

El Dret civil català en el context europeu

est



Materials de les Dotzenes
Jornades de Dret Català
a Tossa

26 i 27 de setembre de 2002

El Dret civil català en el context europeu

Materials de les Dotzenes Jornades de Dret Català a Tossa

El Dret civil català en el context europeu

Materials de les Dotzenes Jornades de
Dret Català a Tossa

Coord:
ÀREA DE DRET CIVIL,
UNIVERSITAT DE GIRONA

Girona 2003



Documenta
Universitaria

Reservats tots els drets. El contingut d'aquesta obra està protegit per la Llei, que estableix penes de presó i/o multes, a més de les corresponents indemnitzacions per danys i perjudicis per a aquells que reproduïssin, plagiessin, distribuïssin o comunicassin públicament, en la seva totalitat o en part, una obra literària, artística o científica, o la seva transformació, interpretació o execució artística fixada en qualsevol mena de suport o comunicada a través de qualsevol mitjà, sense la preceptiva autorització.

EAP, SL

Edicions a Petició, SL
Pl. Europa, 3, 2on—2a
17005 GIRONA
telf. +34 630608231

www.documentauniversitaria.com
info@documentauniversitaria.com

ISBN 84-933125-9-2

Dipòsit Legal: GI-1362-2003

Imprès a

Novembre de 2003

Aquestes *Dotzenes Jornades* han estat organitzades per l'Àrea de Dret Civil de la Universitat de Girona, en col·laboració amb el Departament de Justícia de la Generalitat de Catalunya i el suport de l'Ajuntament de Tossa de Mar i de:

Universitat de Girona
Facultat de Dret UAB (Deganat)
Facultat de Dret UPF (Deganat)
Facultat de Dret UdG (Deganat)
Acadèmia de Jurisprudència i Legislació de Catalunya
Col·legi d'Advocats de Barcelona
Col·legi d'Advocats de Girona
Col·legi d'Advocats de Reus
Col·legi d'Advocats de Tortosa
Col·legi de Notaris de Catalunya
Deganat autonòmic dels Registradors de la Propietat i
Mercantils de Catalunya
Diputació de Girona

ÍNDIX

PONÈNCIES

PRIMERA PONÈNCIA L'APROXIMACIÓ ENTRE ELS DRETS CIVILS EUROPEUS

ENCARNA ROCA

CODIFICACIÓ CATALANA I CODIFICACIÓ EUROPEA. EL CODI CIVIL COM A INSTRUMENT DE POLÍTICA JURÍDICA	21
I. INTRODUCCIÓ.....	21
II. ELS SENTITS DE L'EXPRESSIÓ CODIFICACIÓ.....	24
A) Codificació com a forma d'expressió d'una cultura jurídica concreta.....	24
B) Codificació com a instrument polític per obtenir determinades finalitats.....	25
C) Codificació, mecanisme per a l'exercici de la sobirania.....	27
III. LA CODIFICACIÓ DEL DRET PRIVAT EUROPEU.....	29
A) Els arguments de la codificació europea.....	30
B) Quin codi europeu i per a què?.....	33
IV. LA CODIFICACIÓ DEL DRET CIVIL CATALÀ.....	36
V. A TALL DE CONCLUSIÓ.....	42
BIBLIOGRAFIA.....	44

SIXTO SÁNCHEZ LORENZO

APROXIMACIÓN DEL DERECHO CIVIL EN EUROPA: MARCO COMUNITARIO Y COMPETENCIAS DE LA COMUNIDAD EUROPEA	47
1. LA COMPETENCIA PARA LA APROXIMACIÓN DE LEGISLACIONES NACIONALES.....	47
A) Planteamiento general: el principio de vinculación funcional.....	47
B) Unificación por la vía del art. 94 CE.....	50
C) Unificación por la vía del art. 95 CE.....	51
2. LÍMITES DERIVADOS DEL ÁMBITO DE APLICACIÓN.....	59
A) Ratione materiae.....	59
B) Límites espaciales.....	66
C) Límites personales.....	68
3. LOS PRINCIPIOS DE SUBSIDIARIEDAD Y PROPORCIONALIDAD.....	69
A) Principio de subsidiariedad.....	70
B) Principio de proporcionalidad.....	73
4. ALCANCE DE LA DOCTRINA DE LOS PODERES IMPLÍCITOS.....	81

FERNANDO MARTÍNEZ SANZ

LOS PRINCIPIOS DE DERECHO CONTRACTUAL EUROPEO (COMISIÓN LANDO) EN EL MARCO DE LA ARMONIZACIÓN DEL DERECHO DE CONTRATOS	83
I. ALGO SOBRE LA HISTORIA.....	83
II. OBJETIVOS.....	84
III. LOS PRINCIPIOS DE DERECHO CONTRACTUAL EN EL MARCO DE LA UNIFICACIÓN.....	86

IV. MÉTODO DE TRABAJO	88
V. ASPECTOS TRATADOS	89
VI. PERSPECTIVAS DE FUTURO	92
VII. REPERCUSIÓN EFECTIVA	95

SEGONA PONÈNCIA
LA REFORMA DELS CODIS CIVILS EN UN CONTEXT
D'APROXIMACIÓ EUROPEA

LUIS DíEZ-PICAZO

REFORMA DE LOS CÓDIGOS Y DERECHO EUROPEO	99
---	-----------

ANTONIO MANUEL MORALES MORENO

ADAPTACIÓN DEL CÓDIGO CIVIL AL DERECHO EUROPEO: LA COMPRAVENTA	109
---	------------

I. INTRODUCCIÓN	110
II. ENCUADRE DOGMÁTICO DE LA DIRECTIVA 1999/44	111
III. LA EXIGENCIA DE CONFORMIDAD	112
IV. LA SUPERACIÓN DEL SISTEMA CODIFICADO DEL SANEAMIENTO	
POR VICIOS: RAZONES TEÓRICAS, RAZONES PRÁCTICAS	113
A. Razones históricas que justifican la existencia del sistema especial de	
responsabilidad del saneamiento	113
B. Claves dogmáticas de la contraposición entre saneamiento e incumplimiento:	
la transformación del concepto de objeto	115
V. EL ESTADO ACTUAL DEL PROCESO DE TRANSPOSICIÓN DE LA DIRECTIVA	
1999/44/CE A LOS ORDENAMIENTOS EUROPEOS	117
A. Generalización del sistema de la Directiva a todas las ventas, a través de la	
reforma del Código	118
1. Alemania	118
2. Austria	119
3. Francia	119
3. Holanda	120
B. Creación de un régimen especial para las ventas a consumidores dentro	
del Código civil	120
1. Italia	120
2. Bélgica	120
C. Incorporación mediante una ley especial	121
1. Portugal	121
2. España	121
VI. PROBLEMAS QUE PLANTEA EL SISTEMA DE TRANSPOSICIÓN DE LA	
DIRECTIVA EN EL DERECHO ESPAÑOL: PROBLEMAS DE CONCURRENCIA	
DE REMEDIOS	122
VII. LA REFORMA DEL CC	125
A. Aspectos generales	126
1. La noción de compraventa	126
2. Una nueva regulación del problema del riesgo	127
3. Imposibilidad inicial (art. 1460 CC)	128
4. Supresión de la obligación de saneamiento	129
5. Mantenimiento de los preceptos que regulan las formas de entrega	129
6. Gastos de transporte	130
7. Estado en que debe ser entregada la cosa y atribución de sus frutos	130

8. Tratamiento de las diferencias de cabida o calidad en las ventas de inmuebles.....	131
B. Falta de conformidad.....	131
1. La exigencia de conformidad.....	132
2. Determinación del momento en el que la cosa debe ser conforme al contrato.....	132
3. Los criterios de conformidad.....	133
4. Límites de la vinculación por declaraciones públicas.....	133
5. Entrega de cosa diferente o en cantidad inferior a la debida.....	134
6. Conocimiento de la falta de conformidad por el comprador.....	134
7. Incorrecta instalación del bien.....	135
8. La garantía convencional.....	135
9. Los remedios de la falta de conformidad.....	136
10. La pretensión de cumplimiento.....	137
11. Derecho a resolver el contrato o a obtener una rebaja del precio.....	139
12. Carga de denunciar la falta de conformidad.....	140
13. Prescripción.....	141
C. Derechos de tercero.....	143
1. Delimitación del supuesto.....	144
2. Remedios del comprador.....	145
3. La llamada en garantía.....	145
4. Obligación de denuncia.....	145
5. Prescripción.....	146
VIII. LA REFORMA DEL CÓDIGO CIVIL Y LA LEY DE INCORPORACIÓN DE LA DIRECTIVA.....	146
BIBLIOGRAFÍA.....	146

HUGH BEALE

EUROPEANISATION OF PRIVATE LAW AND ENGLISH LAW.....	151
COMPLIANCE WITH EU LEGISLATION.....	151
THE INFLUENCE OF EUROPEAN IDEAS ON ENGLISH PRIVATE LAW.....	155
ENGLISH ATTITUDES TOWARDS GREATER HARMONISATION OR UNIFICATION OF PRIVATE LAW.....	159
CONCLUSION.....	162

ULRICH MAGNUS

EUROPE AND THE LEGAL REFORM OF THE LAW OF OBLIGATIONS.....	163
I. INTRODUCTORY REMARKS.....	163
II. SURVEY AND BACKGROUND OF THE RECENT GERMAN REFORM(S).....	164
1. Reform of Contract Law and Limitation Law.....	164
2. Reform of the Law of Damages and Strict Liability.....	165
III. SOME DETAILS OF THE TWO REFORMS THROUGH THE EUROPEAN LOOKING GLASS.....	166
1. Limitation – General Rule with Few Exceptions.....	166
2. General Contract Law.....	167
a) Breach of Contract.....	167
b) Presumed Fault-Principle Retained.....	168
c) Extinction of Obligation to Perform.....	169
d) Damages for Breach of Contract.....	169
e) Right of Termination of Contract.....	170
3. Sales Contracts.....	170
4. Integration of Consumer Protection Statutes into Civil Code.....	172
5. Codification of Judge-Made Law.....	172
6. General Compensation of Immaterial Loss.....	173
7. Exclusion of Compensation of Fictitious Damage.....	173

8. Reduction of Children's Liability in Traffic Accidents.....	174
9. Extension of Strict Liability.....	175
10. Evaluation	175
IV. THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL PRIVATE LAW.....	176
1. Development and Character of European Private Law.....	176
a) International Conventions	177
b) Regulations and Directives	177
c) The Lando Principles	178
d) Preparatory Work of Different Groups.....	178
e) Characterisation of European Private Law.....	179
2. Impact of European Law on National Private Law.....	179
3. National Influences on European Private Law.....	180
V. CONCLUSIONS	181

TERCERA PONÈNCIA EL SENTIT D'UNA CODIFICACIÓ PER A CATALUNYA

PRIMER PANEL. ESTRUCTURA I CONTINGUT DEL FUTUR CODI CIVIL DE CATALUNYA	185
---	------------

SEGON PANEL. IMPORTÀNCIA D'UN CODI CIVIL PER A CATALUNYA: REFLEXIONS DES DEL MÓN JURÍDIC.....	209
--	------------

COMUNICACIONS

COMUNICACIONS A LA PRIMERA I A LA SEGONA PONÈNCIES

PATRICIO CARVAJAL R.

LAS ARRAS PENITENCIALES	231
I. INTRODUCCIÓN.....	231
II. Algunas útiles consideraciones histórico-dogmáticas.....	232
III. LAS ARRAS PENITENCIALES Y EL SISTEMA GENERAL DE LA CONTRATACIÓN	243
IV. LAS ARRAS, PARTE DEL PRECIO, Y EL PRINCIPIO DE EJECUCIÓN DE LA OBLIGACIÓN DE PAGAR EL PRECIO.....	253
V. LAS ARRAS PENITENCIALES Y LA CLÁUSULA PENAL.....	256
VI. LAS ARRAS Y LA INDEMNIZACIÓN DE DAÑOS	259
VII. CONCLUSIÓN.....	262

BEATRIZ FERNÁNDEZ GREGORACI

REPRESENTACIÓN INDIRECTA Y UNDISCLOSED AGENCY: SU CONVERGENCIA. LA CONVENTION ON AGENCY IN THE INTERNATIONAL SALE OF GOODS Y LOS PRINCIPIOS OF EUROPEAN CONTRACT LAW	265
I. INTRODUCCIÓN.....	265
II. UNDISCLOSED AGENCY Y REPRESENTACIÓN INDIRECTA	266

III. CONVENTION ON AGENCY IN THE INTERNATIONAL SALE OF GOODS (GINEBRA, 17 FEBRERO DE 1983)	268
A. Clasificación de los supuestos	270
1. El conocimiento por tercero	270
2. Momento del conocimiento o desconocimiento por el tercero.....	271
3. Carga de la prueba	273
B. Efectos	274
1. Supuesto I. Disclosed agency	274
2. Supuestos II y III: la aproximación entre el common law y el civil law	275
a) La reticencia del Reino Unido a la aproximación.....	275
b) Requisitos para el ejercicio de la acción directa: incumplimiento del agente....	278
i) Incumplimiento frente al principal	280
ii) Incumplimiento frente al tercero.....	281
c) Dinámica de la acción directa.....	282
i) Obligación de desvelar la identidad	282
ii) Obligación de notificar	284
iii) Excepciones oponibles.....	285
d) Excepciones a la acción directa.....	286
i) Falta de voluntad del tercero de contratar con el principal.....	286
ii) Acuerdo en contra de la aplicación.....	287
IV. LA REPRESENTACIÓN INDIRECTA EN LOS PRINCIPIOS OF EUROPEAN CONTRACT LAW	290
A. Sistema de clasificación.....	291
B. Efectos de la representación indirecta.....	292
1. Supuestos de efectos directos	293
2. Dinámica de la acción.....	293
3. Excepciones oponibles.....	294
4. Excepciones a la acción directa.....	294
V. CONCLUSIONES.....	295
BIBLIOGRAFÍA.....	296

M. ROSA LLÁCER MATACÁS

LES QUALITATS DE L'OBJECTE EN LES FASES PRE I POSTCONTRACTUALS: DEL SANEJAMENT A LA CONFORMITAT. (EL COMPLIMENT DEFECTUÓS EN DRET DE CONSUM I DRET CIVIL EUROPEU I ESTATAL)

1. EL CONCEPTE DE CONFORMITAT EN LA DIRECTIVA 44/1999/CE I LA SEVA EXTRAPOLACIÓ A MATÈRIES ALIENES AL CONSUM	299
1.1. La Directiva 44/1999/CE com a norma de consum.....	299
1.2. La conformitat en altres àmbits del dret privat: compravenda de mercaderies i principis de dret contractual europeu	301
2. LES QUALITATS DE L'OBJECTE DE LA PRESTACIÓ, ENTRE EL COMPLIMENT CONTRACTUAL I EL CONTROL CAUSAL	304
3. CARACTERÍSTIQUES DE L'OBJECTE I COMPLIMENT	306
3.1. Integració de l'oferta, fixació del contingut contractual i integració de l'objecte: la celebració del contracte en dret de consum.....	306
3.2. La configuració jurisprudencial de l'objecte: la insuficiència del sanejament per vics ocults i l'incompliment per inhabilitat funcional de l'objecte.....	308
3.3. La configuració legal de l'objecte: la conformitat i les seves conseqüències.....	310
3.3.1. La configuració de l'objecte en la LCU	310
3.3.2. La conformitat en la Directiva 44/1999/CE	310
4. EL TRASLLAT DE LA CONFORMITAT AL DRET CIVIL GENERAL	313
4.1. Uniformitat i divergències en el context del dret privat estatal.....	313
4.2. L'especificitat de les transaccions entre particulars i la perspectiva uniformadora dels projectes europeus	314

4.2.1. Pautes per a una anàlisi.....	314
4.2.2. El contingut implícit en la finalitat econòmica del contracte: entorn de l'absorció de l'element causal per l'obligació de compliment conforme	315
4.2.3. La pretesa obligació d'informar	317
4.2.4. Compliment, risc i conformitat.....	318
4.2.5. El règim de responsabilitat contractual.....	318
4.2.6. L'adaptació dels recursos contra el deutor.....	320

FRANCESCA LLODRÀ GRIMALT

REFLEXIONS SOBRE ELS PECL I LA FASE NEGOCIADORA DEL CONTRACTE. LETTER OF INTENT: PACTE D'INTENCIONS O PRECONTRACTE 321

I. INTRODUCCIÓ.....	321
II. LA FASE NEGOCIADORA DEL CONTRACTE EN RELACIÓ AMB ELS PRINCIPIS EUROPEUS DE DRET CONTRACTUAL: L'ENCAIXAMENT DE LA FIGURA DE LES LETTERS OF INTENT	322
1. Introducció.....	322
1.1. Idees generals sobre la fase preliminar en els PECL.....	322
1.2. Problemàtica	323
2. Letter of intent: el seu contingut	324
2.1. Introducció.....	324
2.2. Letter of intent: Pacte d'intencions.....	326
2.2.1. La determinació dels elements essencials. La proposta suficientment precisa	327
2.2.2. La intenció d'obligar-se	329
2.3. Letter of intent: precontracte, precontracte imperfecte.....	331
2.3.1. Pacte d'intencions i precontracte. Els acords amb termes oberts	331
2.3.2. Pacte d'intencions i precontracte imperfecte. Els acords per a negociar	332
3. Les letters of intent: la seva transcendència. L'incompliment.....	336
3.1. Introducció	336
3.2. La transcendència normal d'un pacte d'intencions: la responsabilitat precontractual	337
3.2.1. Generalitats	337
3.2.2. Breument sobre la responsabilitat precontractual per ruptura injustificada de negociacions	339
3.3. L'incompliment del deure de comportar-se de bona fe com fet generador de responsabilitat contractual	340
3.4. La responsabilitat precontractual en els PECL	343
III. BIBLIOGRAFIA CONSULTADA.....	345

JOAN MARSAL GUILLAMET

LA COMPETÈNCIA DE LA GENERALITAT DE CATALUNYA EN MATÈRIA CIVIL I EL DRET UNIFORME..... 349

1. INTRODUCCIÓ	349
2. LA PARTICIPACIÓ DELS ENS SUBESTATALS EN LA FASE ASCENDENT DE CREACIÓ DEL DRET COMUNITARI	352
2.1. Els diversos models de la Unió	355
2.1.1. Alemanya	355
2.1.2. Bèlgica	356
2.1.3. Itàlia.....	358
2.1.4. Escòcia.....	361
2.2. El cas espanyol	364

3. LA PARTICIPACIÓ DELS ENS SUBESTATALS EN L'ELABORACIÓ DELS TRACTATS INTERNACIONALS	367
3.1. Els diversos models dins de la Unió.....	369
3.1.1. El cas alemany.....	369
3.1.2. El cas belga.....	370
3.1.3. El cas italià.....	372
3.1.4. El cas escocès.....	374
3.2. La regulació actual a l'Estat espanyol.....	375

CARMEN PARRA RODRÍGUEZ

LOS SISTEMAS DE UNIFORMIZACIÓN DEL DERECHO EUROPEO EN MATERIA DE OBLIGACIONES Y CONTRATOS..... 381

I. INTRODUCCIÓ.....	381
II. CREACIÓ DE PRINCIPIOS GENERALES DEL DERECHO EN EL ÁMBITO COMUNITARIO	383
1. Análisis de los principios contenidos en los tratados fundacionales de la Comunidad Europea	384
1.1 Principio de igualdad o de “no discriminación”	385
1.2. Principio de libertad	389
1.3. Principio de solidaridad.....	390
1.4. Principio de unidad o de uniformidad.....	390
2. El marco de uniformización del Convenio de Roma	392
2.1 Principio de la autonomía de la voluntad.....	394
2.2. Principio de proximidad	401
2.3. Principio de solidaridad.....	405
2.4. Principio de protección de la parte débil	406
3. La armonización a través de directivas	407
3.1 . Principios contenidos en las directivas	408
3.2. Principios generales contenidos en las directivas de ámbito contractual.....	408
3.3. Principios instrumentales contenidos en las directivas de ámbito contractual	413
III. CONCLUSIONES.....	419

CARLES VILLAGRASA I ALCAIDE

CRITERIS JURISPRUDENCIALS DEL CONSILIUM FRAUDIS EN LA PROTECCIÓ DEL DRET DE CRÈDIT 421

I. INTRODUCCIÓ.....	421
II. L'APLICACIÓ JURISPRUDENCIAL DE L'ACCIÓ PAULIANA.....	422
III. L'OBJECTIVACIÓ DEL FRAU.....	424
IV. ANÀLISI DE SENTÈNCIES DE L'AUDIÈNCIA PROVINCIAL DE BARCELONA	426
V. CONCLUSIONS	432

COMUNICACIONS A LA TERCERA PONÈNCIA

LÍDIA ARNAU I RAVENTÓS

UN APUNT HISTÒRIC: LA IDEA DE “CODIFICACIÓ” SEGONS ESTANISLAU REYNALS I RABASSA..... 437

1. INTRODUCCIÓ	437
2. EL PROJECTE DE CODI CIVIL DE 1851: LES NOCIONS D'UNITAT I D'UNIFORMITAT	439

2.1. Referència a la llegítima, l'emfiteusi i la rabassa morta al Projecte de Codi civil de 1851	439
2.2. La unitat i la uniformitat del Projecte de Codi civil de 1851	442
3. ESTANISLAU REYNALS I RABASSA: L'HOME I LA SEVA DOCTRINA JURÍDICA	443
3.1. Les fonts.....	443
3.2. Les idees	444

JOSÉ-RAMÓN GARCÍA VICENTE

MODIFICACIÓN CONVENCIONAL DE LOS PLAZOS DE PRESCRIPCIÓN	447
--	------------

ALBERT RUDA GONZÁLEZ

PACTES SOBRE LA DURADA DEL TERMINI DE PRESCRIPCIÓ EN LA MODERNITZACIÓ DEL DRET D'OBLIGACIONS ALEMANY I EL CODI CIVIL DE CATALUNYA	457
--	------------

I. INTRODUCCIÓ	457
II. LA NOVA REGULACIÓ ALEMANYA	459
1. La introducció del criteri permissiu.....	459
2. Abreujament del termini de prescripció	463
a) Excepció en cas de dol	463
b) Terminis legals mínims	464
3. Allargament del termini de prescripció	465
4. Disposicions especials.....	466
a) Venda de béns de consum	466
b) Contracte de viatge combinat	468
III. DRET D'ALTRES PAÏSOS	470
1. Primer grup. Inadmissibilitat dels pactes	470
2. Segon grup. Admissibilitat limitada dels pactes	473
3. Tercer grup. Admissibilitat àmplia dels pactes	479
IV. REGULACIÓ EN EL DRET CIVIL ESPANYOL	481
V. NOU DRET CIVIL CATALÀ	485
1. La primera llei del Codi civil de Catalunya. Gènesi de l'art. 121-3 CcC.....	485
2. Justificació de la norma.....	488
3. Comparació amb el Dret alemany.....	490
a) Imperativitat versus llibertat de pacte	490
b) Termini legal mínim.....	491
c) La prohibició de la indefensió	492
VI. REFLEXIÓ FINAL.....	494

EUROPE AND THE LEGAL REFORM OF THE LAW OF OBLIGATIONS

ULRICH MAGNUS

*Professor of Law at the University of Hamburg
Judge at the Court of Appeal of Hamburg*

SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTORY REMARKS II. SURVEY AND BACKGROUND OF THE RECENT GERMAN REFORM(S) 1. Reform of Contract Law and Limitation Law 2. Reform of the Law of Damages and Strict Liability III. SOME DETAILS OF THE TWO REFORMS THROUGH THE EUROPEAN LOOKING GLASS 1. Limitation – General Rule with Few Exceptions 2. General Contract Law a) Breach of Contract b) Presumed Fault-Principle Retained c) Extinction of Obligation to Perform d) Damages for Breach of Contract e) Right of Termination of Contract 3. Sales Contracts 4. Integration of Consumer Protection Statutes into Civil Code 5. Codification of Judge-Made Law 6. General Compensation of Immaterial Loss 7. Exclusion of Compensation of Fictitious Damage 8. Reduction of Children’s Liability in Traffic Accidents 9. Extension of Strict Liability 10. Evaluation IV. THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL PRIVATE LAW 1. Development and Character of European Private Law a) International Conventions b) Regulations and Directives c) The Lando Principles d) Preparatory Work of Different Groups e) Characterisation of European Private Law 2. Impact of European Law on National Private Law 3. National Influences on European Private Law V. CONCLUSIONS

I. INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

2002 has been an incisive year for German lawyers and for the time-honoured German Civil Code, the “Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch” (BGB) of 1900.¹ The law of obligations, this very core of the BGB² and of civil law at all, underwent deep-rooted changes and every practising lawyer in Germany, every law student and, even worse, every law professor had and

¹ The BGB entered into force on 1 January 1900.

² The law of obligations („Schuldrecht”) is the second of the five Books of the BGB and comprises §§ 241 – 853. It contains a codification of the law of contractual and extra-contractual obligations.

still has to learn this part of the law almost entirely anew. It is tempting to present therefore a survey of all those changes as comprehensively as possible in a short paper like this and leave it to the reader to conclude how the German reform fits into the European development. I will, however, follow another path, namely first give some general information on the two reforms as it in fact were and on their background, then only indicate the main changes and put them in relation to their counterparts in other European countries, thirdly discuss the relationship between national and European developments in private law and finally draw some conclusions. The whole exercise serves the aim to state, if at all possible, how and into which direction private law legislation in Europe should proceed today.

II. SURVEY AND BACKGROUND OF THE RECENT GERMAN REFORM(S)

The recent German reform consists actually of two reforms: the ‘great’ reform³ for the modernisation of the “Schuldrecht” (law of obligations) which aroused much publicity,⁴ hot discussions in parliament and among lawyers and changed in substance comparatively little; and the ‘small’ reform⁵ of the “Schadensersatzrecht” (law of damages) which passed all parliamentary hurdles nearly unnoticed but has some important practical consequences.

1. Reform of Contract Law and Limitation Law

The ‘great’ Schuldrechtsreform entered into force on January 1st 2002 and brought about changes of many provisions on the general law of contract, of the law of sales contracts and contracts for works and of the law of limitation. The main reason for the changes was the necessity to implement European directives, in particular the Consumer Sales Directive.⁶ But the legislator did not confine itself to

³ Gesetz zur Modernisierung des Schuldrechts (Act for the Modernisation of the Law of Obligations) of 26 November 2001, Bundesgesetzblatt (Official Bulletin, BGBl.) 2001 I 3138 ss.

⁴ Not only every law journal but almost every newspaper reported extensively on the „Schuldrechtsreform”.

⁵ Zweites Gesetz zur Änderung schadensersatzrechtlicher Vorschriften (Second Act for the Amendment of Provisions concerning the Law of Damages) of 19 July 2002, BGBl. I 2647.

⁶ Directive 1999/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 May 1999 on certain aspects of the sale of consumer goods and associated guarantees (Official Journal L 171, of 7 July 1999, p. 12 ss.).

the mere implementation of the European enactments. Parliament took the occasion for a systematic renewal of the general law of obligations. Such an idea had been pursued already since 1984 when an official commission had been instituted with the task to prepare proposals for a reform of parts of the law of obligations. Then, in 1992 this so-called “Schuldrechtskommission” presented a comprehensive proposal⁷ to amend central parts of the BGB’s law of obligations. The proposal relied very much on the structure of the UN Sales Convention (CISG) of 1980⁸ and now formed almost entirely the pattern of the reform.

At this stage a short remark on modern German legal history seems appropriate. The influential UN Sales Convention, to be correct its nearly identical predecessor,⁹ had been initiated already 1929 by Ernst Rabel, one of the outstanding lawyers of the last century who lectured and researched then in Berlin. He – and further renowned scholars – prepared the first drafts of the uniform sales law on a comparative basis and found a convincing combination of the continental, Roman law based tradition¹⁰ and the Common Law tradition.¹¹ His so to speak grandchild, the UN Sales Convention, influenced very much the European Sales Directive.¹² So ideas once developed in Germany returned home via the United Nations and Europe.

2. Reform of the Law of Damages and Strict Liability

The second reform of the year 2002 concerning the law of obligations entered into force on August 1st 2002 and amended many provisions of tort law and damages law. Its background was no European directives but

⁷ Bundesminister der Justiz (Herausgeber), Abschlußbericht der Kommission zur Überarbeitung des Schuldrechts (1992).

⁸ United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods of 11 April 1980. This Convention has been ratified by 12 out of the 15 present EU member states. The United Kingdom is still contracting state of the Hague Uniform Sales Law (see next footnote). Only Ireland and Portugal have neither adopted CISG nor ULIS/ULF.

⁹ The Hague Convention Relating to a Uniform Law on the International Sale of Goods (ULIS) and the Hague Convention Relating to a Uniform Law on the Formation of Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (ULF), both of 1 July 1964.

¹⁰ The continental („civil law“) tradition with its Romanic and Germanic branch.

¹¹ See his famous treatise *Das Recht des Warenkaufs*, Vol. I (1936), Vol. II (1958).

¹² Opinion of the Commission of 19 January 1999 [COM (1999) 16 fin., 96/0161 (COD)] under II.2.; see further STAUDENMAYER, „Die EG-Richtlinie über den Verbrauchsgüterkauf“, *NJW* 1999, 2393; MAGNUS, „Der Stand der internationalen Überlegungen: Die Verbrauchsgüterkauf-Richtlinie und das UN-Kaufrecht“, in: GRUNDMANN / MEDICUS / ROLLAND (eds.), *Europäisches Kaufgewährleistungsrecht – Reform und Internationalisierung des deutschen Schuldrechts* (2000) 79 ss.

the generally accepted need to adapt this part of the law to current social and economic conditions and today's policy considerations. Even the aim to bring German law in line with that of Germany's European neighbours played a certain role.¹³ In fact, some typical German features of this part of the law have now been abolished.

III. SOME DETAILS OF THE TWO REFORMS THROUGH THE EUROPEAN LOOKING GLASS

1. Limitation – General Rule with Few Exceptions

German law of limitation was complicated because of the great and confusing variety of different periods of limitation – from six weeks¹⁴ to thirty years¹⁵ – for cases which had to be distinguished rather often only for this purpose. If for example a buyer bought an enterprise which was less profitable than announced by the seller a limitation period either of six months¹⁶ or thirty years¹⁷ could apply depending on the court's discretion to classify the failure of profitability either as a defect of the bought good or as a case of misrepresentation.

Now there is a general limitation period of three years with only few exceptions.¹⁸ The period starts running by the end of the year in which the claim became due and the creditor knew or must have known the debtor and the facts founding the claim.¹⁹ If the creditor did not acquire knowledge nor was grossly negligently unaware of the claim, then irrespective of his lacking knowledge a limitation period of 10 years runs from the date on when the claim came into existence, and a period of 30 years in case of bodily injury claims.²⁰

One of the exceptions to the general three-years-period is sales law. Here, a two-years-period applies instead of the former period of six months for claims concerning defects of quality or title.²¹ This rule which

¹³ See *Begründung zum Regierungsentwurf* S. 33.

¹⁴ In case of sale of non-conforming cattle: old § 490 par. 1 and 2 BGB (old § means: in force until 31 December 2001).

¹⁵ 30 years was the general period of prescription, which always applied when no special (shorter) period was provided for: old § 195 BGB.

¹⁶ According to the old § 477 par. 1 BGB.

¹⁷ When the former general period applied.

¹⁸ New § 195 BGB (new § means: in force since 1 January 2002).

¹⁹ New § 199 par. 1 BGB.

²⁰ New § 199 par. 2 – 4 BGB.

²¹ See new § 438 BGB.

had to be implemented due to the Consumer Sales Directive for consumer sales has been extended to all sales and also to contracts for work.²²

A view on the European scene shows a great diversity of prescription periods and distinctions also there. But periods of limitation are regularly longer than one year.²³ The concept of one general period of reasonable length with some exceptions encounters for example in the United Kingdom.²⁴ The new German limitation law is now more in line with these models.

2. *General Contract Law*

As already mentioned the most fundamental modifications concern the provisions on general contract law.²⁵ They have been modelled after the UN Sales Convention. This has consequences for the dogmatic structure of the law but almost no impact on the solution of concrete cases. It has now become only much easier to reach the same solution as under the old law.

a) *Breach of Contract*

The main change concerns the concept of breach of contract. The old BGB distinguished in general terms only between two cases of non-performance of obligations, namely impossibility of performance and default of performance.²⁶ Performance which was rendered but did not conform to the contract was not generally addressed but dealt with in few special provisions of sales law,²⁷ law of lease²⁸ and law of contracts for work.²⁹ Here – like in Roman law – specific warranty provisions (“Gewährleistung”) applied; namely termination (the Roman *actio redhibitoria*)³⁰ and price reduction (the Roman *actio quanti minoris*)³¹

²² See new § 634 a BGB.

²³ See, e.g., art. 2262 ss. French Code civil where only few prescription periods are shorter than one year. In England the normal period is six years (see following footnote).

²⁴ See Limitation Act 1980 with a general period of six years but a number of exceptions.

²⁵ These are §§ 241 – 432 BGB; not all but many of them have been redrafted.

²⁶ Old §§ 275, 280 – 283, 323 – 325, 327 BGB (impossibility and its consequences); old §§ 284 – 292, 326, 327 BGB (default of the debtor); §§ 293 – 304 (default of the creditor; these provisions remained unaltered by the „Schuldrechtsreform” except that they – like all provisions of the BGB – were given official headings).

²⁷ Old §§ 459 – 493 BGB.

²⁸ §§ 536 – 536d BGB (these provisions remained also unaltered).

²⁹ Old §§ 633 – 639 BGB.

³⁰ Old § 462 BGB for sales, old § 634 BGB for contracts for work. In case of lease termination and redelivery was always specifically regulated (see §§ 543, 543, 546 BGB).

³¹ Old § 462 BGB (sales); old § 634 BGB (contracts for work). Lease of non-conforming goods or rooms entitles the lessee also to price reduction (§ 536 BGB).

and in some special cases even damages³² were granted as specific remedies for the non-conformity. But apart from those specific warranty provisions the BGB did not provide a general remedy for any other kind of non-conforming performance of contractual duties. To fill this gap, only four years after the BGB's entrance into force in 1900 the German courts therefore invented the institute of "positive breach of contract" (positive *Vertragsverletzung*) as a general remedy for all other kinds of breach of contract than impossible and defaulted non-performance.³³ However, the relation of this remedy to the special provisions remained difficult. The distinction whether the specific warranty provisions or whether the distinct rules on the positive breach of contract applied was always problematical. The distinction had also practical importance since the warranty provisions did not require fault – except for damages where gross fault was required³⁴ – whilst the rules on the positive breach of contract presupposed fault.

This problem has now been solved. The new § 280 BGB³⁵ makes it expressly clear that any non-conforming performance – be it non-performance, belated performance or poor performance – constitutes a breach of contract and triggers at least the remedy of damages if the debtor is responsible for the non-performance. The specific provisions on remedies in sales law and law of contracts for works have been almost entirely deleted. This model has been taken from the UN Sales Convention³⁶ which in turn has taken over this concept from Common Law. In 2002 Germany has therefore given up much of its Roman law background.

b) Presumed Fault-Principle Retained

Under the UN Sales Convention like in Common Law a contractual debtor is liable for the performance of his duties irrespective of fault.³⁷ He is, however, exempted from liability when irresistible and unavoidable

³² Damages were due when the non-conformity was the debtor's fault (§ 536a BGB for lease contracts, § 635 BGB for works contract); for sales contracts when the seller had breached a warrant or had maliciously hidden the non-conformity (§ 463 BGB).

³³ Reichsgericht (Imperial Court – RG) RGZ 54, 98.

³⁴ See in particular the old § 463 BGB which required that the sold good lacked a specifically agreed quality or that the seller had acted fraudulently („arglistig”) if the remedy of damages should be applied.

³⁵ New § 280 par. 1 BGB now provides: „If the debtor violates a duty arising out of the contractual relationship the creditor may claim compensation for the loss resulting thereof. This does not apply if the debtor is not liable for the violation of the duty.“

³⁶ See art. 45 CISG after which new §§ 437 and 634 BGB are modelled.

³⁷ See art. 45 and 61 CISG.

reasons beyond his control have impeded correct performance.³⁸ In contrast to this position the German reform has retained the fault principle on which German civil law has in principle ever relied.³⁹ Therefore, as under the prior law, a debtor is only responsible if he had at least negligently breached his contractual promise in any respect. But first, there are exceptions to this rule (e.g. it is no excuse to be even innocently unable to pay) and the reform has extended these exceptions;⁴⁰ secondly, fault is always presumed when an obligation is not correctly performed.⁴¹ The burden is then on the debtor to prove that s/he was not at fault. And the courts have always seen to the fact that the proof is not too easily met.⁴² In effect, the difference between the CISG model and the new German law is not very far-reaching in this respect.

c) Extinction of Obligation to Perform

Another of the plagues of the old contract law was the question whether and when performance had become impossible with the result that the obligation to perform ended. Moreover, the time of this fact played a decisive role for the fate of the contract. A contract whose performance was impossible at the time of its conclusion was invalid.⁴³ This has been changed. First, a contract directed at an impossible performance is perfectly valid now.⁴⁴ Secondly, the obligation to perform ends when performance is either in fact impossible or economically unconscionable or for the debtor personally unacceptable.⁴⁵ A case of the last category is for example given when a Turkish employee in Germany refuses to work because he is called to service in the Turkish army under the threat of death penalty.⁴⁶

d) Damages for Breach of Contract

Prior German contract law required the creditor to choose between the remedy of termination (provided its preconditions were met) and the

³⁸ See art. 79 CISG.

³⁹ According to the new § 276 BGB (which in that respect was not modified) the debtor is generally liable if s/he was at fault, i.e. has acted with intent or negligence.

⁴⁰ The new § 276 par. 1 BGB now allows a stricter – or lesser – degree of responsibility (than mere negligence) if it so agreed or when it can be inferred from the contractual relationship.

⁴¹ See new § 280 par. 1 sent. 2 BGB (cited in fn. 36).

⁴² See, e.g., Bundesgerichtshof (Federal Court – BGH), *Neue Juristische Wochenschrift (NJW)* 1952, 1170; BGH NJW 1980, 2187.

⁴³ This was the old § 306 BGB.

⁴⁴ New § 311a par. 1 BGB.

⁴⁵ See new § 275 BGB.

⁴⁶ See the case of BAG (Federal Labour Court) NJW 1983, 2782.

remedy of damages. A cumulation – termination and additional damages for any remaining loss – was not permitted. Now, again following the example of the UN Sales Convention⁴⁷ such cumulation is expressly admitted.⁴⁸ This is one of the more substantial amendments of the “Schuldrechtsreform”.

Another substantial change is the fact that now damages are always available in case of any breach of contract⁴⁹ while the old law restricted this remedy considerably when defective goods or services were supplied.⁵⁰

e) Right of Termination of Contract

Termination of contract because of breach of contract is often the most severe remedy for the party in breach. This party loses the profit of the bargain and has often considerable additional expenditures like transport costs etc. in order to avoid further loss. Under the prior law the starting point was that the creditor could terminate the contract immediately after even a minor breach had occurred though a growing number of exceptions to this harsh principle had been developed by the courts.

Again, this heritage from Roman law has now been altered and the starting point has been changed into its contrary.⁵¹ Termination is now granted only after the debtor had been given a reasonable further period – a second chance – to come up to his obligations. There are, however, exceptions: immediate termination is still permitted for example where the debtor has finally refused to perform his obligation or where performance at a fixed date had been agreed upon.⁵²

3. Sales Contracts

Since the Consumer Sales Directive necessitated changes the legislator took the opportunity to revise the law of sales as a whole. The definition of defects of the Directive which is derived from art. 35 UN Sales Convention was more or less accepted as general definition.⁵³ Therefore, factual representations in advertising⁵⁴ can now amount to binding

⁴⁷ Compare art. 45 par. 2 and 61 par. 2 CISG.

⁴⁸ See the new § 325 BGB.

⁴⁹ New § 280 BGB.

⁵⁰ See the old §§ 463, 635 BGB as interpreted by the courts.

⁵¹ New § 323 par. 1 BGB.

⁵² New § 323 par. 2 BGB.

⁵³ New § 434 BGB.

⁵⁴ This is provided for in art. 2(2)(d) of the Consumer Sales Directive.

promises, for example the announcement either of the seller or of the manufacturer that a car needs only 3 l fuel for 100 kilometres. If it then needs 5 l the car is 'defective' and the buyer can advert to the normal remedies.⁵⁵

The remedies for non-conforming performance are now placed in a certain order which the buyer is obliged to recognise – as in the Directive but also to a wide extent in accordance with the UN Sales Convention. First comes the right to demand performance (repair or supply of a substitute at the buyer's choice)⁵⁶ and only if this remains unsuccessful termination⁵⁷ or price reduction⁵⁸ can be invoked.⁵⁹ However, damages can always be claimed.⁶⁰

Further amendments necessitated by the Directive concern the extended limitation period of two years which has already been mentioned.⁶¹ Furthermore, the last seller who is subject to consumer claims for defective goods has now a recourse right against his own seller which prescribes not earlier than two months after the last seller has fulfilled the claims of the consumer.⁶²

All EU member states had to implement the Consumer Sales Directive until the end of 2001 but the member states have a rather wide discretion how to effect this task.⁶³ Germany has extended the basic concept of the Directive to the general sales law and has integrated the Directive into the existing Civil Code.⁶⁴ It remains to be seen whether this 'German way' recommends itself. One problem of this kind of implementation is the European interpretation of the directive-inspired provisions. It is now

⁵⁵ To cases of that kind see P. HUBER / F. FAUST, *Schuldrechtsmodernisierung. Eine Einführung in das neue Recht* (2002) 302 ss.; U. BÜDENBENDER, in B. DAUNER-LIEB u.a. (ed.), *Anwaltskommentar Schuldrecht* (2002) § 434 no. 10 ss.; S. LORENZ / TH. RIEHM, *Lehrbuch zum neuen Schuldrecht* (2002) 258 ss.; H. PUTZO, in: O. PALANDT, *Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch* (62nd ed. 2003) § 434 no. 31 ss.

⁵⁶ § 439 BGB.

⁵⁷ § 437 no. 2 in connection with § 440, § 281 and § 323 BGB.

⁵⁸ Also § 437 no. 2 in connection with § 441 BGB.

⁵⁹ ANWALTSKOMMENTAR / BÜDENBENDER (supra fn. 56) § 437 no. 4; PALANDT / PUTZO (supra fn. 56) § 437 no. 4.

⁶⁰ § 437 no. 3 BGB.

⁶¹ See § 438 par. 1 no. 3 BGB.

⁶² This is now regulated in § 478 and § 479 BGB.

⁶³ A survey on the different forms of implementation of the Consumer Sales Directive in the Member States can be found in M. SCHERMAIER (ed.), *Verbraucherkauf in Europa* (2003).

⁶⁴ The provisions of the Directive have been inserted into the Sales Chapter of the BGB (§§ 433 – 479), in particular but by no means exclusively by inserting a new Subchapter on consumer sales (§§ 474 – 479 BGB).

rather difficult to detect whether a provision of the BGB is of European or mere national origin.

4. Integration of Consumer Protection Statutes into Civil Code

One of the further aims of the reform was to reintegrate the special consumer protection statutes into the Civil Code. The idea of a comprehensive and complete codification of the civil law underwent a renaissance to some extent.⁶⁵ And indeed, the manifold special statutes⁶⁶ which existed outside the Civil Code made the law intransparent and supported the development of inconsistencies between the Code and those statutes. The German example therefore might encourage codification ideas also on the European level.

5. Codification of Judge-Made Law

The idea to make the law more transparent, foreseeable and certain led also to the codification of a number of legal institutions developed by the courts over the last century. I already mentioned the so-called “positive breach of contract”. This legal instrument has now found its way into the new § 280 which covers every kind of “Pflichtverletzung” (violation of duty) irrespective whether it originates from impossibility, delay or any other non-performance of a – contractual – duty. Further institutes thus far developed by the courts but now codified are the doctrine of frustration (“Wegfall der Geschäftsgrundlage”),⁶⁷ the *culpa in contrahendo*⁶⁸ or the right to terminate long-range contracts on notice where their continuation is unconsonable for the terminating party.⁶⁹

Contract law is now to be found in the Civil Code and can be understood by the reader – not just by the layman but by a professional

⁶⁵ For general considerations on modern codification see in particular J. BASEDOW, „Das BGB im künftigen europäischen Privatrecht: Der hybride Kodex“, *Archiv der civilistischen Praxis (AcP)* 200 (2000) 445 ss., 465 ss.

⁶⁶ Before the reform, the German legislator had regularly implemented the European consumer protection directives (e.g., on unfair contract terms, on doorstep sales, on timesharing rights, on consumer credits) through separate statutes: see among others the former Gesetz zur Regelung des Rechts der Allgemeinen Geschäftsbedingungen (Act on unfair contract terms – AGBG); Gesetz über den Widerruf von Haustürgeschäften und ähnlichen Geschäften (Act on doorstep selling – HwiG); Gesetz über die Veräußerung von Teilzeitnutzungsrechten an Wohngebäuden (Act on the purchase of timesharing rights on immovable property – TzWrG); Verbraucherkreditgesetz (Consumer Credit Act – VerbrKrG).

⁶⁷ § 313 BGB.

⁶⁸ § 311 par. 2 BGB.

⁶⁹ § 314 BGB.

lawyer for whom the Code is primarily written. Moreover the Code is helpful for the law student to learn the law.

6. *General Compensation of Immaterial Loss*

The reform of the law of damages and torts to which I now pass has done away with a peculiarity of German law, namely with the very limited possibility to recover immaterial loss.⁷⁰ The monetary compensation of such loss was generally excluded in contract law⁷¹ and in the field of strict liability.⁷² Only where a negligent tortious act could be proved a bodily injured person could claim money compensation for pain and suffering.⁷³

This has been radically changed: now both in contract law and under strict liability statutes immaterial loss can be claimed.⁷⁴ It is not necessary any more to prove fault in any event in order to get compensation for that kind of loss. This eases the procedural position of bodily injured victims considerably and brings German law also a good deal closer to its European neighbours. In particular, French law has always been more generous with respect to compensation of immaterial harm⁷⁵ than German law. This gap has been narrowed if not bridged now. This development is further supported by the fact that the German reform has moreover doubled the maximum amounts up to which strict liability covers damages.⁷⁶

7. *Exclusion of Compensation of Fictitious Damage*

Though limited in scope a further amendment may prove to be of similar importance. The reform has introduced a provision⁷⁷ which

⁷⁰ The old § 253 BGB allowed compensation of immaterial loss only where foreseen by statute.

⁷¹ Only two exceptions were recognised: § 611a BGB (immaterial loss through discrimination in labour law) and § 651f BGB (immaterial loss for loss of holiday enjoyment in case of breach of travel contracts).

⁷² The most prominent example of this kind which was also of high practical importance was the exclusion of recovery of immaterial loss under the strict liability statute for road traffic accidents: § 11 Strassenverkehrsgesetz (Road Traffic Act – StVG).

⁷³ This was provided in the old, well known § 847 BGB.

⁷⁴ Now provided by the new § 253 par. 2 BGB.

⁷⁵ The notion of „dommage“ in art. 1382 Code civil has always been understood to include „le dommage moral“.

⁷⁶ To take again the example of the StVG: before the reform the Act limited compensation (where a single person was injured and the person liable was not at fault) to an amount of 250.000 € as lumpsum and 15.000 € as annual rent; the amended Act increased the amounts to 600.000 € and 36.000 €; cf. § 12 par. 1 no. 1 StVG.

⁷⁷ § 249 par. 2 sent. 2 BGB.

excludes the victim whose property has been damaged from claiming value added tax (VAT) for repair costs where repair is not effected. The VAT – at present 16 % in Germany – is normally incurred when repair is actually effected by a professional who is entitled to add the 16 % to his bill. If the victim has the property in fact to be repaired s/he can also recover the VAT. But thus far, the courts permitted the 16% even if the damaged property, for example a car was never repaired and the 16% were never incurred thus gifting the victim a kind of windfall gain. The new provision signals the tendency to reduce possibilities to claim compensation where the damage is only fictitious.

Again, Germany joins here the other European jurisdictions which never accepted similarly far-reaching recovery of a pecuniary damage which was in fact not suffered.⁷⁸

8. *Reduction of Children's Liability in Traffic Accidents*

Psychological research and science but also the French⁷⁹ and Belgium⁸⁰ example have strongly influenced the decision of the legislator to increase in the traffic field the age at which children become responsible for their acts. Prior to the reform it was the age of seven from which on children were generally held liable according to their insight.⁸¹ Concerning the responsibility for traffic accidents and except in case of intent the age of discretion has now been raised to ten.⁸² Children below that age are not liable when they have negligently caused a traffic accident. And what is even more important under practical aspects they cannot be held contributory negligent in traffic situations either.

The main reason for the new provision (§ 828 par. 2 BGB) was that research had revealed that children below ten are unable to react adequately in traffic situations. They cannot realistically judge speeds, distances and dangers of traffic situations. But it is also evident that the French Loi Badinter⁸³ has been influential.

⁷⁸ For a comparative study cf. U. MAGNUS, *Schaden und Ersatz* (1987); id. (ed.), *Unification of Tort Law: Damages* (2001).

⁷⁹ The famous Loi Badinter: Loi no. 85-677 of July 5, 1985, Journal officiel 1985,

⁸⁰ Belgium has also introduced a statute modelled after the French Loi Badinter; see thereon H. COUSY / D. DROSHOUT, in: B.A. KOCH / H. KOZIOL (eds.), *Unification of Tort Law: Strict Liability* (2002) 50 ss.

⁸¹ This was provided by the old § 828 par. 2 BGB.

⁸² See new § 828 par. 2 BGB.

⁸³ See fn. 80.

9. *Extension of Strict Liability*

Also the scope of strict liability was extended. But first, it must be stressed that strict liability is still regarded as an exception to the fault principle and therefore needs specific statutory regulation. With one exception⁸⁴ strict liability is not provided for in the Civil Code but in specific statutes which are interpreted restrictively. In order to improve the position of victims the recent reform has extended strict liability in several ways. It has been already mentioned that compensation of immaterial harm is now available under all strict liability statutes in contrast to the prior law.⁸⁵ And it has been also mentioned that the maximum compensation limits of the strict liability statutes have been doubled.⁸⁶ Moreover, in traffic accidents where the keeper of a car is strictly liable for any damage which the car has caused the possible exemption from liability has been reduced. Before the reform it was an excuse that even an ideal driver could not have avoided the accident.⁸⁷ Now only force majeure excuses.⁸⁸ Further improvements for victims concern the area of strict liability for damage through trailers,⁸⁹ through transport of dangerous goods⁹⁰ and through pharmaceuticals.⁹¹

From a practical point of view the changes improve the situation of bodily injured victims considerably. Partly European developments have influenced also these amendments.

10. *Evaluation*

The recent German reform of the law of obligations is to a considerable extent the reaction on European developments: developments either within the EU – which Germany was obliged to implement – or in the neighbouring countries which Germany voluntarily adopted (e.g. compensation of immaterial loss). With the UN Sales Convention even global unification of law has been taken into account and very much followed. Thus, legislation on private law is much more European and

⁸⁴ § 833 sent. 1 BGB [strict liability of the keeper of a so-called luxury animal (which is not needed for work or income)].

⁸⁵ See supra III.6.

⁸⁶ See also supra III.6.

⁸⁷ Cf. the old § 7 par. 2 StVG [„unabwendbares Ereignis“ (“unavoidable event”)].

⁸⁸ New § 7 par. 2 StVG [„höhere Gewalt“ („force majeure“)].

⁸⁹ § 7 par. 1 StVG.

⁹⁰ §§ 12a and 12b StVG.

⁹¹ See the amendments in §§ 84 par. 2 and 3, 84a, 87, 88 Arzneimittelgesetz (Pharmaceuticals Act – AMG).

internationally oriented in Germany than it was few decades ago. The reform has brought German law closer to the other legal systems in Europe.

In substance, the amendments have reduced the Roman heritage still contained in German private law and have strengthened elements originating from Common Law. The amendments have also eliminated or at least reduced some of the too fine and abstract differentiations which the BGB of 1900 had introduced. They have improved the possibility to apply the law in an easier and more practical manner. They have strengthened the position of bodily injured victims and tried to re-establish a consistent system of value judgments and policy considerations.

IV. THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

The German reform is but one example of the growing interrelationship between the European law and the national laws of the EU member states. Some general considerations concerning the development and character of European Private Law and its interrelationship to national law might therefore appear useful.

1. Development and Character of European Private Law

It is evident that a body of law is emerging that can be addressed as European private law.⁹² It is partly set by the European legislator (though thus far unsystematically); it is partly developed by the European Court of Justice (although the Court's judgments and influence on private law are not yet fully investigated).⁹³ Also international conventions on substantive law ratified in the member states may be regarded as adding to that body of European private law as well as the many initiatives developing European or even global principles in that field of law.⁹⁴ It is

⁹² See in this respect very recently TH. WILHELMSSON, "The Legal, the Cultural and the Political – Conclusions from Different Perspectives on Harmonisation of European Contract Law", *European Business Law Review (EBLR)* 2002, 541 ss.

⁹³ But as to the judgments of the European Court of Justice concerning tort law and the law of damages see U. MAGNUS / W. WURMNEST (eds.), *Casebook Europäisches Haftungs- und Schadensrecht* (2002); W. WURMNEST, *Grundzüge eines europäischen Haftungsrechts* (2003).

⁹⁴ Here the CISG is evidently the most influential of these conventions. But also the 'soft law' prepared by the International Chamber of Commerce (INCOTERMS, Uniform Rules on Documentary Credits, on Guarantees etc.), this kind of a new *lex mercatoria* forms part of a Common European Law.

also evident that European private law is something else than one of the existing national legal systems in Europe. We face the emergence of what in comparative law is called a mixed jurisdiction which is composed of ingredients from different roots and legal systems.⁹⁵ A short glance at these roots may be allowed here.

a) International Conventions

There are only few international conventions which harmonise parts of substantive private law and which at the same time are ratified by all EU member states. Conventions concerning international transports⁹⁶ and immaterial property rights⁹⁷ belong to that rare species and form already a small part of the law common to all EU members. But even with respect to international sales the UN Sales Convention is in force only in 12 of the 15 member states.⁹⁸ Nevertheless this Convention has strongly influenced the EU Consumer Sales Directive⁹⁹ and to some extent also the Directive on Package Tours.¹⁰⁰ The CISG therefore left already its traces in European private law.

b) Regulations and Directives

Apart from special fields like air traffic¹⁰¹ and company law,¹⁰² the EU legislator did not use regulations as a means to enact substantive private law within the EU. The usual instrument for that purpose is the directive. About 25 directives concern the law of obligations in a rather general sense¹⁰³ while many further directives deal with particular

⁹⁵ See thereto K. ZWIEGERT / H. KÖTZ, *Einführung in die Rechtsvergleichung* (3rd ed. 1996) 72 („hybride Rechtsordnungen“).

⁹⁶ The Warsaw Convention (air transport) and the CMR (road transport) are examples of EU-wide ratified conventions.

⁹⁷ See the Paris Convention on immaterial property rights.

⁹⁸ Ireland, Portugal and the United Kingdom have not yet ratified the CISG though Great Britain is still a member state of the CISG's predecessor, the Hague Uniform Sales Conventions.

⁹⁹ For instance the Directive's definition of non-conformity of the sold goods has been largely taken from art. 35 CISG.

¹⁰⁰ The definition of force majeure as an excuse for non-performance of contractual obligations (art. 4 par. 6 subpar. 2 no. ii of the Directive) is inspired by the art. 79 par. 1 CISG.

¹⁰¹ See Regulation No. 295/91 (on overbooking) and Regulation No. 2027/97 (on air carrier liability).

¹⁰² Regulation No. 2157/2001 (on a European company).

¹⁰³ See the trilingual collection of regulations and directives (in German, English and French) MAGNUS (ed.), *Europäisches Schuldrecht – European Law of Obligations – Droit européen des obligations* (2002).

areas as for example labour law, insurance law or company law.¹⁰⁴ It is no secret that the private law contained in the directives developed in a very unsystematic and uncoordinated way. This has now prompted the commission – urged also by the parliament – to consider a comprehensive action on the law of obligations.¹⁰⁵ Partly, the development of a common European terminology and of common concepts is already furthered by the jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice in interpreting central terms of private law contained in international conventions, regulations or directives as for instance the terms contract,¹⁰⁶ tort, causation, damage and the like.

c) *The Lando Principles*

A modern European development are the Principles of European Contract Law,¹⁰⁷ also known as the Lando Principles after the Danish scholar Ole Lando who initiated them. These Principles again follow very closely the model of the UN Sales Convention and conform also to almost 100% to the UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts.¹⁰⁸ They combine elements taken from all European legal systems and state rules which could serve as a common European contract law. Although of no binding force they represent much of what could constitute the core of European private law.

d) *Preparatory Work of Different Groups*

Inspired by the Lando Principles and the preparing Lando Commission several further groups have started working in further fields of private law like tort law, property law, family law, procedural law etc.¹⁰⁹ The outcome of these initiatives will further add to the emerging structure and shape of Europe's future private law.

Besides, these initiatives have also brought about an extended network, and a specific class, partly a community of European scholars

¹⁰⁴ See thereon the fourlingual collection of regulations and directives: J. BASEDOW (ed.), *European Private Law* 3 vols. (2000)

¹⁰⁵ See in particular the Communication on European Contract Law of the Commission of July 11, 2001 (KOM(2001) fin) and the reactions thereon.

¹⁰⁶ A prominent example is the recent judgment (of September 17, 2002) of the European Court of Justice in TACCONI / HWS, *European Legal Forum* 2002, 306: There the Court decided under art. 5 no. 1 and 3 Brussels Convention that a claim based on culpa in contrahendo (violation of precontractual obligations) does not qualify as a contract claim but as a tort claim.

¹⁰⁷ O. LANDO / H. BEALE, *Principles of European Contract Law*. Parts I and II (2000).

¹⁰⁸ See M. J. BONELL, *An International Restatement of Contract Law* (2nd ed. 1997)

¹⁰⁹ A survey on these initiatives is given by W. WURMNEST (supra fn. 94) 3 s.

who are interested and involved in international, mainly inter-European relations, who have a good knowledge of other European legal systems and languages, who view their own law from some distance and educate their pupils in that sense of tolerance. This development will also have its impact on the creation of a truly European law.

e) Characterisation of European Private Law

If the European Private Law had to be characterised it is at the moment a colourful mixture of elements from many diverging sources and it is still in the making. As yet it lacks consistency and a clear structure. However, it is certainly becoming a body of law of its own, distinct from the preexisting law of any of the European countries. And it is rather certain that it will develop further – be it through legislative acts of the Community, through judgments of the ECJ or through the voluntary adoption of solutions of neighbouring countries by national legislators or judges.

The development of a Common European Law has been sometimes compared with an orchestra without a conductor. But if one believes in sound effects of competition even on the level of legislation – I doubt this idea – then the famous ‘invisible hand’ should lead all those competing sources to a final solution which serves the public good.

2. Impact of European Law on National Private Law

It is evident that purely national law giving is on the retreat in Europe – and similar developments can be observed elsewhere on our globe. Where regional integration is intended or begun – in Africa, America or Asia quite a number of integration blocks have been established in the last two decades¹¹⁰ – the aim of harmonisation of law is always present. This development reduces the sovereignty and autonomy of the legislation of the single state. In Europe the EU legislation has not fully substituted national law giving but has done so to a good deal. By their direct applicability regulations replace any contradicting national law immediately.¹¹¹ Directives on the other hand leave a certain legislative discretion but only with respect to the way of implementation.¹¹² There is therefore a far-reaching formal influence of EU law on national law not only but also in the area of private law.

¹¹⁰ See, e.g., in America: NAFTA, Mercosur, Andean Pact, OAS; in Asia: ASEAN, APEC; in Africa: OHADA, OAU, Comesa, EAC.

¹¹¹ Art. 249 EC.

¹¹² Art. 249 EC.

Some critique may be expressed here as to the specific European method of legislation through directives. This method was suitable in the early days of the Community. But today the necessary implementation especially of directives which concern parts of systematic codifications occupies the capacity of the national legislator to a large and growing extent. The implementation of European directives into national law – a procedure of quasi notarial character – and the adaptation of existing national law particularly in private law areas becomes more and more time-consuming and at the same time difficult and blocks the national legislator to a considerable extent from other tasks. In order to save this legislative capacity of national parliaments the time seems to have come that the EU legislator makes stricter use of the instrument of the regulation in private law.

As to substance and contents of private law the influence of European private law on national law is far-reaching and still growing as well. Taking the German example the recent “Schuldrechtsreform” would with all probability not have occurred without the need to implement European directives and certainly the contents of this reform has been influenced by European law much more than the mere implementation of the directives would have necessitated. I only mention the extension of the concept of the Consumer Sales Directive to the general sales law. Moreover, almost all consumer protection measures which were enacted in the last three decades in Germany had their origin in Brussels – and consumer protection was one if not *the* main legal development in German private law in that time.

In summary, the European influence on national private law is strong and still growing.

3. National Influences on European Private Law

Are there also influences from national laws on the development of European private law? The answer is yes but with some qualifications. To take again a German example: The Directive on Unfair Contract Terms of 1993 was to a large extent modelled after the German Act on Standard Contract Terms (AGBG) of 1976. In this case, an existing national regulation was influential for the European legislative action. But in other important areas like consumer sales law, consumer credit law, product liability law the respective directives merged elements from different legal systems or – as in case of sales law – from international conventions rather than to adopt one national model. And partly the directives

developed new instruments on their own like in electronic commerce or for door-step contracts.¹¹³

Direct influence of national laws on European legislation in the sense that a specific national solution is adopted for Europe seems to be rare. Indirect influence that the existing national laws are taken into consideration when European legislation is prepared is probably the rule though this influence is difficult to assess. And partly, completely new legislative ideas are created in Brussels.¹¹⁴

Some hoped influence of national legislators should also be mentioned. For instance the recent German “Schuldrechtsreform” was also accompanied by the hope that the amended Code would receive greater attention and estimation in the process of forming a European private law than the unamended Code would have had.

Compared to the influence European private law has on national private law the influence vice versa is, however, much less extended.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Which conclusions can be drawn with respect to future legislation on private law in Europe?

1. First, on the Community level: It is not only likely but for sure that there will be further private law legislation of the Community. In fact, legislation in this field will increasingly be taken over by the EU – certainly within the competency of the Community but this competency has been extended ever further and it is likely that this extension continues.

2. It has to be demanded that EU legislation on private law be more consistent and transparent than in the past where piecemeal and patchwork legislation governed. This means that future EU legislation on private law has to be more in the kind of comprehensive codifying legislation. This has the further effect that the instrument of regulation – instead of the directive – should be more frequently used – also in order to save capacity of the national legislators and also in order to bring about in the long run a true “area of law” as required by art. 61 Amsterdam Treaty.

3. What sources of inspiration should the EU legislation use? In the first line international conventions – like the Vienna Sales Convention – but also the European Contract Principles and the UNIDROIT Principles

¹¹³ The consumer’s right of withdrawal from a validly concluded contract – if concluded in a certain situation – is of European origin.

¹¹⁴ See preceding fn.

and similar unifying instruments should be taken into account in order to form a basis for EU legislation. Evidently also the various national solutions must be considered though a simple reception of one country's solution will become an ever rarer case. From all these sources a new hybrid law family will develop.

4. Secondly, the national level of private law legislation: Is there still the need to enact national statutes or codifications of private law – even for small parts of EU member states (provided that the national constitutional law allows such local codifications)? It is evident that the space for national legislative actions in the field of private law is shrinking. Where the EU has made use of an existing competency for legislative action the national lawgiver can at best merely reproduce the EU solution. Only within the scope of these restrictions national lawgiving remains possible. But nevertheless, although I am no defender of the idea of a 'competition of legal orders' I see no disadvantage to have – or to create – various modern codifications from which a future European regulation can benefit and on which it can be erected.

5. However, as far as the national legislator still enacts private law 'on its own' the taking into account of international conventions and instruments like the Lando and UNIDROIT principles as well as the comparison with the neighbour laws should be self-understanding. Moreover, the outcome should be also guided by the consideration that the solution should be acceptable both for the neighbouring laws and on the European level.

