the Zenne. Moreover, the same study also found the absolute abundance of bla_{TEM} to be the lowest of the genes analyzed, in 607 agreement with our finding. To conclude, these comparisons confirmed that even 608 609 though the Zenne River showed extremely high levels of ARGs also upstream from the 610 Brussels-Capital region, urban activities increased the spread of antibiotic resistance determinants along the river with the effects still observed a few kilometers 611 downstream. 612

613

614 **4.3.2.** Effects of antibiotics on river resistome

615 The correlation analysis carried out between ARG abundances and antibiotic 616 concentrations only revealed significant correlation between *tet* genes and TET whereas 617 any significant correlation was found for the rest of measured ARGs mainly because the 618 concentrations of target antibiotics were generally low. Another study highlighted significant positive correlations between ARGs and antibiotic concentrations in a 619 sewage-impacted river (Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2015). Nevertheless, TET was not 620 621 detected in the surface waters of the river they studied; therefore, no correlation analysis with tet genes was performed (Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2015). In contrast, positive 622 623 correlations between *tet* genes and tetracycline concentrations were found in a study 624 analyzing ARGs and antibiotic levels in WWTP effluents and receiving surface waters (Xu et al., 2015). . Most of the cited studies investigated these correlations in WWTPs 625 626 including surface water samples only upstream and downstream from the release of 627 treated sewage waters to the river. Hence, the present study is the first one reporting this 628 correlation for tet genes along a sewage-impacted river. Nevertheless, considering that 629 from a correlation analysis is not possible to conclude about causation and taking into account that the measured TET concentrations were considerably lower than those 630 631 reported to promote resistance (Gullberg et al., 2011; Lundström et al., 2016) we cannot conclude that TET could lead to selective pressure for the corresponding ARGs in 632 Zenne River waters. One possible reason of the significant correlation found in this 633 634 study is that the source of tet genes and TET would be the same (WWTP effluents) thus 635 explaining the positive correlation. However the role of the trace levels of antibiotics detected in surface waters on the promotion and spread of AR in aquatic environments 636 637 is a matter of concern that need to be studied further under controlled conditions.

638

639 **4.3.3.** ARGs in particle-attached vs. free-living bacteria

640 Several studies have investigated the occurrence of ARGs in bacterial communities inhabiting different compartments of freshwater ecosystems such as sediments 641 642 (Berglund et al., 2014; Czekalski et al., 2014; Marti et al., 2013), biofilms (Aubertheau 643 et al., 2017; Proia et al., 2016b; Schwartz et al., 2003; Subirats et al., 2017; Winkworth, 2013) and the water column (Czekalski et al., 2015; Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2015). 644 645 Nevertheless, to our knowledge the present study is the first investigating the 646 distribution of ARGs in bacterioplankton, distinguishing particle-attached bacteria 647 (PAB) from free-living bacteria (FLB). We hypothesized that PAB would show higher 648 levels of ARGs because their life style enhances the close contact between cells, 649 consequently increasing the probability of an exchange of genetic material encoding resistance. The present study confirmed the hypothesis of higher ARGs levels in PAB 650 651 respect to FLB only for the tetO and sul 2 genes. Nevertheless, our data do not allow identifying which mechanisms would be responsible for the observed increase. 652

653 Moreover it is also possible that bacterial communities living on particles are different 654 from free living ones. this could lead to differences in ARG abundances because ARGs would be not equally abundant in all species. However this latest hypothesis could be 655 656 only confirmed by a specific community structures analysis of both fractions that has not been carried out in this study. Anyway, the different behaviors of the ARGs 657 depending on the lifestyle of freshwater bacteria could have implications for the spread 658 of AR bacteria in aquatic ecosystems. In fact, PABs are more subjected to 659 660 sedimentation processes and consequently, depending on the river flow, they are not expected to travel downstream as rapidly as FLBs are expected to do. However, 661 662 particles with AR bacteria could both fall on the benthic compartment, favoring the spread of resistance in biofilms, and be re-suspended, as a consequence of flood events, 663 consequently delivering resistance downstream. The study of the different behaviors of 664 665 AR bacteria in PAB and FLB could also provide useful information for wastewater treatment management in order to reduce the input of AR determinants in aquatic 666 667 ecosystems. This study provides the first evidence of differences in the behavior of 668 some ARGs depending on the lifestyle of bacteria.

669

671 **5.** Conclusions

672

670

This study showed that urban activities may increase the occurrence of antibiotic resistance. Even if the levels of antibiotic resistance in the Zenne River were relatively high already upstream from Brussels, after crossing the city (and receiving the effluents of the two main WWTPs) antibiotic resistance increased significantly independently on the method used to quantify it (culture-dependent and –independent). Our results also suggest that the release of AR fecal bacteria through WWTP effluents could play some

679	role in the increased levels of AR heterotrophic culturable freshwater bacteria								
680	downstream even if transfer of resistance could be not demonstrated. Moreover, our								
681	findings highlighted that tetracycline levels positively correlated with the respective								
682	ARGs probably because they are released into river waters by the same sources. Finally,								
683	this is the first work investigating the distribution of ARGs in bacterioplankton								
684	distinguishing particle-attached from free-living bacteria and our hypothesis of higher								
685	ARGs levels in PAB respect to FLB was confirmed for two of the genes analyzed. To								
686	conclude, our study was conducted at ecosystem scale and does not allow conclusions								
687	about direct causality, nevertheless the evidences observed permit to generate valuable								
688	hypothesis about antibiotic resistance spread in real aquatic ecosystems strongly								
689	affected by human activities.								
690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701	Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Belgian Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (Chargé de Recherches postdoctoral grant) and by the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness (JdC-2014-21736). The authors thank Natacha Motteu, Aurore Abbe and Amandine Lafitte for their participation in the samplings and lab work.								
702									
703									

704 6. References

- Alm, E.W., Zimbler, D., Callahan, E., Plomaritis, E., 2014. Patterns and persistence of
 antibiotic resistance in faecal indicator bacteria from freshwater recreational
 beaches. J. Appl. Microbiol. 117, 273–285. doi:10.1111/jam.12512
- Alonso, A., Sánchez, P., Martínez, J.L., 2001. Environmental selection of antibiotic
 resistance genes. Environ. Microbiol. 3, 1–9. doi:10.1046/j.14622920.2001.00161.x
- Andreozzi, R., Caprio, V., Ciniglia, C., De Champdor??, M., Lo Giudice, R., Marotta,
 R., Zuccato, E., 2004. Antibiotics in the environment: Occurrence in Italian STPs,

713 714	fate, and preliminary assessment on algal toxicity of amoxicillin. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 6832–6838. doi:10.1021/es049509a
715 716 717 718	Aubertheau, E., Stalder, T., Mondamert, L., Ploy, MC., Dagot, C., Labanowski, J., 2017. Impact of wastewater treatment plant discharge on the contamination of river biofilms by pharmaceuticals and antibiotic resistance. Sci. Total Environ. 579, 1387–1398. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.136
719 720 721	Berglund, B., Fick, J., Lindgren, PE., 2015. Urban wastewater effluent increases antibiotic resistance gene concentrations in a receiving northern European river. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 34, 192–6. doi:10.1002/etc.2784
722 723 724	Berglund, B., Khan, G.A., Lindberg, R., Fick, J., Lindgren, PE., 2014. Abundance and Dynamics of Antibiotic Resistance Genes and Integrons in Lake Sediment Microcosms. PLoS One 9, 1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108151
725 726 727 728	Brion, N., Verbanck, M. a., Bauwens, W., Elskens, M., Chen, M., Servais, P., 2015. Assessing the impacts of wastewater treatment implementation on the water quality of a small urban river over the past 40 years. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22, 12720–12736. doi:10.1007/s11356-015-4493-8
729 730 731 732	Callens, B., Sarrazin, S., Cargnel, M., Welby, S., Dewulf, J., Hoet, B., Vermeersch, K., Wattiau, P., 2017. Associations between a decreased veterinary antimicrobial use and resistance in commensal Escherichia coli from Belgian livestock species (2011–2015). Prev. Vet. Med. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.10.013
733 734 735	Costanzo, S.D., Murby, J., Bates, J., 2005. Ecosystem response to antibiotics entering the aquatic environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 51, 218–223. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.10.038
736 737 738	Czekalski, N., Gascon Diez, E., Burgmann, H., 2014. Wastewater as a point source of antibiotic-resistance genes in the sediment of a freshwater lake. ISME J 8, 1381–1390.
739 740 741 742	Czekalski, N., Sigdel, R., Birtel, J., Matthews, B., Bürgmann, H., 2015. Does human activity impact the natural antibiotic resistance background? Abundance of antibiotic resistance genes in 21 Swiss lakes. Environ. Int. 81, 45–55. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2015.04.005
743 744	Davison, J., 1999. Genetic Exchange between Bacteria in the Environment. Plasmid 42, 73–91. doi:https://doi.org/10.1006/plas.1999.1421
745 746 747 748	Devarajan, N., Laffite, A., Mulaji, C.K., Otamonga, JP., Mpiana, P.T., Mubedi, J.I., Prabakar, K., Ibelings, B.W., Poté, J., 2016. Occurrence of Antibiotic Resistance Genes and Bacterial Markers in a Tropical River Receiving Hospital and Urban Wastewaters. PLoS One 11, e0149211. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149211
749 750 751	Di Cesare, A., Eckert, E.M., Rogora, M., Corno, G., 2017. Rainfall increases the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes within a riverine microbial community. Environ. Pollut. 226, 473–478. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.036
752 753 754	Edberg, S.C., Rice, E.W., Karlin, R.J., Allen, M.J., 2000. Escherichia coli: the best biological drinking water indicator for public health protection. Symp. Ser. Soc. Appl. Microbiol. 106S–116S. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2000.tb05338.x

- EU Directive 2006/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 February. (2006). Concerning the management of bathing water quality. Official Journal of the European Union, 64, 37–51.
 Fatta-Kassinos, D., Meric, S., Nikolaou, A., 2011. Pharmaceutical residues in environmental waters and wastewater: Current state of knowledge and future
- research. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 399, 251–275. doi:10.1007/s00216-010-4300-9
- Garcia-Armisen, T., Anzil, A., Cornelis, P., Chevreuil, M., Servais, P., 2013.
 Identification of antimicrobial resistant bacteria in rivers: Insights into the cultivation bias. Water Res. 47, 4938–4947. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2013.05.036
- García-Armisen, T., İnceoğlu, Ö., Ouattara, N.K., Anzil, A., Verbanck, M. a., Brion, N.,
 Servais, P., 2014. Seasonal Variations and Resilience of Bacterial Communities in
 a Sewage Polluted Urban River. PLoS One 9, e92579.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092579
- Garcia-Armisen, T., Servais, P., 2009. Partitioning and Fate of Particle-Associated E.
 coli in River Waters. Water Environ. Res. 81, 21–28.
- Garcia-Armisen, T., Vercammen, K., Passerat, J., Triest, D., Servais, P., Cornelis, P.,
 2011. Antimicrobial resistance of heterotrophic bacteria in sewage-contaminated
 rivers. Water Res. 45, 788–796. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2010.09.003
- Gibs, J., Heckathorn, H.A., Meyer, M.T., Klapinski, F.R., Alebus, M., Lippincott, R.L.,
 2013. Occurrence and partitioning of antibiotic compounds found in the water
 column and bottom sediments from a stream receiving two wastewater treatment
 plant effluents in Northern New Jersey, 2008. Sci. Total Environ. 458–460, 107–
 116. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.076
- Gros, M., Petrovic, M., Barceló, D., 2007. Wastewater treatment plants as a pathway for
 aquatic contamination by pharmaceuticals in the Ebro river basin (northeast spain).
 Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 26, 1553–1562. doi:10.1897/06-495R.1
- Gros, M., Petrović, M., Barceló, D., 2009. Tracing Pharmaceutical Residues of
 Different Therapeutic Classes in Environmental Waters by Using Liquid
 Chromatography/Quadrupole-Linear Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry and Automated
 Library Searching. Anal. Chem. 81, 898–912. doi:10.1021/ac801358e
- Gros, M., Rodríguez-mozaz, S., Barceló, D., 2013. Rapid analysis of multiclass
 antibiotic residues and some of their metabolites in hospital, urban wastewater and
 river water by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to
 quadrupole-linear ion trap tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1292,
 173–188. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.12.072
- Gullberg, E., Cao, S., Berg, O.G., Ilbäck, C., Sandegren, L., Hughes, D., Andersson,
 D.I., 2011. Selection of Resistant Bacteria at Very Low Antibiotic Concentrations.
 PLoS Pathog. 7, e1002158. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002158
- Guyomard-Rabenirina, S., Dartron, C., Falord, M., Sadikalay, S., Ducat, C., Richard,
 V., Breurec, S., Gros, O., Talarmin, A., 2017. Resistance to antimicrobial drugs in
 different surface waters and wastewaters of Guadeloupe. PLoS One 12, 1–17.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173155

- Hirsch, R., Ternes, T., Haberer, K., Kratz, K.L., 1999. Occurrence of antibiotics in the aquatic environment. Sci. Total Environ. 225, 109–118. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00337-4
- Hladicz, A., Kittinger, C., Zarfel, G., 2017. Tigecycline resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae
 isolated from Austrian river water. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 14, 11–13.
 doi:10.3390/ijerph14101169
- Huerta, B., Marti, E., Gros, M., López, P., Pompêo, M., Armengol, J., Barceló, D.,
 Balcázar, J.L., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Marcé, R., 2013. Exploring the links between
 antibiotic occurrence, antibiotic resistance, and bacterial communities in water
 supply reservoirs. Sci. Total Environ. 456–457, 161–170.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.071
- Jiang, L., Hu, X., Xu, T., Zhang, H., Sheng, D., Yin, D., 2013. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes and their relationship with antibiotics in the Huangpu River and the drinking water sources, Shanghai, China. Sci. Total Environ. 458–460, 267–272. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.04.038
- Khan, K.M., Lindgren, P., Fick, J., 2013. Occurrence and Abundance of Antibiotics and
 Resistance Genes in Rivers, Canal and near Drug Formulation Facilities A Study
 in Pakistan 8, 4–11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062712
- Komori, K., Suzuki, Y., Minamiyama, M., Harada, A., 2013. Occurrence of selected
 pharmaceuticals in river water in Japan and assessment of their environmental risk.
 Environ. Monit. Assess. 185, 4529–4536. doi:10.1007/s10661-012-2886-4
- Kümmerer, K., 2009. Antibiotics in the aquatic environment A review Part I.
 Chemosphere 75, 417–434. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.11.086
- Längin, A., Alexy, R., König, A., Kümmerer, K., 2009. Deactivation and transformation products in biodegradability testing of β-lactams amoxicillin and piperacillin.
 Chemosphere 75, 347–354. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.12.032
- LaPara, T.M., Madson, M., Borchardt, S., Lang, K.S., Johnson, T.J., 2015. Multiple
 Discharges of Treated Municipal Wastewater Have a Small Effect on the
 Quantities of Numerous Antibiotic Resistance Determinants in the Upper
 Mississippi River. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 11509–11515.
- 827 doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02803
- Levy, S.B., Marshall, B., 2004. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges
 and responses. Nat.Med. 10, S122–S129. doi:10.1038/nm1145
- Llorca, M., Gros, M., Rodríguez-mozaz, S., Barceló, D., 2014. Sample preservation for
 the analysis of antibiotics in water. J. Chromatogr. A 1369, 43–51.
 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2014.09.089
- Lundström, S. V., Östman, M., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Rutgersson, C., Thoudal, M.,
 Sircar, T., Blanck, H., Eriksson, K.M., Tysklind, M., Flach, C.F., Larsson, D.G.J.,
 2016. Minimal selective concentrations of tetracycline in complex aquatic bacterial
 biofilms. Sci. Total Environ. 553, 587–595. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.103
- Marathe, N.P., Pal, C., Gaikwad, S.S., Jonsson, V., Kristiansson, E., Larsson, D.G.J.,
 2017. Untreated urban waste contaminates Indian river sediments with resistance

- genes to last resort antibiotics. Water Res. 124, 388–397.
- doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.07.060
- Marti, E., Jofre, J., Balcazar, J.L., 2013. Prevalence of Antibiotic Resistance Genes and
 Bacterial Community Composition in a River Influenced by a Wastewater
 Treatment Plant. PLoS One 8, e78906. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078906
- Martinez, J.L., 2009. Environmental pollution by antibiotics and by antibiotic resistance
 determinants. Environ. Pollut. 157, 2893–902. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2009.05.051
- Nishiyama, M., Ogura, Y., Hayashi, T., Suzuki, Y., 2017. Antibiotic resistance profiling
 and genotyping of vancomycin-resistant enterococci collected from an urban river
 basin in the Provincial City of Miyazaki, Japan. Water (Switzerland) 9.
 doi:10.3390/w9020079
- Oberlé, K., Capdeville, M.-J., Berthe, T., Budzinski, H., Petit, F., 2012. Evidence for a
 Complex Relationship between Antibiotics and Antibiotic-Resistant Escherichia
 Coli: From Medical Center Patients to a Receiving Environment. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 46, 1859–1868. doi:10.1021/es203399h
- Ouattara, N.K., Garcia-Armisen, T., Anzil, A., Brion, N., Servais, P., 2014. Impact of
 Wastewater Release on the Faecal Contamination of a Small Urban River: The
 Zenne River in Brussels (Belgium). Water, Air, Soil Pollut. 225, 2043.
 doi:10.1007/s11270-014-2043-5
- Pei, R., Kim, S.-C., Carlson, K.H., Pruden, A., 2006. Effect of River Landscape on the
 sediment concentrations of antibiotics and corresponding antibiotic resistance
 genes (ARG). Water Res. 40, 2427–2435. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2006.04.017
- Prats, J., Garcia-Armisen, T., Larrea, J., Servais, P., 2008. Comparison of culture-based methods to enumerate Escherichia coli in tropical and temperate freshwaters. Lett.
 Appl. Microbiol. 46, 243–248. doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02292.x
- Proia, L., Lupini, G., Osorio, V., Pérez, S., Barceló, D., Schwartz, T., Amalfitano, S.,
 Fazi, S., Romaní, a. M.M., Sabater, S., 2013. Response of biofilm bacterial
 communities to antibiotic pollutants in a Mediterranean river. Chemosphere 92,
 1126–1135. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.063
- Proia, L., von Schiller, D., Gutierrez, C., Marcé, R., 2016a. Microbial carbon processing
 along a river discontinuum 35. doi:10.1086/689181.
- Proia, L., Von Schiller, D., Sànchez-Melsió, A., Sabater, S., Borrego, C.M., RodríguezMozaz, S., Balcázar, J.L., 2016b. Occurrence and persistence of antibiotic
 resistance genes in river biofilms after wastewater inputs in small rivers. Environ.
 Pollut. 210, 121–128. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.035
- Pruden, A., Arabi, M., Storteboom, H.N., 2012. Correlation between upstream human
 activities and riverine antibiotic resistance genes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46,
 11541–11549. doi:10.1021/es302657r
- Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Chamorro, S., Marti, E., Huerta, B., Gros, M., Sànchez-Melsió,
 A., Borrego, C.M., Barceló, D., Balcázar, J.L., 2015. Occurrence of antibiotics and
 antibiotic resistance genes in hospital and urban wastewaters and their impact on
 the receiving river. Water Res. 69, 234–242. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2014.11.021

- Schwartz, T., Kohnen, W., Jansen, B., Obst, U., 2003. Detection of antibiotic-resistant
 bacteria and their resistance genes in wastewater, surface water, and drinking water
 biofilms. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 43, 325–335. doi:10.1016/S01686496(02)00444-0
- Servais, P., Garcia-Armisen, T., George, I., Billen, G., 2007. Fecal bacteria in the rivers
 of the Seine drainage network (France): Sources, fate and modelling. Sci. Total
 Environ. 375, 152–167. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.12.010
- Servais, P., Passerat, J., 2009. Antimicrobial resistance of fecal bacteria in waters of the
 Seine river watershed (France). Sci. Total Environ. 408, 365–372.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.09.042
- Skariyachan, S., Mahajanakatti, A.B., Grandhi, N.J., Prasanna, A., Sen, B., Sharma, N.,
 Vasist, K.S., Narayanappa, R., 2015. Environmental monitoring of bacterial
 contamination and antibiotic resistance patterns of the fecal coliforms isolated from
 Cauvery River, a major drinking water source in Karnataka, India. Environ. Monit.
 Assess. 187. doi:10.1007/s10661-015-4488-4
- Souissi, M., Laabidi, R., Aissa, P., Pringault, O., Said, O. Ben, 2018. Influence of
 Bizerte city wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) on abundance and
 antibioresistance of culturable heterotrophic and fecal indicator bacteria of Bizerte
 Lagoon (Tunisia). Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 148, 201–210.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.10.002
- Stoll, C., Sidhu, J.P.S., Tiehm, a, Toze, S., 2012. Prevalence of clinically relevant
 antibiotic resistance genes in surface water samples collected from Germany and
 Australia. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 9716–26. doi:10.1021/es302020s
- Subirats, J., Royo, E., Balcázar, J.L., Borrego, C.M., 2017. Real-time PCR assays for
 the detection and quantification of carbapenemase genes (bla KPC, bla NDM, and
 bla OXA-48) in environmental samples. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 24, 6710–6714.
 doi:10.1007/s11356-017-8426-6
- Tamtam, F., Mercier, F., Le Bot, B., Eurin, J., Tuc Dinh, Q., Clément, M., Chevreuil,
 M., 2008. Occurrence and fate of antibiotics in the Seine River in various
 hydrological conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 393, 84–95.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.12.009
- Taylor, N.G.H., Verner-Jeffreys, D.W., Baker-Austin, C., 2011. Aquatic systems:
 maintaining, mixing and mobilising antimicrobial resistance? Trends Ecol. Evol.
 26, 278–284. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.03.004
- 915 Vignaroli, C., Di Sante, L., Magi, G., Luna, G.M., Di Cesare, A., Pasquaroli, S.,
 916 Facinelli, B., Biavasco, F., 2014. Adhesion of marine cryptic Escherichia isolates
 917 to human intestinal epithelial cells. Isme J. 9, 508.
- Winkworth, C.L., 2013. Antibiotic resistance genes in freshwater biofilms along a
 whole river. J. Water Health 11, 186–198. doi:10.2166/wh.2013.223
- Xu, J., Xu, Y., Wang, H., Guo, C., Qiu, H., He, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Meng, W., 2015.
 Occurrence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in a sewage treatment
 plant and its effluent-receiving river. Chemosphere 119, 1379–1385.

- 923 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.040
- 24 Zhang, X.-X., Zhang, T., Fang, H.H.P., 2009. Antibiotic resistance genes in water
 environment. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 82, 397–414. doi:10.1007/s00253-0081829-z
- Zhang, X., Li, Y., Liu, B., Wang, J., Feng, C., Gao, M., Wang, L., 2014. Prevalence of
 Veterinary Antibiotics and Antibiotic- Resistant Escherichia coli in the Surface
- 929 Water of a Livestock Production Region in Northern China 9.
- 930 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111026
- 201 Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., Bagnati, R., Melis, M., Fanelli, R., 2010. Source,
- 932 occurrence and fate of antibiotics in the Italian aquatic environment. J. Hazard.
 933 Mater. 179, 1042–1048. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.03.110

Table 1. Environmental variables measured at each sampling site during the campaigns. Values are expressed as mean values and SD in *italics* between parentheses (n = 4). Cond = Conductivity; DO = dissolved oxygen; satO₂ = percentage of oxygen saturation; T = temperature and SPM = suspended particulate matter.

	Cond (µS cm ⁻¹)	рН	DO (mg L ⁻¹)	satO ₂ (%)	Т (°С)	SPM (mg L ⁻¹)
Z1	798	6.9	9.5	82.3	9.2	31.3
	(58)	(0.7)	(2.1)	(4.9)	(5.1)	(24.7)
Z3	814	7.4	10.1	87.4	9.4	26.4
	(75)	(0.6)	(2.1)	(4.7)	(5.2)	(25.2)
Z 4	849	7.6	9.8	85.9	9.9	37.8
	(68)	(0.3)	(1.1)	(2.4)	(5.1)	(33.0)
Z5	931	7.5	8.5	82.1	12.9	32.4
	(90)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(4.2)	(3.7)	(25.8)
Z 8	1027	7.1	6.9	73.4	14.3	22.2
	(165)	(0.5)	(1.2)	(3.5)	(2.9)	(7.4)
Z9	1022	7.2	8.6	81.1	12.6	25.5
	(31)	(0.3)	(1.9)	(2.8)	(3.8)	(17.8)
Z11	1192	7.1	7.1	66.6	12.3	34.6
	(68)	(0.4)	(2.7)	(9.1)	(4.4)	(17.9)

Table 2. Maximum, minimum and median antibiotic concentrations measured at each sampling site during the sampling campaigns. Values are expressed in ng L⁻¹. AMX = amoxicillin; STX = sulfamethoxazole; NAL = nalidixic acid and TET = tetracycline. When antibiotic concentration was detected but below the limit of quantification (LOQ) we considered the concentration as LOQ/2. (LOQ_{AMX} = 10 ng L⁻¹; LOQ_{STX} = 5 ng L⁻¹; LOQ_{NAC} = 0.15 ng L⁻¹; LOQ_{TET} = 50 ng L⁻¹).

	AMX			STX		NAL			TET			
	min	max	median	min	max	median	min	max	median	min	max	median
Z 1	nd	nd	nd	nd	2930.1	146.0	nd	0.08	0.05	60.8	92.6	68.9
Z3	nd	nd	nd	nd	200.1	0.5	nd	0.08	0.05	73.1	89.3	82.8
Z4	nd	1729.7	283.6	2.5	128.5	2.5	0.08	0.65	0.08	54.7	107.6	59.7
Z5	nd	nd	nd	120.4	253.0	227.9	0.08	0.08	0.08	74.7	87.3	85.2
Z8	nd	nd	nd	2.5	2.5	2.5	nd	0.53	0.05	83.1	147.9	89.0
Z9	nd	nd	nd	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.08	0.08	0.08	87.1	137.9	116.5
Z11	nd	nd	nd	2.5	460.6	2.5	0.08	1.44	0.66	85.9	128.8	98.5

Figure 1 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 1. Study area with selected sampling sites (denoted with diamonds) along the Zenne River. The grey area indicates the Brussels-Capital region.

Figure 2 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 2. Box-plots in log units of the abundance of culturable heterotrophic bacteria (a) and culturable *E. coli* (b) measured at the different sites along the Zenne River during the four sampling campaigns. Box plots represent the median (horizontal line in the box), the lower and upper quartiles (bottom and top box lines), the 10th and 90th percentiles (bottom and top whiskers) and the outliers (black circles). Post-hoc Tukey's b analysis results are shown with letters when differences among sampling sites were significant. Statistical significance was set at $p \le 0.05$ (one-way repeated measures analysis of variance, ANOVA).





Figure 3. Percentages of culturable heterotrophic bacteria resistant to amoxicillin (a), sulfamethoxazole (b), nalidixic acid (c) and tetracycline (d) measured at the different sites along the Zenne River during the four sampling campaigns. Black bars show the results for lower concentrations (L) and grey bars for higher ones (H).

Figure 4 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 4. Box-plots in log units of the abundance of culturable *E. coli* resistant to amoxicillin (a and b), sulfamethoxazole (c and d), nalidixic acid (e and f) and tetracycline (g and h) measured at the different sites along the Zenne River during the four sampling campaigns. Box plots represent the median (horizontal line in the box), the lower and upper quartiles (bottom and top box lines), the 10th and 90th percentiles (bottom and top whiskers) and the outliers (black circles). Left, the results for the lowest concentration tested (a, c, e and g); right (b, d, f and h) the results for the highest concentration. Post-hoc Tukey's b analysis results are shown with letters when differences among sampling sites were significant. Statistical significance was set at $p \le 0.05$ (one-way repeated measures analysis of variance, ANOVA.



Figure 5. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination of the culturable resistant *E. coli* dataset for the four sampling campaigns along the Zenne River, based on log(x+1)-transformed abundance and Euclidean distance. The circles represent the results of the cluster analysis carried out on the same data set and demonstrate groups of sampling sites depending on their similarity in terms of resistant culturable *E. coli* abundance.



Figure 6. Regression analysis between culturable resistant E. coli and culturable resistant heterotrophic bacteria.



Figure 7. Abundances of ARGs at the different sampling sites along the Zenne River during the four sampling campaigns (log scale). Black bars show the results for particle-attached bacteria (PAB) and grey bars free-living bacteria (FLB).



Figure 8. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination of the ARG data set for the four sampling campaigns along the Zenne River, based on log(x+1)-transformed abundance and Euclidean distance. The circles represent the results of the cluster analysis carried out on the same data set and demonstrate groups of sampling sites depending on their similarity in terms of ARG abundance.



Figure 9. Comparison among ARGs and between PAB (Empty boxes) and FLB (filled boxes). Values are log units of ARG normalized to 16s rRNA copies. Box plots represent the median (horizontal line in the box), the lower and upper quartiles (bottom and top box lines), the 10th and 90th percentiles (bottom and top whiskers). Results of statistical analyses are reported. Asterisks (*) represent significant differences between PAB and FLB fractions. Bold letters represent the results of post-hoc Tukey's b analysis for PAB fraction when differences among ARG were significant. *Italic* letters represent the results of post-hoc Tukey's b analysis for FLB fraction when differences among ARG were significant Statistical significance was set at $p \le 0.05$ (one-way analysis of variance, ANOVA).

Supplementary material for on-line publication only Click here to download Supplementary material for on-line publication only: Supplementary_MAterial_MS_Proia et al._submittee