## Manuscript, September 2015

## Do not distribute without authors' permission

## Current status of the brown trout (Salmo trutta) populations within eastern

## Pyrenees genetic refuges

- SALMONID SYMPOSIUM GIRONA-

Araguas, R.M.<sup>1\*a</sup>

Vera, M.<sup>1a</sup>

Aparicio, E.<sup>1</sup>

Sanz, N<sup>1</sup>

Fernández-Cebrián, R.<sup>2</sup>

Marchante, C.<sup>3</sup>

García-Marín, J.L.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratori d'Ictiologia Genètica, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences,

Universitat de Girona. Campus of Montilivi, E-17071 Girona, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Geneaqua S. L. C/ Primavera, Nº 40, 1ºB. E-27002 Lugo, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Direcció General del Medi Natural i Biodiversitat, DAAM, Generalitat de Catalunya,

C/Dr. Roux 80, E-08017 Barcelona, Spain

\* to whom correspondence should be addressed

TLF +34 982 82 24 28

Fax +34 982 82 24 28

e-mail: rosa.araguas@udg.edu

<sup>a</sup> These two authors contributed equally to this work and are first co-authors

Keywords: brown trout, conservation, stocking impact, genetic refuges, management

Running title: Brown trout status in genetic refuges

#### 1 Abstract

2 Since the end of the 20<sup>th</sup> century some headwaters of rivers in the eastern Pyrenees 3 have been designated as genetic refuges to protect remaining native brown trout 4 (Salmo trutta) diversity. The declaration was based on limited or no evidence of genetic 5 impact from released non-native Atlantic hatchery fish. Hatchery releases were 6 completely banned into the genetic refuges but pre-existing fishing activities were 7 maintained. Specific locations in each refuge have been monitored every 2-3 trout 8 generations to update genetic information to accurately assess the contribution of 9 these reservoirs to the preservation of native brown trout gene pools. This work 10 updates genetic information to year 2014 in 3 of these locations (in Ter, Freser and 11 Flamisell rivers). Previous studies identified hatchery introgressed populations within 12 refuges, and suggested discrepancies between the underlying intention of the genetic 13 refuges and the gene pools detected. Therefore, we also examined genetic 14 divergences among locations inside refuge river segments. Combined information at 15 five microsatellite and the lactate dehydrogenase C (LDH-C\*) loci showed reduced but 16 significant temporal native allele frequency fluctuations in some of the above specific 17 locations that did not modify overall levels of local diversity and river divergences. 18 Bayesian clustering analyses confirmed the presence of differentiated native units 19 within each genetic refuge. Some locations of the Freser River within the genetic 20 refuge area showed high hatchery impact of non-native fish (over 20%). We discuss 21 additional local actions (releases of native fish, selective removals and fishery 22 reinforcement with sterile individuals) to improve the conservation objective of genetic 23 refuges.

25 Introduction

26 Preservation of genetic diversity within species maintains their evolutionary potential 27 and thereby the long-term conservation of the species (Ryman et al. 1995; Hurt & 28 Hedrick 2004; Utter 2004). However, rates of anthropogenic hybridization and 29 introgression are increasing dramatically worldwide because of intentional 30 translocations of organisms and habitat modifications by humans (Allendorf et al. 2001; 31 Champagnon et al. 2012; Chunco 2014). This situation is especially significant in game 32 species, where captive-bred animals derived from native, alien, or hybrid stocks are 33 often released in large numbers into the wild with the intention of reinforcing exploited 34 populations (Mamuris et al. 2001; Negro et al. 2001; Vernesi et al. 2003; Barilani et al. 35 2005). The loss of native gene pools through hybridization is particularly widespread in 36 aquatic species (Moyle & Leidy 1992; Hanfling 2007). The problem is well known in 37 salmonids, where for several decades hatchery-reared fish have either escaped or 38 have been released deliberately into wild populations (Allendorf et al. 2001; Valiguette 39 et al. 2014) with the aim to enhance recreational opportunities (Brown & Day 2002; 40 Arlinghaus & Mehner 2005; Cowx et al. 2010). Salmonids have experienced declines 41 on native freshwater biodiversity (Lewin et al. 2006; Naish et al. 2007) due to exotic species introductions, overfishing and/or release of non-native stocks (Cowx & 42 43 Gerdeaux 2004). Also, on-going climate change has increased the vulnerability and 44 endangered status of salmonid species worldwide (e.g. Hari et al. 2006; Almodovar et 45 al. 2012; Vera et al. 2013).

Mediterranean brown trout populations have evolved through complex evolutionary processes involving secondary contacts between ancient lineages, and local adaptations (Sanz et al. 2002; Aparicio et al. 2005; Snoj et al. 2008; Vera et al. 2010). However, these populations have been extensively compromised with genetically divergent North-eastern Atlantic stocks to support recreational fisheries in the entire territory, and the displacements of native gene pools are well documented (Poteaux &

52 Berrebi 1997; García-Marín et al. 1998; Marzano et al. 2003; Jug et al. 2005; Sanz et 53 al. 2006; Apostolidis et al. 2008). In the eastern Pyrenees, successful hatchery 54 releases in wild populations have been estimated to increase the average individual 55 introgression rate between 1% and 5% in a single year (García-Marín et al. 1999, 56 Araguas et al. 2004). Thus, continuation of these hatchery releases with foreign stocks 57 is presumably going to dramatically erode native diversity and population structure in 58 this century (Fernández-Cebrián et al. 2014).

59 In order to preserve the native gene pools remaining in wild populations from eastern 60 Pyrenees river basins, the Autonomous Government of Catalonia changed fishery 61 policies in 1997 to exploit new approaches that balanced harvest and conservation of 62 wild genetic resources. With the aim to address both conservation of remnant native 63 gene pools and transition toward self-sustained recreational fisheries, several river 64 segments were designated as 'genetic refuges' based on the genetically demonstrated 65 native status of their brown trout populations. Within each refuge, the previous fishery 66 status was maintained, but releases from hatchery stocks were completely banned. In 67 addition, the stocking ban was accompanied with measures to promote a self-68 sustaining fishery, with restriction on the number of captures and on the length of 69 removed fish (revised in Araguas et al. 2008). This management action is different from 70 genetic sanctuaries defined by Poteaux and Berrebi (1997), which are areas where 71 neither stocking nor fishing are permitted. Genetic refuges have also been 72 implemented in French Mediterranean brown trout populations since 2005 (Caudron et 73 al. 2011, 2012).

Genetic monitoring of trout populations within genetic refuges is essential to assess the contribution of the management strategy to the ultimate objective of preserving native brown trout gene pools. Such an approach was undertaken in the eastern Pyrenees from a set of 10 selected locations (hereafter reference locations). Results from 1993 to 2006 monitoring are summarized in two papers by Araguas et al. (2008, 2009). Their

findings indicated that the establishment of a genetic refuge did not reduce the average regional abundance of the foreign stock alleles, though the policy of genetic refuges controlled the increase of introgression from 1993 to 1999, and maintained major trends in the pattern of population structure. Similar results were reported from wild French trout populations in the Mediterranean Alpine rivers where genetic refuges policies were also implemented (Caudron et al. 2011, 2012).

85 At local scale, some monitored reference locations increased abundance of hatchery 86 alleles as a consequence of upstream migration of admixed or released hatchery fish 87 from non-refuge areas (Araguas et al. 2008). Therefore, the monitoring of genetic 88 refuges using the information from only a few locations could result in an incomplete 89 picture where some highly introgressed populations within refuges are protected, 90 representing a serious threat for native populations in surrounding river sections 91 (Araguas et al. 2008, 2009). Thus, it is important to maintain the monitoring and the 92 collection of genetic information from trout populations both within genetic refuges and 93 in adjacent areas. Unfortunately, after 2006 no more monitoring studies have been 94 done in these eastern Pyrenees populations. This present work updates to year 2014 95 genetic information within three refuges (including reference locations used to define 96 genetic refuges) in Ter, Freser and Flamisell rivers with the aim: i) to assess changes 97 on levels of introgression at these populations after 17 years of the designation of the 98 first genetic refuges and ii) to examine genetic divergences among locations from 99 different river segments within refuges.

## 100 Material and Methods

#### 101 Sampling sites

102 A total of 603 individuals from 15 locations distributed across three genetic refuges 103 (Ter, Freser and Flamisell drainages, Table 1, Fig. 1) were collected in 2014. Temporal 104 data from previous studies were available for four sampled locations (Vallter in Ter, 105 Nuria and Queralbs in Freser, and Manyanet in Flamisell, 576 individuals) mainly from 106 reference locations used to establish the genetic refuges in the studied area (see Table 107 1). Finally, 90 individuals from the Baga hatchery stock were included. This stock is 108 commonly used for releases on the studied rivers (Araguas et al. 2004; Fernández-109 Cebrián et al. 2014).

## 110 Analyses of molecular markers

111 For the 2014 collections whole genomic DNA was obtained from a piece of adipose fin using the Chelex<sup>®</sup> Resin procedure described by Walsh et al. (1991). These samples 112 113 were genotyped at microsatellite loci and LDH-C\*. Based on published genotype 114 information from 2006 collections at nine loci (Fernández-Cebrián et al. 2014), we 115 designed a single PCR multiplex of five loci (SsHaeIII14.20, Str591INRA, Str73, Ssa85 116 and SSoSL438) which produced similar estimates on diversity and introgression 117 indices. According to previous studies on trout populations of the region (Sanz et al. 118 2009), these five loci detected significant divergence among wild populations ( $F_{ST}$ 119 range: 0.109 locus Str73 - 0.393 locus Str591INRA) and among wild and hatchery fish 120 ( $F_{ST}$  range: 0.082 locus Ssa85 - 0.382 locus Str73). Amplifications were performed in 121 10 µL volumes which consisted of 1 µL template DNA (~30 ng) in 1X PROMEGA 122 GoTag®G2 Hot Start Colorless Master Mix (containing GoTag®G2 Hot Start DNA 123 Polymerase, Multiplex PCR Buffer kit, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, dNTP mix, 0.1 µM of both forward and 124 reverse PCR primer for SsHaeIII14.20, Ssa85, Str73 and SSoSL438, and 0.4 µM of both forward and reverse PCR primer for Str591INRA). Thermal cycling was 125 126 conducted on a Verity <sup>™</sup> 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) as follows:

127 initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, 54 128 °C (annealing multiplex temperature) for 1 min, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min. 129 There was a final extension step at 72 °C for 15 min. PCR products were resolved by 130 using an ABI PRISM<sup>®</sup> 3730 automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The LDH-C\* 131 genotypes for all these samples were obtained following the primers and protocol 132 described by Chat et al. (2008), which allows the analysis of this marker together with 133 microsatellite loci in an automatic sequencer. Allele scoring was performed with 134 GeneMapper 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems).

## 135 Genetic diversity, introgression impact and population structure studies

136 Allele frequencies and genetic diversity within-populations (average number of alleles 137 per locus (Na), average allelic richness (Ar), observed (Ho) and expected 138 heterozygosity (He)) were estimated using FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet, 2001) for all loci 139 (microsatellites and LDH-C\*). Allelic richness was standardized to the smallest 140 population sample in our data set using the rarefaction method (Elmousadik & Petit, 141 1996) implemented in FSTAT. Deviation from Hardy-Weinberg (HW) expectations for 142 each locus in each population was estimated using GENEPOP 4.0 (Rousset, 2008). 143 MICROCHECKER 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was used to check for 144 genotyping accuracy and to detect homozygote excess evenly distributed among 145 homozygote size classes at specific locus, which may be interpreted as evidence of 146 null alleles.

147 Introgression levels into each wild location were estimated by the *LDH-C\*90* allele 148 frequency and the proportion of introgressed genome (q) in each sampled wild fish, 149 calculated using microsatellite genotypes. These q values were calculated by the 150 Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach method using the 151 STRUCTURE Program ver. 2.1 (Pritchard et al. 2000), following the incomplete 152 baseline method assuming an admixture model with two populations (hatchery and 153 native) as described by Sanz et al. (2009). Individuals from Baga hatchery formed the

reference collection for hatchery gene pools. A burn-in period of 50,000 steps followed by 200,000 Monte Carlo replicates was used in these runs. For each sample, ten replicates of the STRUCTURE run were obtained to assess the reproducibility of the estimated *q* values.

158 In addition, hybridization between native and hatchery fish was examined by the 159 Bayesian statistical method implemented in the NEWHYBRIDS (Anderson & 160 Thompson 2002). This method estimated the posterior probability (P) that each 161 individual in a wild sample falls into different parental (native (Pnat) or hatchery (Phat)) 162 or hybrid classes (F1, F2, Bnat, Bhat). For each data set, which included the wild 163 populations and the Bagà hatchery genotypes, posterior probabilities were evaluated 164 after 100000 iterations of the Monte Carlo Markov Chains. The program ran without 165 any prior information about the hybrid status of collected individuals and populations, 166 and with the 'Uniform' prior option for both mixing proportions and allele frequencies.

167 Population differentiation was investigated from pairwise  $F_{ST}$  using FSTAT and a 168 significance test of 10,000 permutations. Analysis of the molecular variance (AMOVA; 169 Excoffier et al. 1992) was used to study the distribution of genetic variation within and 170 among genetic refuges according to geographical and temporal hierarchical models of 171 population grouping. We also determined the number of genetically homogenous 172 population groups (K) by minimizing Hardy–Weinberg and linkage disequilibrium using 173 the Bayesian MCMC approach implemented in STRUCTURE v2.3.1 (Pritchard et al. 174 2000). Analysis for each genetic refuge was carried out under the admixture ancestral 175 model with correlated allele frequencies, without prior population information with a 176 burn-in period of 50,000 steps and 200,000 MCMC replicates. Ten independent runs 177 were conducted for each tested K value (from "1" to "number of sampling sites within 178 genetic refuge + 1"). The most likely K value was estimated following Evanno et al. 179 (2005) recommendations using the program STRUCTURE HARVESTER v 0.6.92 180 (Earl & vonHoldt 2012). A factorial component analysis (FCA) using individual

181 genotypes was performed using GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 2004). FCA uses 182 individuals as operational units without the necessity of making assumptions of HWE 183 and linkage equilibrium, so it can be useful to reveal cryptic structure among groups of 184 populations in a scenario of highly differentiated populations as expected in brown 185 trout (Araguas et al. 2004; Sanz et al. 2006; Vera et al. 2013).

#### 187 **Results**

188 Genetic diversity and introgression impacts in Eastern Pyrenees locations

189 All microsatellite loci were polymorphic in all locations analysed (Table 2). Over all 190 analysed loci (microsatellites and LDH-C\*), the average number of alleles (Na) ranged 191 from 2.5 in NU93 (Freser drainage) to 6.2 in AIG14 (Flamisell drainage). In 2014 192 collections the lowest average Na was 3.0 in MY14 (Flamisell drainage). Allelic 193 richness (Ar) and gene diversity ( $H_E$ ) were minimum at TE06 (Ar = 2.170;  $H_E$  = 0.358) and maximum at QB14 (Ar = 3.935;  $H_E = 0.663$ ). Concordant with the results found for 194 195 Na, MY14 showed the lowest Ar (2.508) and  $H_E$  (0.448) values for the 2014 196 collections.

197 After Bonferroni correction, 18 out of 156 HW tests were significant. HW deviations 198 were mainly detected at the SsoSL438 (in TE14, NU14, PLA14, CAP14, LPM14, 199 SEN14, ERI14 locations) and at the Str591INRA loci (in NU04, NU06, NU14, CAP14, 200 ERI14, MY04 locations). MICRO-CHECKER analyses suggested homozygote excess 201 as the most plausible explanation for HW deviations at the SsoSL438 locus, where null 202 alleles, stuttering and drop-out, were not detected. Homozygote excess and presence 203 of null alleles were suggested at Str591INRA locus, but neither stuttering nor drop-out 204 was detected. At the rest of microsatellite loci no genotyping errors were indicated by 205 MICRO-CHECKER results. No more than two loci presented HW deviations per 206 location. Inbreeding coefficients ( $F_{IS}$ ) at each collection were low, except for NU14 207 (0.190), PLA14 (0.174), MY04 (0.095) which indicated heterozygote deficit (i.e. 208 homozygote excess). All samples conformed to Hardy-Weinberg expectations for LDH-209  $C^*$  locus except NU14 due to heterozygote deficit ( $F_{lS} = 0.870$ ).

Estimates of hatchery introgression (*q*) calculated by STRUCTURE software from genotypes at the 5 microsatellite loci had higher background noise than the ones computed from 9 loci (Table 2). For instance, the pure native brown trout population in Vallter location showed values from 0.015 (TE14) to 0.023 (TE06). This range

214 overlapped with current estimates obtained in populations where previously analyses 215 recognized low impact of hatchery fish (e.g. collections from Nuria stream in years 216 2004 and 2006). However in these less impacted collections, bar plots of hatchery 217 ancestry showed some individuals with an estimated introgression rate that largely 218 exceeded the average q-value (Fig. 2), clearly confirming the existence of fish with 219 some degree of hatchery ancestry. In addition, the LDH-C genotypes confirmed 220 hatchery impact in all these locations. Thus, the combined information from the 5 221 microsatellite and the LDH-C\* locus permitted to identify pure and hybrid trout 222 populations in the studied Pyrenean drainages. A significant positive correlation was 223 found between both introgression indices (frequency of LDH-C\*90 allele and q values) 224 for natural locations (Spearman's correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) = 0.740, P < 0.001). 225 Estimates of hatchery introgression in 2014 collections from reference locations 226 suggested the preservation of pure Mediterranean trout populations in Vallter (TE14) 227 and the putative restoration of a pure native population in Manyanet (MY14) after the 228 hatchery introgression detected in 2004 and 2006 collections. In Nuria, it was a slightly 229 increasing of the hatchery introgression levels observed in 2004 and 2006. Exact 230 probability tests at the LDH-C\* locus detected significant temporal changes in the allele 231 frequencies in Nuria and Manyanet locations (P < 0.05). The frequency of the LDH-232 C\*90 allele in these locations in 2004 suggested hatchery impacts between 1993 and 233 2004 rather than low detection in 1993 because reduced sampled sizes. In 2014, this 234 allele was not detected in Manyanet collection, but its frequency increased in Nuria 235 (Table 2).

Low hatchery introgression (<10%) was observed in 2014 in Ter and Flamisell basins, where native fish predominated in all locations (Table 2). In the Freser River, results indicated moderate (10-30%) impact of hatchery releases throughout the basin, but a population of introduced hatchery fish was present in the tributary Segadell stream at Pardines (PAR14). Accordingly, NEWHYBRIDS assigned a higher number of

individuals to hatchery and hybrid classes (i. e. *F1*, *F2* and backcrosses) in Freser refuge than in Ter and Flamisell where hatchery fish or hybrids were practically undetected (Table S1). At a local level, collections with higher introgression impacts also had higher diversity levels, due to the significant genetic divergence among hatchery and native Iberian gene pools. In spite of that, there were not significant temporal changes on overall diversity indexes and gene diversity despite the *LDH-C\*90* allele being not detected in 1993 collections (Table 3).

## 248 Genetic differentiation and population structure

249 All 2014 collections except PAR14 showed high and significant genetic differentiation 250 with the BA hatchery stock ( $F_{ST PAR-BA}$ = 0.040). Large genetic divergence among the 251 studied genetic refuges was also observed by pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values (Table S2) and 252 AMOVA analysis (Table 4). When BA location was excluded in the AMOVA analysis, 253 the percentage of genetic differentiation was reduced from 24.41% to 14.34 % (the 254 same value was obtained when PAR14 was excluded from the analysis). This 255 observation reflected the high genetic differences among native Mediterranean and 256 hatchery gene pools.

257 Comparisons between temporal collections in Ter, Nuria, Queralbs and Manyanet 258 locations resulted in the lowest pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values, which indicated small temporal 259 fluctuations of gene pools at these locations (Table S2). Hierarchical AMOVA analysis 260 of reference locations (Ter, Nuria and Manyanet sampling sites), assigned the lowest 261 percentage of variation among temporal collections (1.41%) and the highest 262 percentage of variation among genetic refuges (30.63 %) (Table 4). Over all sampled 263 collections of the year 2014, AMOVA analyses indicated that the lowest but highly 264 significant percentage of variation was among locations within genetic refuges (Table 265 4).

FCA analysis basically grouped individuals by basin (Fig. 3). However, individuals from
PAR location and any other with estimated high proportion of hatchery genome were

placed close to the BA fish. STRUCTURE plots confirmed a higher introgression impact in the Freser refuge than in Ter and Flamisell refuges (Fig. 2). Several fish collected in the Freser River basin showed large proportion of ancestry of the BA cluster. Freser and Ter refuges, which are hydrographically closer (Fig. 1), were also genetically more similar in comparison with Flamisell refuge.

273 When basins with multiple sampling locations were analysed separately, STRUCTURE 274 suggested the presence of three clusters in Freser drainage among 2014 collections: 275 one corresponded to a hatchery genome and the other two to native ones. The 276 proportion of hatchery genome was high in PAR14 and moderate in QA14 and QB14 277 (Fig. 2b). In Flamisell, STRUCTURE identified two genetic groups (Fig. 2c), none of 278 them associated to a hatchery genome according to levels of hatchery introgression at 279 these locations by microsatellites and the LDH-C\* locus. The first cluster mostly 280 distributed in the main stream in the Flamisell River and the other one in its tributary 281 (Bóssia). It should be mentioned that when loci in HW disequilibrium (SsoSL438 and 282 Str531) were removed from the STRUCTURE analyses, these native substructures 283 faded away, probably due to the lower discrimination power of analyses based in just 284 three microsatellite loci.

## 285 **Discussion**

### 286 Current status of brown trout populations in genetic refuges

Genetic analysis in 2014 on the reference locations of the studied genetic refuges showed disparate results. The most optimistic situation was perceived in the Ter River basin, where the genetic integrity of native brown trout population was preserved during 24 years of monitoring. However, according to the discussion below, this result should be taken with caution, because only one location has been analysed within Ter genetic refuge.

A positive situation was also suggested in Flamisell genetic refuge from results in temporal sampling of Manyanet location, where the moderate levels of introgression

295 noticed at 2004 collection disappeared in 2014. In addition, all the other 2014 Flamisell 296 studied locations, within and outside of the genetic refuge, presented low levels of 297 introgression and only three individuals were identified as possible hybrids using the 298 method implemented in NEWHYBRIDS program (see Table S1). However, available 299 genetic data from Filia River (a Flamisell tributary within the genetic refuge and not 300 included in this study, see Fig. 1), indicated high introgression levels along temporal 301 monitoring initiated 1993 and stopped in 2006 (LDH-C\*90 > 0.300; Araguas et al. 302 2008).

A different situation was found in the Freser genetic refuge, where a significant increasing of introgression levels and the detection of hatchery and hybrids fish (Table S1) occurred in several 2014 collections (including NU14 reference sample). Especially concerning was the situation in the Segadell stream at Pardines (PAR14 collection), where our results detected a naturalized hatchery population. This location, placed in one of the first genetic refuges established in 1997 (Araguas et al. 2004; 2008), has never been genetically studied before.

310 All these observations exemplify the limitations of sampling few or a single reference 311 location to define and monitor genetic refuges. Genetic monitoring of few reference 312 locations can give a rough impression of the average status of trout populations at 313 regional scales, but is not informative of genetic changes at the local level (Fernández-314 Cebrián et al. 2014). The above situation described in the Freser basin shows how 315 local events as described in PAR14 might be responsible for changes at a river scale. 316 Thus, larger genetic surveys from different and distant locations along river basins are 317 necessary to accurately define the situation and the future perspectives of genetic 318 refuge areas. Such surveys would help to define strategies to avoid situations as 319 described in the Freser, where a highly introgressed population is presently protected 320 and threatens the surrounding native populations (García-Marín et al. 1998; Hitt et al. 321 2003; Araguas et al. 2009). Introgressed populations could also disturb inferences on

322 the native population structure (Sanz et al. 2011). Geographically extended surveys 323 may be useful to detect genetically distinct native gene pools within a single genetic 324 refuge, as observed in Flamisell and Freser refuges (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Table S2), and 325 hence to introduce local actuations even at intra-drainage level within a refuge. 326 Significant genetic divergence among brown trout collections at short hydrological 327 distances (few kilometres) within a drainage have been described in other rivers from 328 the studied region (Sanz et al. 2011; Fernández-Cebrián et al. 2014), as well as in 329 other European basins (e.g. Carlsson & Nilsson 2000). Restricted gene flow between 330 trout populations of the main stream and nearby tributaries in the Norwegian Nordre 331 Finnvikelv River were reported by Carlsson et al. (1999).

## 332 Management recommendations

333 In the eastern Pyrenees, the current Spanish legislation on biodiversity conservation 334 (Article 52.2, Law 42/2007 of Natural Heritage and Biodiversity) forbids the releases of 335 foreign stocks into freshwater ecosystems. In particular, the legislation prohibits the 336 introduction of alien species, subspecies or geographic races and illegal transplantation 337 of individuals when they are capable of competing with native wildlife, altering its 338 genetic purity or the ecological balance. This restriction prevents future introductions 339 and reinforces the role of genetic refuges for the conservation of brown trout native 340 resources but, what happens with hybridized or hatchery populations already 341 established? In spite of some isolation degree was revealed by contrasting levels of 342 introgression at NU and QA locations in the absence of physical barriers, several 343 studies support the spreading of hatchery genes from highly introgressed salmonids 344 populations to neighbouring locations (García-Marín et al. 1998; Hitt et al 2003; 345 Araguas et al 2008). Then, the dispersal of individuals from hybridized populations 346 cannot be ignored as a possible threat that might contribute to the increase of hatchery 347 introgression in adjacent populations. For instance, the highly introgressed collection of 348 PAR14 in Segadell river might contribute to the introgression of neighbouring

349 populations, such as PLA and RF, due to the lack of hydrological barriers among them. 350 Similarly, these trout could reach populations located upstream of small weirs in the 351 main stream, such as QA and QB, because it is observed that some larger trout easily 352 overpass these physical obstacles (Ordeix et al. 2011). Nevertheless, the highest 353 introgression levels detected at QA and QB suggested that additional processes (e.g. 354 direct releases or transplantations) are also involved in the observed introgression. 355 Illegal transplantations were suspected in other locations of the region (Araguas et al. 356 2009).

357 The establishment of genetic refuges in Mediterranean rivers has not resulted in a 358 rapid and significant decrease of hatchery alleles at regional scale (Araguas et al. 359 2008, 2009; Caudron et al. 2011, 2012). The maintenance of fishery pressure in some 360 river stretches has prevented the increase of introgression from hatchery releases due 361 to selective angling on released non-native fish (Mezzera & Largiader 2001; García-362 Marín et al. 1998; Harkonen et al. 2014). However, maintaining fishery within genetic 363 refuges has not been effective enough for recovering native gene pools in introgressed 364 populations (Araguas et al. 2008, 2009; Caudron et al. 2012). Thus, while genetic 365 refuges have the potential to prevent further introgression, additional measures should 366 be implemented to recover native gene pools, particularly within protected areas 367 (Araguas et al. 2008, 2009; Caudron et al. 2012). In these situations, different 368 approaches can be used to the restoration of native gene pools; the most popular are 369 the supplementation with native individuals and/or the selective removal of hybrids and 370 hatchery naturalized fish.

Native stock reinforcement following habitat recovery is an accepted measure within the European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission (EIFAC) code of practice for recreational fisheries (FAO 2008). The supplementation of native individuals could be achieved by stocking with local native breeding stocks and /or by translocations of wild native individuals. Both actions have been reported to be successful in northern French

Alps brown trout populations. For instance, stocking with fry from a native stock has led to the restoration of a functional Mediterranean trout population in the Ugine River (Caudron et al. 2006). The translocations of native Mediterranean trout also resulted in a significant decrease in the percentages of non-native alleles in the Borne River (Caudron et al. 2012).

381 A simulated supplementation program using native brown trout stocks predicted the 382 recovery of native diversity in populations highly impacted by releases of a foreign 383 hatchery stock (Fernández-Cebrián et al. 2014). Nevertheless, the supplementation 384 with native individuals appears to be ineffective on self-sustaining non-native or hybrid 385 populations, where the release of native fish did not restore the native gene pool and 386 led to further introgression (Caudron et al. 2012). Theoretical studies demonstrated 387 reduced effective population size (Ne) and fitness of wild populations following 388 supportive breeding (Wang & Ryman 2001; Ford 2002), and a decline in local 389 populations following long-term supplementation by native domestic stocks (Satake & 390 Araki, 2012). In spite of these limitations, supplementation from native stocks might 391 balance social benefits of angling and biological damage to native diversity in the 392 regional river basins (Fernandez-Cebrián et al. 2014).

393 Selective removal of non-native individuals and hybrids seems necessary to recover 394 native gene pools in populations such as the Pardines (PAR14), within the Freser 395 genetic refuge. In the case of hatchery naturalized populations, this action can be 396 carried out promptly. However, in other cases the implementation of this strategy is 397 predicted to be more difficult, as it requires a quick and easy tool to distinguish 398 between Mediterranean native and hatchery and hybrid fish in the wild. Several 399 phenotypic characters such as the spotting pattern, the size of the spots on body 400 flanks, the number and shape of parr marks, and the colour of margins of the anal and 401 dorsal fins have been proven to be useful for a visual distinction between Atlantic and 402 Mediterranean trout (Lascaux 1996; Mezzera et al. 1997; Aparicio et al. 2005). A

403 classification tree model proposed, using three variables of coloration and spotting 404 pattern very easily measurable in the field, could be used as a tool to distinguish 405 among Mediterranean, Atlantic and hybrid fish in low and moderate introgressed 406 populations (Aparicio et al. 2005). Despite total removal of exotic alleles seeming 407 impractical over a short time, a significant reduction of the introgression rate is 408 expected if individuals classified as non-native and hybrid are removed from 409 population. To demonstrate the efficiency of these selective removals, several years 410 are needed and shallow stretches have to be selected as candidates due to the limited 411 fish catchability by electrofishing in deep water (Carmona-Catot et al. 2010; Caudron & 412 Champigneulle, 2011).

413 The Spanish legislation regulating recreational fishing activities considered sustainable 414 management essential to ensure that the exploitation of the resource harmonizes with 415 its optimal conservation. To achieve this goal and avoid introduction of alien 416 specimens, some authors suggested that fishery reinforcements should be done with 417 sterile individuals such as triploid (Piferrer et al. 2009). Certainly, the use of controlled 418 sterile individuals allows reaching equilibrium between recreational fishing (i.e. 419 economical resources) and conservation of wild resources. The production of triploids 420 is simple and cheap, involving either physical treatment (temperature or pressure 421 shocks) or the application of chemicals (Thresher et al. 2014). Moreover, because 422 triploidy does not involve manipulations of individual chromosomes or genes, they are 423 not widely considered to be "genetically modified". A protocol of optimization and 424 production of triploid individuals in S. trutta have been recently described (Preston et al. 425 2013). Nonetheless, triploids are not always 100 % sterile (Normand et al. 2008). While 426 triploid fish females are always 100% sterile because their ovaries never develop, 427 triploid males can produce functional spermatozoa and attempt to spawn with females, 428 competing with wild males (Piferrer et al. 2009, Fjelldal et al. 2014). Legislation 429 introduced by the British Environment Agency to protect wild trout and preserve the

430 recreational fisheries of the species allows stocking practises in enclosed waters using 431 either sterile triploid all-female stocks or fish from breeding programs using local 432 broodstocks (EA, 2009). Similar practises could be also applied over the Pyrenean 433 brown trout populations. No differences in performance and behaviour have been 434 suggested between stocked diploid and triploid individuals (Budy et al. 2012). However, 435 density-dependent mortality has been described in brown trout (Lobón-Cervia 2012, 436 Richard et al. 2015), indicating that releases of individuals, both sterile or not, would be 437 expected to disturb wild populations. Therefore, more research on the ecological 438 impact of stocking triploids into the wild is still necessary (Preston et al. 2013, Fjelldal 439 et al. 2014).

440 Several guidelines to improve management based on genetic refuges were previously 441 presented in Araguas et al. (2009). However, according to the genetic results 442 presented in this work some new recommendations are necessary. First, large 443 geographically extended surveys covering the entire basin are essential to identify 444 genetic entities within refuge areas and to monitor genetic changes occurred to 445 populations within and surrounding the refuge areas. Second, long term monitoring and 446 action in highly introgressed populations is necessary to recover native gene pools (i.e. 447 selective removal, releases by native stocks, translocations) to prevent genetic 448 changes resulting in loss of local adaptations. Third, despite sterile triploid trout being 449 within the current legislative restrictions on the use of foreign stocks to reinforce 450 recreations fisheries, such releases should be monitored to avoid detrimental effects 451 (e.g. ecological competition, introduction of diseases, etc.) on recipient wild brown trout 452 populations. Finally, to limit expenses, we suggest an optimization of the molecular 453 tools to the minimal number of informative loci needed to assess management actions.

## 454 Acknowledgements

Authors thank to Cesca Rivas for her technical support. We are also grateful to Dr. J.
Lobón-Cerviá and three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments on the

457 earlier version of this manuscript. This study has been financed by the Catalonian458 Autonomous Government (Spain).

## 459 **References**

- Allendorf, F.W., Leary, R.F., Spruell, P. & Wenburg, J.K. 2001. The problems with
  hybrids: setting conservation guidelines. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16: 613622.
- Almodovar, A., Nicola, G.G., Ayllon, D. & Elvira, B. 2012. Global warming threatens the
  persistence of Mediterranean brown trout. Global Change Biology 18: 15491560.
- Anderson, E.C. & Thompson, E.A. 2002. A model-based method for identifying species
  hybrids using multilocus genetic data. Genetics 160: 1217-1229.
- Aparicio, E., García-Berthou, E., Araguas, R.M., Martínez, P. & García-Marín, J.L.
  2005. Body pigmentation pattern to assess introgression by hatchery stocks in
  native *Salmo trutta* from Mediterranean streams. Journal of Fish Biology 67:
  931-949.
- 472 Apostolidis, A.P., Madeira, M.J., Hansen, M.M. & Machordom, A. 2008. Genetic
  473 structure and demographic history of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) populations
  474 from the southern Balkans. Freshwater Biology 53: 1555-1566.
- 475 Araguas, R.M., Sanz, N., Pla, C. & García-Marín, J.L. 2004. Breakdown of the brown
  476 trout evolutionary history due to hybridization between native and cultivated fish.
  477 Journal of Fish Biology 65: 28-37.
- 478 Araguas, R.M., Sanz, N., Fernández, R., Utter, F.M., Pla, C. & García-Marín, J.L. 2008.
- 479 Genetic refuges for a self-sustained fishery: experience in wild brown trout 480 populations in the eastern Pyrenees. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 17: 610-616.
- 481 Araguas, R.M., Sanz, N., Fernández, R., Utter, F.M., Pla, C. & García-Marín, J.L. 2009.
  482 Role of genetic Refuges in the Restoration of Native Gene pools of Brown
  483 Trout. Conservation Biology 23: 871-878.

- 484 Arlinghaus, R. & Mehner, T. 2005. Determinats of management preferences of
  485 recreational anglers in Germany: Habitat management versus fish stocking.
  486 Limnologica 35: 2-17.
- Barilani, M., Deregnaucourt, S., Gallego, S., Galli, L., Mucci, N., Piombo, R.,
  Puigcerver, M., Rimondi, S., Rodríguez-Teijeiro, J.D., Spanò, S. & Randi, E.
  2005. Detecting hibridization in wild (*Coturnix c. coturnix*) and domesticated
  (*Coturnix c. japonica*) quail populations. Biological Conservation 126: 445-455.
- Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N. & Bonhomme, F. 2004. GENETIX 4.05,
  logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire
  Génome, Populations, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5000, Université de Montpellier
  II, Montpellier (France).
- Brown, C. & Day, R.L. 2002. The future of stock enhancements: lessons for hatchery
  practice from conservation biology. Fish and Fisheries 3: 79-94.
- Budy, P., Thiede, G.P., Dean, A., Olsen, D., Rowley, G. 2012. A comparative and
  experimental evaluation of performance of stocked diploid and triploid brook
  trout. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 32: 1211–1224.
- Carmona-Catot, G., Moyle, P.B., Aparicio, E., Crain, P.K., Thompson, L.C. & GarcíaBerthou, E. 2010. Brook trout removal as a conservation tool to restore Eagle
  Lake rainbow trout. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 30:
  1315–1323.
- Carlsson, J., Olsén, K.H., Nilsson, J., ØVerli, Ø. & Stabell, O.B. 1999. Microsatellites
  reveal fine-scale genetic structure in stream-living brown trout. Journal of Fish
  Biology 55: 1290-1303.
- 507 Carlsson, J. & Nilsson, J. 2000. Population genetic structure of brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) within a northern boreal forest stream. Hereditas 132: 173-181.

Caudron, A. & Champigneulle, A. 2011. Multiple electrofishing as a mitigate tool for
 removing nonnative Atlantic brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) threatening a native
 Mediterranean brown trout. European Journal of Wildlife Research 57: 575-583.

512 Caudron, A., Champigneulle, A. & Guyomard, R. 2006. Assessment of restocking as a
513 strategy for rehabiliting a native population of brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) in a
514 fast-flowing mountain stream in the northern French Alps. Journal of Fish
515 Biology 69: 127

- 516 Caudron, A., Champigneulle, A., Guyomard, R. & Largiàder, C.R. 2011. Assessment of
  517 three strategies practiced by fishery managers for restoring native brown trout
  518 (*Salmo trutta*) populations in Northern French Alpine Streams. Ecology of
  519 Freshwater Fish 20: 478-491.
- 520 Caudron, A., Champigneulle, A., Vigier, L., Hamelet, V. & Guyomard, R. 2012. Early
  521 effects of the strategies of creating a genetic refuge and direct translocation for
  522 conserving and restoring populations of native brown trout. Freshwater Biology
  523 57: 1702-1715.
- 524 Champagnon, J., Elmberg, J., Guillemain, M., Gauthier-Clerc, M. & Lebreton, J.-D.
  525 2012. Conspecifics can be aliens too: A review of effects of restocking practices
  526 in vertebrates. Journal for Nature Conservation 20: 231-241.
- 527 Chat, J., Manicki, A. & Merchermek, N. 2008. Typing for brown trout LDH-C1\* alleles
  528 together with microsatellites by automated sequencing. Conservation Genetics
  529 9: 1669-1671.
- 530 Chunco, A.J. 2014. Hybridization in a warmer world. Ecology and Evolution 4: 2019-531 2031.
- Cowx, I.G. & Gerdeaux, D. 2004. The effects of fisheries management practices on
   freshwater ecosystems. Fisheries Management and Ecology 11: 145-151.

- Cowx, I.G., Arlinghaus, R. & Cooke, S.J. 2010. Harmonizing recreational fisheries and
  conservation objectives for aquatic biodiversity in inland waters. Journal of Fish
  Biology 76: 2194-2215.
- 537 Earl, D.A. & vonHoldt, B.M. 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program
  538 for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method.
  539 Conservation Genetics Resources 4: 359-361.
- ElMousadik, A. & Petit, R.J. 1996. High level of genetic differentiation for allelic
  richness among populations of the argan tree Argania spinosa (L) Skeels
  endemic to Morocco. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 92: 832-839.
- 543 Environment Agency. 2009. National Trout & Grayling Fisheries Strategy— New Rules 544 to Protect Wild Brown Trout. Available from http://www.environment-545 agency.gov.uk/research/library/publications/113643.aspx (last accessed 546 09/06/13).
- 547 Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of
  548 individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. Molecular Ecology
  549 14: 2611-2620.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E. & Quattro, J.M. 1992. Analysis of moleculrar variance
  inferred from metric distances among DNA hapltypes: Application to human
  mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131: 479-491.
- 553 FAO. 2008. EIFAC Code of Practice for Recreational Fisheries. EIFAC Occasional
  554 Paper, 42. 45p.
- Fernández-Cebrián, R., Araguas, R.M., Sanz, N. & García-Marín, J.L. 2014. Genetic
  risks of supplementing trout populations with native stocks: a simulation case
  study from current Pyrenean populations. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
  Aquatic Sciences 71: 1243-1255.
- Fjelldal, P.G., Wennevik, V., Fleming, I.A., Hansen, T. & Glover, K.A. 2014. Triploid
  (sterile) farmed Atlantic salmon males attempt to spawn with wild females.
  Aquaculture environment interactions 5: 155-162.

- Ford, M.J. 2002. Selection in captivity during supportive breeding may reduce fitness in
  the wild. Conservation Biology 16: 815-825.
- García-Marín, J.L., Sanz, N. & Pla, C. 1998. Proportions of native and introduced
  brown trout in adjacent fished and unfished Spanish rivers. Conservation
  Biology 12: 313-319.
- 567 García-Marín, J.L., Sanz, N. & Pla, C. 1999. Erosion of the native genetic resources of
  568 brown trout in Spain. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 8: 151-158.
- 569Goudet, J. 2001. FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation570indices(version2.9.3).Availablefrom

571 <u>http://www.unil.ch/izea/softwares/fstat.html</u>.

- Hanfling, B. 2007. Understanding the establishment success of non-indigenous fishes:
  lessons from population genetics. Journal of Fish Biology 71: 115-135.
- Hari, R.E., Livingstone, D.M., Siber, R., Burkhardt-Holm, P. & Guttinger, H. 2006.
  Consequences of climatic change for water temperature and brown trout
  populations in Alpine rivers and streams. Global Change Biology 12: 10-26.
- Harkonen, L., Hyvarinen, P., Paappanen, J. & Vainikka, A. 2014. Explorative behavior
  increases vulnerability to angling in hatchery-reared brown trout (*Salmo trutta*).
  Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 71: 1900-1909.
- Hitt, N.P., Frisell, C.A., Muhlfeld, C.C. & Allendorf, F.W. 2003. Spread of hybridization
  between native westlope cutthroat trout, *Oncorhynchus clarki lewisi*, and
  nonnative rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Canadian Journal of Fisheries
  and Aquatic Sciences 60: 1440-1451.
- Hurt, C. & Hedrick, P. 2004. Conservation genetic in aquatic species: general
  approaches and case studies in fishes and springsnails of arid lands. Aquatic
  Science 66: 402-413.

- 587 Jug, T., Berrebi, P. & Snoj, A. 2005. Distribution of non-native trout in Slovenia and 588 their introgression with native trout populations as observed through 589 microsatellite DNA analysis. Biological Conservation 123: 381-388.
- Lascaux, J.M. 1996. Analyse de la variabilité morphologique de la truite commune
  (*Salmo trutta* L.) dans les cours d'eau du basin pyrénéen méditerranéen. PhD
  Thesis, Ecole Nationale Supérieure Agronomique de Tolouse, Toulouse,
  France.
- Lewin, W.-C., Arlinghaus, R. & Mehner, T. 2006. Documented and potential biological
  impacts of recreational fishing: Insights for management and conservation.
  Reviews in Fisheries Science 14: 305–367.
- 597 Lobón-Cerviá, J. 2012. Density-dependent mortality in adults, but not juveniles, of 598 stream-resident brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). Freshwater Biology 57: 2181-2189.
- Mamuris, Z., Sfougaris, A.I. & Stamatis, C. 2001. Genetic structure of Greek brown
  hare (*Lepus europaeus*) populations as revealed by mtDBNA RFLP-PCR
  analysis: implications for conserving genetic diversity. Biological Conservation
  101: 187-196.
- Marzano, F.N., Corradi, N., Papa, R., Tagliavini, J. & Gandolfi, G. 2003. Molecular
  Evidence for Introgression and Loss of Genetic Variability in *Salmo (trutta) macrostigma* as a Result of Massive Restocking of Apennine Populations
  (Northern and Central Italy). Environmental Biology of Fishes 68: 349-356.
- Mezzera, M. & Largiadèr, C.R. 2001. Evidence for selective angling of introduced trout
  and their hybrids in a stocked brown trout population. Journal of Fish Biology
  59: 287-301.
- Mezzera, M., Largiadèr, C.R. & Scholl, A. 1997. Discrimination of native and introduced
  brown trout in the River Doubs (Rhône drainage) by number and shape of parr
  marks. Journal of Fish Biology 50: 672-677.

- Moyle, P.B. & Leidy, R.A. 1992. Loss of Biodiversity in Aquatic Ecosystems: Evidence
  from Fish Faunas. In Fiedler, P.L. & Jain, S. K. eds. Conservation Biology. The
  theory and practice of nature conservation, preservation, and management.
  Chapman and Hall. London, pp. 127-169.
- Naish, K.A., Taylor, J.E., Levin, P.S., Quinn, T.P., Winton, J.R., Huppert, D. & Hilborn,
  R. 2007. An Evaluation of the Effects of Conservation and Fishery
  Enhancement Hatcheries on Wild Populations of Salmon. Advances in Marine
  Biology 53: 61-194
- Negro, J. J., Torres, M. J., & Godoy, J. A. 2001. RAPD analysis for detection and
  eradication of hybrid partridges (*Alectoris rufa x A. graeca*) in Spain. 2001.
  Biological Conservation 98: 19-24.
- Normand, J., Le Pennec, M. & Boudry, P. 2008. Comparative histological study of
  gametogenesis in diploid and triploid Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) reared
  in an estuarine farming site in France during the 2003 heatwave. Aquaculture
  282: 124-129.
- Ordeix, M., Pou-Rovira, Q., Sellarès, N., Bardina, M., Casamitjana, A., Solà, C. &
  Munné, A. 2011. Fish pass assessment in the rivers of Catalonia (NE Iberian
  Peninsula). A case study of weirs associated with hydropower plants and
  gauging stations. Limnetica 30(2): 405-426.
- Piferrer, F., Beaumont, A., Falguiere, J.C., Flajshans, M., Haffray, P. & Colombo, L.
  2009. Polyploid fish and shellfish: Production, biology and applications to
  aquaculture for performance improvement and genetic containment.
  Aquaculture 293: 125-156.
- 636 Poteaux, C. & Berrebi, P. 1997. Intégrité génomique et repeuplements chez la truite
  637 commune du versant méditerranéen. Butlletin Français de Pêche et de
  638 Piscilulture 344/345: 309-322.

- Preston, A.C., Taylor, J.F., Craig, B., Bozzolla, P., Penman, D.J. & Migaud, H. 2013.
  Optimisation of triploidy induction in brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.). Aquaculture
  414: 160-166.
- 642 Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. 2000. Inference of population structure
  643 using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155: 945-959.
- Richard, A., Cattanéo, F. & Rubin, J.F. 2015. Biotic and abiotic regulation of a low
  density stream-dwelling brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) population: effects on
  juvenile survival and growth. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 24: 1-14.
- Rousset, F. 2008. GENEPOP ' 007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP
  software for Windows and Linux. Molecular Ecology Resources 8: 103-106.
- Ryman, N., Utter F. & Laikre L. 1995. Protection of intraspecific biodiversity of exploited
  fishes. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 5: 417-446.
- Sanz, N., García-Marín, J.L. & Pla, C. 2002. Managing fish populations under mosaic
  relationships. The case of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) in peripheral
  Mediterranean populations. Conservation Genetics 3: 385-400.
- Sanz, N., Cortey, M., Pla, C. & García-Marín, J.L. 2006. Hatchery introgression blurs
  ancient hybridization between brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) lineages as indicated
  by complementary allozymes and mtDNA markers. Biological Conservation
  130: 278-289.
- Sanz, N., Araguas, R.M., Fernandez, R., Vera, M. & García-Marín, J.L. 2009. Efficiency
  of markers and methods for detecting hybrids and introgression in stocked
  populations. Conservation Genetics 10: 225-236.
- Sanz, N., Fernández-Cebrián, R., Casals, F., Araguas, R.M. & García-Marín, J.L. 2011.
  Dispersal and demography of brown trout, *Salmo trutta*, inferred from
  populations and family structure in unstable Mediterranean streams.
  Hydrobiologia 671: 105-119.

- Satake, A. & Araki, H. 2012. Stocking of captive-bred fish can cause long-term
  population decline and gene pool replacement: predictions from a population
  dynamics model incorporating density-dependent mortality. Theoretical Ecology
  5: 283-296.
- Snoj, A., Bogut, I. & Susnik, S. 2008. Evidence of a genetically distinct population of
  Vrljika softmouth trout Salmo obtusirostris Heckel evolved by vicariance.
  Journal of Fish Biology 72: 1945-1959.
- Thresher, R.E., Hayes, K., Bax, N.J., Teem, J., Benfey, T.J. & Gould, F. 2014. Genetic
  control of invasive fish: technological options and its role in integrated pest
  management. Biological Invasions 16: 1201-1216.
- Utter, F. 2004. Population genetics, conservation and evolution in salmonids and other
  widely cultured fishes: some perspectives over six decades. Reviews in Fish
  and Fisheries 14: 125-144.
- Valiquette, E., Perrier, C., Thibault, I. & Bernatchez, L. 2014. Loss of genetic integrity in
  wild lake trout populations following stocking: insights from an exhaustive study
  of 72 lakes from Quebec, Canada. Evolutionary Applications 7: 625-644.
- Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W.F., Wills, D.P.M. & Shipley, P. 2004.
   MICROCHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in
   microsatellite data. Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 535-538.
- Vera, M., Sanz, N., Hansen, M.M., Almodovar, A. & García-Marín, J.-L. 2010.
  Population and family structure of brown trout, *Salmo trutta*, in a Mediterranean
  stream. Marine and Freshwater Research 61: 672-681
- Vera, M., García-Marín, J.L., Martinez, P., Araguas, R.M. & Bouza, C. 2013.
  Identification and conservation of remnant genetic resources of brown trout in
  relict populations from Western Mediterranean streams. Hydrobiologia 707: 2945.
- 691 Vernesi, C., Crestanello, B., Pecchioli, E., Tartari, D., Caramelli, D., Hauffe, H. &
  692 Bertorelle, G. 2003. Molecular Ecology 12: 585-595.

- Walsh, P.S., Metzger, D.A. & Higuchi, R. 1991. CHELEX-100 as a medium for simple
  extraction of DNA for PCR-Based typing from forensic material. Biotechniques
  10: 506-513.
- Wang, J. & Ryman, N. 2001. Genetic effects of multiple generations of supportive
  breeding. Conservation Biology 15: 1619-1631.

698 **Figure Captions** 

699

700 Figure 1. Geographical situation of sampled locations, shaded areas delineate refuges. 701 Codes are defined in Table 1. 1) Ter and Freser. 2) Flamisell genetic refuges. Triangle 702 indicates the geographical situation of Filia location (not analysed in this study, but see 703 text). Physical barriers (mostly small dams below 3 m) are also represented.

704

705 Figure 2. Bayesian STRUCTURE results. a) All 2014 collections and Baga hatchery 706 stock, K=3 (K=2, mean In P=-10277.32; K=3, mean In P=-9316.29; K=4, mean In P=-707 9077.26) b) 2014 collections from the Freser genetic refuge, K=3, (K=2, mean ln P=-708 2893.34; K=3, mean In P=-2752.84; K=4, mean In P=-2734) c) 2014 collections from 709 the Flamisell genetic refuge, K=2, (K=1, mean ln P=-4512.7; K=2, mean ln P=-4412.74; 710 K=3, mean ln P=-4337.02). Each individual is represented by a vertical bar partitioned 711 into segments according to the proportion of the genome assigned to each of the 712 identified clusters. Codes are defined in Table 1. 713

714 Figure 3. Factorial Component Analysis (FCA) among studied individuals. Blue, 715 orange, green and red colours represent respectively fish sampled at Freser, Ter, 716 Flamisell refuges and Baga hatchery. Location codes are shown on Table 1.

717

Locations	Drainage	Genetic Refuge	Year sampled	Code	Ν
Vallter*	Ter	Since 1997	1990	TE90	15
			2004	TE04	55
			2006	TE06	65
			2014	TE14	53
Nuria*	Nuria-Freser	Since 1997	1993	NU93	28
			2004	NU04	71
			2006	NU06	155
			2014	NU14	41
Queralbs	Freser	Since 1997	2004	QA04	14
			2014	QA14	39
QueralbsB	Freser	Since 1997	2014	QB14	41
Planoles	Rigard-Freser	Since 1997	2014	PLA14	38
Pardines	Segadell-Freser	Since 1997	2014	PAR14	10
Ribes de Freser	Freser	Since 1997	2014	RF14	34
Capdella	Riqüerna-Flamisell	Since 2002	2014	CAP14	47
Aiguabella	Flamisell	Since 2002	2014	AIG14	49
La Plana de Montrós	Flamisell	No	2014	LPM14	35
Senterada	Flamisell	No	2014	SEN14	32
Erinya	Flamisell	No	2014	ERI14	41
Avellanos	Valiri-Flamisell	Since 2002	2014	AVE14	23
Sarroca de Bellera	Bossia	No	2014	SAR14	48
Manyanet*	Manyanet-Flamisell	Since 2002	1993	MY93	23
			2004	MY04	46
			2006	MY06	104
			2014	MY14	50
Baga Hatchery			2003	BA	90

## Table 1. Locations and number of individuals (*N*) analysed in the present study.

Table 2. Gene diversity and estimates of introgression levels for locations analysed in the present study. *N*: number of individuals, *Na*: mean number of alleles per locus,  $A_R$ : allelic richness,  $H_E$ : mean expected heterozygosity,  $F_{IS}$ : inbreeding coefficient,  $P_{HW}$ : Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium tests, *q* Value: proportion of introgressed genome on the five microsatellites (within parentheses hatchery introgression estimates based on 9 loci), *LDH-C\*90*: frequency of the hatchery allele.

Collection	Ν	Na	$A_R$	$H_E$	$F_{IS}$	$P_{HW}$	<i>q</i> Value	LDH-C*90
TE90	15	2.7	2.330	0.427	-0.014	0.686	0.020 (0.003)	0.000 <sup>A</sup>
TE04	55	3.3	2.285	0.386	0.039	0.630	0.017 (0.005)	0.000 <sup>A</sup>
TE06	65	2.8	2.170	0.358	0.005	0.648	0.023 (0.004)	0.000 <sup>A</sup>
TE14	53	3.7	2.308	0.379	-0.012	0.002	0.015	0.000
NU93	28	2.5	2.254	0.382	-0.028	0.789	0.021 (0.002)	0.000 <sup>A</sup>
NU04	71	4.8	2.703	0.430	0.055	0.000	0.026 (0.032)	0.035 <sup>A</sup>
NU06	155	5.8	2.660	0.426	0.030	0.000	0.016 (0.024)	0.021 <sup>A</sup>
NU14	41	4.7	3.252	0.504	0.190	0.000	0.034	0.110
QA04	14	4.0	2.982	0.474	-0.055	0.272	0.054	0.038
QA14	39	5.5	3.818	0.659	0.028	0.260	0.190	0.319
QB14	41	5.8	3.935	0.663	0.048	0.018	0.223	0.264
PLA14	38	5.2	3.471	0.592	0.174	0.000	0.033	0.129
PAR14	10	3.5	3.210	0.523	0.078	0.272	0.802	1.000
RF14	34	5.2	3.393	0.543	0.014	0.021	0.030	0.136
CAP14	47	5.8	3.743	0.618	0.065	0.000	0.034	0.053
AIG14	49	6.2	3.681	0.589	0.008	0.063	0.031	0.014
LPM14	35	5.5	3.497	0.533	0.027	0.000	0.036	0.014
SEN14	32	5.2	3.545	0.565	-0.017	0.006	0.028	0.017
ERI14	41	6.0	3.729	0.565	0.082	0.001	0.049	0.013
AVE14	23	3.7	3.017	0.491	0.050	0.010	0.031	0.023
SAR14	48	5.0	3.043	0.502	0.042	0.254	0.027	0.000
MY93	23	2.8	2.488	0.423	-0.044	0.681	0.022 (0.005)	0.000 <sup>A</sup>
MY04	46	4.2	2.873	0.497	0.095	0.000	0.053 (0.049)	0.141 <sup>A</sup>
MY06	104	3.7	2.599	0.436	0.002	1.000	0.019 (0.008)	0.024 <sup>A</sup>
MY14	50	3.0	2.508	0.448	0.004	0.216	0.016	0.000
BA	90	5.0	3.152	0.493	0.039	0.093	1.000	1.000

729 <sup>A</sup> from Araguas *et al.* 2008

Table 3. Genetic diversity pattern, in space and time, from reference locations (Ter, Nuria and Manyanet). *N*: total sampled individuals (minimum local sampled size),  $A_R$ : allelic richness,  $H_T$ : total gene diversity,  $H_S$ : average local gene diversity,  $F_{ST}$ : population divergence, *LDH-C\*90*: average frequency of the hatchery allele.

Year	Ν	$A_R$	Η <sub>T</sub>	H <sub>S</sub>	F <sub>ST</sub>	LDH-C*90
1993	66 (15)	2.625	0.600	0.407	0.313	0.000
2004	172 (46)	3.154	0.637	0.434	0.309	0.052
2006	324 (65)	2.912	0.622	0.414	0.331	0.015
2014	143 (41)	3.194	0.636	0.438	0.308	0.037

735

# 737 Table 4. AMOVAs analyses grouping samples by Genetic Refuges.

## 738

	F-statistic	Variance component	% Variation
2014 collections			
Among Genetic Refuges ( $F_{C7}$ ) Among locations within Genetic Refuge ( $F_{SC}$ ) Within locations	0.24406*** 0.07189***	0.54639 0.12166 1.57070	24.41 5.43 70.16
2014 collections without BA			
Among Genetic Refuges ( $F_{CT}$ ) Among locations within Genetic Refuge ( $F_{SC}$ ) Within locations	0.14337*** 0.07114***	0.28572 0.12146 1.58577	14.34 6.09 79.57
2014 collections without BA and PAR14			
Among Genetic Refuges ( $F_{CT}$ ) Among locations within Genetic Refuge ( $F_{SC}$ ) Within locations	0.14920*** 0.05376***	0.29458 0.09030 1.58952	14.92 4.57 80.51
Reference locations for Genetic Refuge			
Among Reference Locations ( $F_{CT}$ ) Among temporal replicates within Reference Location ( $F_{SC}$ ) Within locations	0.30630*** 0.02028***	0.56911 0.02614 1.26276	30.63 1.41 67.96

739 \*\*\* P < 0.001





