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Abstract: The influence of the encapsulation of an ion inside the C60-fullerenic cage on its exochemical reactivity has been explored by means of Density Functional Theory calculations. To this end, the Diels-Alder reaction between 1,3-cyclohexadiene and M@C60 (M = Li+, Na+, K+, Be2+, Mg2+, Al3+ and Cl−) has been studied and compared to the analogous process involving the parent C60 fullerene. A significant enhancement of the Diels-Alder reactivity is found for those systems having an endohedral cation whereas a clear reduction of the reactivity is observed when an anion is encapsulated into the C60-cage. The origins of this reactivity trend have been quantitatively analyzed in detail using the Activation Strain Model of reactivity in combination with the Energy Decomposition Analysis method.

Introduction

Endohedral metallofullerenes (EMFs) constitute a general class of fullerences which are characterized by the encapsulation of a transition metal fragment inside the fullerenic cage.[1,2] Since the detection of La@C60 by Smalley and co-workers in 1985,[3] the chemistry of this type of fullerences has experienced a remarkable development. As a result, a good number of novel EMFs, having interesting properties for potential applications in material science and biomedicine, have been prepared.[1,3]

Closely related to these EMFs, ion-encapsulated fullerences, i.e., fullerences having an endohedral ion, have emerged as a new family of endohedral fullerences quite recently.[4] Although only Li+@C60 has been experimentally isolated and fully characterized, it becomes clear that the encapsulation of an ion inside the fullerenic cage has a tremendous impact on the electronic properties of the fullerene. For instance, this cationic fullerene is reported to form the highly stable donor-acceptor Li+@C60 –[10]CPP supramolecular nanocarbon structure (CPP = cycloparaphenylene) due to the strong charge-transfer interaction between [10]CPP and Li+@C60.[5] Moreover, Li+@C60 has been also found to have interesting non-linear optical properties,[6] to be a molecular switch at low temperatures,[7] and to be an efficient photosensitizer for the generation of singlet oxygen in water.[8]

Not surprisingly, the presence of the lithium cation also modifies the exochemical reactivity of the C60 moiety.[9,10] Indeed, it was found that Li+@C60 shows greatly enhanced reactivity in photo-induced electron-transfer reductions with electron donors as compared to hollow C60.[11] Strikingly, the Diels-Alder (DA) cycloaddition reactions between cyclopentadiene or 1,3-cyclohexadiene and Li+@C60 were reported to be significantly faster than the analogous processes involving the parent C60 fullerene.[12] Thus, the observed activation barrier for the DA reaction of Li+@C60 and C6H8 (11.0 kcal/mol) was about 6 kcal/mol lower than that for the reaction involving empty C60 (16.8 kcal/mol).[10b] This enhanced DA reactivity has been qualitatively attributed to the reduction of the HOMO(diene)–LUMO(fullerene) gap as a consequence of the stabilization of the Li+@C60–LUMO (E(LUMO) = –3.74 eV vs. –2.70 eV, for Li+@C60 and C60, respectively).[10b] Despite that, we want to point out that, as repeatedly reported,[12] the use of Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) arguments to rationalize the reactivity, particularly in pericyclic reactions, may lead to misleading conclusions as the FMO interactions are exclusively computed at the equilibrium geometries of the reactants, therefore ignoring those interactions occurring at the transition-state region or at any other point along the reaction coordinate.

Fortunately, the introduction of the Activation Strain Model (ASM)[13] of reactivity in combination with the Energy Decomposition Analysis (EDA)[14] method has allowed us to gain quantitative insight into different fundamental processes in organic[15] and organometallic[16] chemistry along the entire reaction coordinate. This approach has been particularly useful to quantitatively understanding the Diels-Alder reactivity and selectivity of empty and endohedral fullerences[17,18] as well as strongly related curved and planar polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.[19] For this reason, we decided to apply the combined ASM-EDA method to explore the influence of an encapsulated endohedral ion (M = Li+, Na+, K+, Be2+, Mg2+, Al3+) on the exochemical reactivity of the C60-fullerene cage. To this end, we will consider the Diels-Alder reactions involving these ion-encapsulated M@C60 species and 1,3-cyclohexadiene (experimentally studied for Li+@C60)[10b] and compare them with the process involving the parent C60 fullerene. The results of our ASM-EDA study will complement and expand previous theoretical studies[20] on similar cationic species (based mainly on FMO arguments) aiming at rationalizing the poorly understood reactivity of this genuine family of fullerences.
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Computational Details

Geometry optimizations of the molecules were performed without symmetry constraints using the Gaussian03[21] optimizer together with Turbomole 6.6[20] energies and gradients at the BP86[20]/def2-SVP[24] level of theory using the D3 dispersion correction suggested by Grimme et al.[28] and the resolution-of-identity (RI) approximation.[29] This level is denoted RI-BP86-D3/def2-SVP and has been selected because it provided very good results for Diels-Alder reactions involving related fullerenes.[17,18] Reactants and cycloadducts were characterized by frequency calculations, and have positive definite Hessian matrices. Transition states (TSs) show only one negative eigenvalue in their diagonalized force constant matrices, and their associated eigenvectors were confirmed to correspond to the motion along the reaction coordinate under consideration using the Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate (IRC) method.[27] Single-point energy refinements were carried out using the D3-corrected methahybrid M06-2X[20] functional in conjunction with the triple-ζ-quality def2-TZVPP basis sets.[24] This level is therefore denoted M06-2X-D3/def2-TZVPP//RI-BP86-D3/def2-SVP.

Activation Strain Analyses of Reaction Profiles

The activation strain model of reactivity, also known as distortion/interaction model,[26] is a fragment approach to understanding chemical reactions, in which the height of reaction barriers is described and understood in terms of the original reactants.[2] The ASM is a systematic extension of the fragment approach from equilibrium structures to TSs as well as non-stationary points, e.g., points along a reaction coordinate. Thus, the potential energy surface $\Delta E^r(c)$ is decomposed, along the reaction coordinate $c$, into the strain $\Delta E_{\text{strain}}(c)$ associated with deforming the individual reactants plus the actual interaction $\Delta E_{\text{int}}(c)$ between the deformed reactants (eq. 1):

$$\Delta E^r(c) = \Delta E_{\text{strain}}(c) + \Delta E_{\text{int}}(c) \quad \text{(eq. 1)}$$

The strain $\Delta E_{\text{strain}}(c)$ is determined by the rigidity of the reactants and by the extent to which groups must reorganize in a particular reaction mechanism, whereas the interaction $\Delta E_{\text{int}}(c)$ between the reactants depends on their electronic structure and on how they are mutually oriented as they approach each other. It is the interplay between $\Delta E_{\text{strain}}(c)$ and $\Delta E_{\text{int}}(c)$ that determines if and at which point along $c$ a barrier arises, namely, at the point where $\Delta E_{\text{strain}}(c)/\partial c = -\Delta E_{\text{int}}(c)/\partial c$.

In the cycloaddition reactions considered herein, the reaction coordinate is defined as the projection of the IRC onto the forming C–C distance between the carbon atom of the fullerene and the carbon atom of the diene. This reaction coordinate $\zeta$ undergoes a well-defined change in the course of the reaction from the initially formed reactant complexes to the equilibrium C–C distance in the corresponding TSs.

Energy Decomposition Analysis

The interaction $\Delta E_{\text{int}}(c)$ between the strained reactants can be further partitioned with the help of the Energy Decomposition Analysis (EDA) method.[14] Within this approach, this term is decomposed into the following physically meaningful terms (eq. 2):

$$\Delta E_{\text{int}}(c) = \Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}(c) + \Delta E_{\text{disp}}(c) + \Delta E_{\text{exch}}(c) + \Delta E_{\text{corr}}(c) \quad \text{(eq. 2)}$$

The term $\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}$ corresponds to the classical electrostatic interaction between the unperturbed charge distributions of the deformed reactants and is usually attractive. The Pauli repulsion $\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}$ comprises the destabilizing interactions between occupied orbitals and is responsible for any steric repulsion. The orbital interaction $\Delta E_{\text{corr}}$ accounts for electron-pair bonding, charge transfer (interaction between occupied orbitals on one moiety with unoccupied orbitals on the other, including HOMO–LUMO interactions), and polarization (empty-occupied orbital mixing on one fragment due to the presence of another fragment). Finally, the $\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$ term takes into account the interactions which are due to dispersion forces. Moreover, the NOCC (Natural Orbital for Chemical Valence)[32] extension of the EDA method has been also used to further partitioning the $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ term. The EDA-NOCC approach[33] provides pairwise energy contributions for each pair of interacting orbitals to the total bond energy.

The program package ADF 2016.01[25] was used for the EDA-NOCC calculations at the BP86-D3 level, in conjunction with a triple-ζ quality basis set using uncontracted Slater-type orbitals (STOs) augmented by two sets of polarization functions with a frozen-core approximation for the core electrons. Auxiliary sets of s, p, d, f, and g STOs were used to fit the molecular densities and to represent the Coulomb and exchange potentials accurately in each SCF cycle.[33] Scalar relativistic effects were incorporated by applying the zeroth-order regular approximation (ZORA).[34] This level of theory is denoted ZORA-BP86-D3/TZ2P//RI-BP86-D3/def2-SVP.

Results and Discussion

We first explored the Diels-Alder reactions between 1,3-cyclohexadiene and group 1 containing cationic M@C60 (M + Li+, Na+, K+) and the parent C60 fullerenes. Note that we have focused only on the cycloaddition reactions involving the [6,6]-pyracylenic bond of the C60 cage because this bond was found previously to be favored over the [5,6]-corannulenic bond for either the parent C60[17] or the ion-encapsulated M@C60 fullerenes (M = group 1 element).[25] In agreement with previous calculations,[12b,26a] the computed reaction profiles depicted in Figure 1 indicate that in all cases the cycloaddition reaction occurs concerted via an initial reactant complex (RC) which is transformed into the corresponding cycloadduct (CA) via a highly synchronous transition state (TS, see Figure 2). From the data in Figure 1, it is confirmed that the encapsulation of the group 1 cation inside the fullerene cage induces a significant decrease of the activation barrier ($\Delta E_a = 17.1 > 12.2 > 11.8 > 10.4$ kcal/mol, for M = none, Li+, Na+, K+, respectively). In addition, the process also becomes more exoenergetic when going down in the group ($\Delta E_a = -29.8 < -35.0 < -35.6 < -36.6$ kcal/mol, for M = none, Li+, Na+, K+, respectively). In sharp contrast, the presence of an anion in the cage (Cl– in this study) provokes the opposite effect, i.e. the process becomes kinetically ($\Delta E_a = 20.9$ kcal/mol) and thermodynamically ($\Delta E_a = -26.4$ kcal/mol) less favored than that involving free C60. We want to point out that our computed M06-2X-D3/def2-TZVPP//RI-BP86-D3/def2-SVP activation energies for the processes involving C60 and Li@C60 are in very good agreement with the available experimental data (17.1 and 12.2 kcal/mol versus 16.8 and 11.0 kcal/mol, respectively),[100] which validates the selected computational method for this study. It is also worth noting that Li@C60 is the only system that places the encapsulated ion off-centered in all stationary points located except in the reactants. This result is not surprising in view of previous studies showing the high mobility of Li+ in Li@C60[7,35]
Interestingly, there is a clear linear relationship between the computed activation and reaction energies (correlation coefficient of 0.99, standard deviation 0.24 and slope of 1.01, Figure 3), therefore satisfying the empirical relationship given by Brønsted, Dimroth, Marcus, and Bell–Evans–Polanyi (also known as the Bema Hapothle relationship)\(^\text{[26]}\). The studied Diels–Alder also follows the Hammond–Leffler postulate\(^\text{[37]}\). As stated by this postulate, more reactant-like TS are expected for more exothermic transformations. Indeed, closer inspection of the C···C forming distances of the optimized transition states in Figure 2 nicely confirms that earlier transition states (M\(^+\)@C\(_{60}\)) are associated with higher exothermicities whereas less exothermic cycloadditions involve later transition states (C\(_{60}\) and Cl\(\text{–}@C_{60}\)). A similar linear correlation was also observed for the Diels–Alder reactions involving cyclopentadiene and the strongly related endohedral Ng\(2@C_{60}\) (Ng = noble gas) fullerenes\(^\text{[18a]}\), therefore indicating reactivity likeness of these species.

The physical factors governing the above discussed reactivity trend were next quantitatively analyzed by means of the Activation Strain Model (ASM) of reactivity. To this end, we compared the \([4+2]\)-cycloadditions involving both a cationic (Li\(^+\)@C\(_{60}\)) and an anionic (Cl\(\text{–}@C_{60}\)) system with that involving the parent C\(_{60}\). Figure 4 shows the computed activation strain diagrams (ASD) for the cycloaddition reactions between 1,3-cyclohexadiene and M@C\(_{60}\) species. From the respective reactant complexes up to the corresponding transition states. The shape of the different curves is rather similar in the sense that for all systems the strain energy (∆\(E_{\text{strain}}\)) monotonically increases along the reaction coordinate whereas the interaction energy (∆\(E_{\text{int}}\)) between the deformed reactants only becomes more and more stabilizing when reaching the transition state region. This particular behavior is shared not only
by related DA cycloaditions reactions\cite{15} but also by other types of pericyclic reactions.\cite{150}

Comparison of the different energy contributors to the total energy clearly reveals that the strain energy is not at all responsible for the different reactivity of the M@C_{60} systems as the \( \Delta E_{\text{strain}} \) term is nearly identical along the entire reaction coordinate for all species. Instead, the interaction energy between the deformed reactants becomes the sole factor that determines the observed reactivity trend. As depicted in Figure 4, the interaction energy between the reactants is, along the entire transformation, clearly stronger for the cationic system Li\(^+\)@C_{60} than for the processes involving the parent C_{60} or the anionic Cl\(^-\)@C_{60} fullerenes. For instance, at the same C···C forming distance of 2.4 Å, the difference in the interaction energy \( \Delta E_{\text{int}} \) = 8.6 and 12.3 kcal/mol (values comparing the reactions involving Li\(^+\)@C_{60} vs C_{60}, and Li\(^+\)@C_{60} vs Cl\(^-\)@C_{60}, respectively) roughly matches the computed total energy differences between these transformations (\( \Delta E = 7.0 \) and 10.9 kcal/mol). Therefore, it can be safely concluded that the presence of an endohedral cation in the C_{60}-cage leads to a significant enhancement of the exohedral Diels-Alder reactivity (as compared to free C_{60}) as a consequence of a much stronger interaction between the reactants from the initially formed reactant complex up to the corresponding transition state. In contrast, an anion inside the fullerene cage produces the opposite effect, i.e. it makes the interaction energy between the reactants comparatively weaker than in the process involving the parent C_{60}-fullerene therefore increasing the barrier of the transformation.

Further quantitative insight into the different contributors to the total interaction energy between the deformed reactants can be gained by using the EDA method. As graphically shown in Figure 5, which illustrates the variation of the different EDA terms again from the respective reactant complexes up to the transition states for the processes involving C_{60} (solid lines) and Li\(^+\)@C_{60} (dotted lines), it is clear that the stronger interaction energy computed for the Li\(^+\)@C_{60} system derives almost exclusively from a much stronger orbital attraction between the reactants along the entire reaction coordinate. For instance, as the same forming C···C distance of 2.4 Å, the \( \Delta E_{\text{orb}} \) term increases in the order \(-35.8 \) kcal/mol (Cl\(^-\)@C_{60}) < \(-38.8 \) kcal/mol (C_{60}) < \(-45.7 \) kcal/mol (Li\(^+\)@C_{60}), therefore following the same trend as the interaction energy as well as the computed activation barriers. The Li\(^+\)@C_{60} system also benefits from a less destabilizing Pauli repulsion, \( \Delta E_{\text{Pauli}} \), albeit to a much lesser extent as compared to the \( \Delta E_{\text{orb}} \) term: \( \Delta E_{\text{Pauli}} = 78.8 \) kcal/mol (Cl\(^-\)@C_{60}) > 76.0 kcal/mol (C_{60}) > 73.3 kcal/mol (Li\(^+\)@C_{60}). This increase in the \( \Delta E_{\text{Pauli}} \) term is very likely due to the somewhat larger electron density of the C_{60} cage when going from Li\(^+\)@C_{60} to hollow C_{60} and to Cl\(^-\)@C_{60}.

As expected, the decisive role of the \( \Delta E_{\text{orb}} \) is consistent with the stabilization of the LUMO in the cationic species (\(-7.60\), \(-7.57\) eV for Li\(^+\)@C_{60}, Na\(^+\)@C_{60} and K\(^+\)@C_{60}, respectively) as compared to C_{60} (\(-4.42\) eV) and Cl\(^-\)@C_{60} (\(-1.30\) eV). Despite that, the EDA-NOCV method was also applied to quantitatively assess the contributions of the specific molecular orbitals of the reactants in the cycloaddition. The EDA-NOCV method indicates that two major donor-acceptor molecular orbital interactions dominate the total orbital attractions in this process, i.e. the \( \pi(\text{diene}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{fullerene}) \) and the reverse \( \pi(\text{fullerene}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{diene}) \) interactions (see Figure 6, charge flow is red → blue). As expected for a normal electronic demand, the former interaction is higher than the reverse interaction (i.e. \( \Delta E_{\pi(\text{diene})} > \Delta E_{\pi(\text{fullerene})} \)). This holds true even for the cycloaddition reaction involving Cl\(^-\)@C_{60}, although in this case the difference between both interactions is much lower. Not surprisingly, the \( \pi(\text{diene}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{fullerene}) \) interaction trend is similar to that computed for the total \( \Delta E_{\text{orb}} \) term: the \( \Delta E_{\pi(\text{fullerene})} \) term increases in the order \(-13.7 \) kcal/mol (Cl\(^-\)@C_{60}) < \(-18.1 \) kcal/mol (C_{60}) < \(-25.5 \) kcal/mol (Li\(^+\)@C_{60}). At variance,
the reverse interaction follows the opposite trend (see Figure 6). Therefore, we can now conclude that the observed enhanced Diels-Alder reactivity of cation-encapsulated fullerenes finds its origin mainly in a much stronger \( \pi(\text{diene}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{fullerene}) \) interaction, which in turn is translated into a more stabilizing orbital interaction between the deformed reactants and ultimately, into a much stronger interaction energy between them along the entire reaction coordinate.
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**Figure 6.** Plot of the deformation densities \( \Delta \rho \) of the pairwise orbital interactions between 1,3-cyclohexadiene and C\(_60\) (middle), Li\(^+\)@C\(_60\) (right) and Cl\(^-\)@C\(_60\) (left) and associated stabilization energies \( \Delta E \) in kcal/mol. The color code of the charge flow is red \( \rightarrow \) blue.

To complete this study, we were finally curious to explore the effect of the encapsulation of multiply charged cations (Be\(^2+\), Mg\(^2+\) and Al\(^3+\)) in the C\(_60\)-cage on the [6,6]-cycloaddition reaction with 1,3-cyclohexadiene. Different to the processes involving M\(^+\)@C\(_60\) (M = group 1 cation, see above), our calculations suggest that the [4+2]-cycloaddition reactions involving Be\(^2+\)@C\(_60\), Mg\(^2+\)@C\(_60\) and Al\(^3+\)@C\(_60\) proceed stepwise via zwitterionic intermediates (INT) instead of in a concerted manner (see Figures 7 and 8). Indeed, all our attempts to locate a concerted reaction pathway for the formation of the corresponding cycloadducts met with no success. Similarly, the possibility of a stepwise process involving diradical intermediates instead of these zwitterionic species can be also ruled out because no such intermediates were located on the potential energy surface either. Similar zwitterionic intermediates have been previously reported in the cis-trans isomerization of optically pure endohedral H\(_2\)O@C\(_60\) fulleropyrroldines.[38]

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Computed reaction profile (not drawn to scale) for the [4+2]-cycloaddition reaction between 1,3-cyclohexadiene and M@C\(_60\) species. Relative energies are given in kcal/mol. All data have been computed at the M06-2X-D3/def2-TZVPP//RI-BP86-D3/def2-SVP level.

This different behavior can be ascribed to the high polarization of the C\(_60\)-cage induced by these di- and trications that results in a much stronger interaction between the reactants as compared to the group-1 cations. This fact becomes evident when comparing the energies and optimized geometries of the initial reactants complexes. Thus, whereas the RCs involving the group-1 cations lie only ~3.8 to ~7.0 kcal/mol below the separate reactants (see Figure 1), the group 2-RCs are ~15.3 and ~8.8 kcal/mol (for Be\(^2+\) and Mg\(^2+\), respectively) below the reactants. The situation is even much more drastic for the trication Al\(^3+\), where the corresponding RC lies ca. ~50 kcal/mol below the separate reactants. Once again, this is in line with the LUMO energies computed for these species (~11.3, ~11.8 and ~15.4 eV for Be\(^2+\)@C\(_60\), Mg\(^2+\)@C\(_60\), Al\(^3+\)@C\(_60\), respectively), which are markedly lower (i.e. more negative) than those computed for the group 1 cation analogues. In addition, this polarization greatly approximates the reactive carbon atoms of each reactant, i.e. whereas both forming C–C bond distances are ca. 3.1 Å in the RCs involving group-1 cations, a significantly shorter C–C distance (ranging ca. 2.5–2.8 Å) was computed for the corresponding multiply charged counterparts (see Figure 8). As a result of the asymmetry (i.e. different C–C bond distances) and close proximity of the reactants, the activation barrier associated with the C–C bond formation (via TS\(_1\)) is rather low (< 2 kcal/mol) which provokes the observed switch from a concerted to a stepwise reaction mechanism.[39] The formation of the second C–C bond (via TS\(_2\)) is, at variance, associated with a much higher activation barrier (\( \Delta E_a \approx 11-19 \) kcal/mol, see Figure 7). These findings nicely confirm that the encapsulation of ions inside the fullerene cage may induce a significant modification of the electronic nature of the fullerene which has a tremendous influence on the exohedral reactivity of the system.
Conclusions

From the computational study reported herein, it can be concluded that the [6,6]-regioselective Diels-Alder cycloaddition reactions between 1,3-cyclohexadiene and M@C_{60} species proceed concertedly via highly synchronous transition states, therefore resembling the corresponding process involving the parent C_{60} fullerene. However, a significant enhancement of the Diels-Alder reactivity is found for those systems having an endohedral cation whereas a clear reduction of the reactivity is observed when an anion is encapsulated into the C_{60} cage. According to the combined ASM-EDA(NOCV) method, the interaction energy between the deformed reactants along the reaction coordinate constitutes the sole factor governing the reactivity of these M@C_{60} species. Thus, cationic systems exhibit a much stronger interaction than the free C_{60}, whereas the behavior of anionic compounds is the opposite. This stronger interaction is mainly the result of higher orbital attractions between the reactants and thus destabilizing Pauli repulsion, albeit to a much lesser extent, which in turn, derives from a stronger n(diene)→n*(fullerene) molecular orbital interaction. At variance to these mono-cationic species, the analogous Diels-Alder reactions involving multiply-charged fullerences M@C_{60} (M = Be^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Al^{3+}) proceed stepwise via stable zwitterionic intermediates. This differential behavior may be ascribed to the fact that the higher polarization of the cage promotes an asymmetric approach and, therefore, a low barrier for the formation of one C–C bond preceding the formation of the next one.

In summary, our results firmly establish that the exohedral reactivity of fullerences can be tuned by the encapsulation of ions inside the cage, which is of crucial importance for the future preparation of new species having different electronic/optical properties.
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Tuning the Reactivity: The encapsulation of an ion inside the C\textsubscript{60}-fullerenic cage has a tremendous impact on the exohedral reactivity of the C\textsubscript{60} moiety. The origins of the observed reactivity trend (see Figure) has been quantitatively explored in detail by means of Density Functional Theory calculations.
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